



PLEASE NOTE! THIS IS PARALLEL PUBLISHED VERSION /
SELF-ARCHIVED VERSION OF THE OF THE ORIGINAL ARTICLE

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.
This version *may* differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Author(s): McMullen, Jaimie; Kallio, Jouni; Tammelin, Tuija

Title: Physical activity opportunities for secondary school students: International best practices for whole-of-school physical activity programs

Year: 2022

Version: Accepted version (Final draft)

Copyright: © 2022 Authors.

Rights: In Copyright. Reuse is restricted to non-commercial and no derivative uses.

Rights url: <http://rightsstatements.org/page/InC/1.0/?language=en>

Please cite the original version:

McMullen, J. M., Kallio, J., & Tammelin, T. H. (2022). Physical activity opportunities for secondary school students: International best practices for whole-of-school physical activity programs. *European Physical Education Review*, 28(4), 890–905. doi: 10.1177/1356336X221092281

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1356336X221092281>

1 **Physical Activity Opportunities for Secondary School Students: International Best**
2 **Practices for Whole-of-School Physical Activity Programs**

3

4 **Abstract**

5 The World Health Organization ([WHO], 2018) encourages schools to engage with a multi-
6 component, whole-of-school approach to physical activity promotion. However, little evidence
7 exists describing the practices of schools who successfully promote a physically active school
8 culture. The purpose of this study was to explore and describe the best practices of “active”
9 secondary schools. Three schools, each in a different country (i.e. the United States, Finland and
10 Ireland), were identified as sites for investigation based on the presence of nationally established
11 whole-of-school physical activity initiatives. Data were collected in one secondary school in
12 each country and were generated from several sources including semi-structured interviews with
13 stakeholders, field notes, three days of on-site observation, and artifact collection. Inductive
14 analysis using open and axial coding was conducted (Corbin & Strauss, 2008). Three common
15 themes related to best practices were evident at each site: an established school-based leader,
16 support from the school community, and many available physical activity opportunities.
17 Successful school-based physical activity promotion is possible if there is a motivated physical
18 activity champion and if their promotion efforts are supported. These schools created multiple
19 physical activity opportunities for students and have developed a strong physical activity culture.

20

21

22

23

24 **Introduction**

25 Increasing the physical activity levels of secondary school students has emerged as a
26 public health priority given highly publicized evidence showing decreases in physical activity
27 participation globally (World Health Organization [WHO], 2018). The school is strategically
28 placed to contribute to the achievement of this recommendation (WHO, 2018). Whole-of-school
29 promotion of physical activity is an internationally accepted strategy that extends physical
30 activity opportunities beyond those typically offered in schools (e.g. physical education, school
31 sport, etc.) (McMullen et al., 2015). The Institute of Medicine (2013) has encouraged schools to
32 engage with a multi-component, whole-of-school approach to physical activity promotion as a
33 means of increasing physical activity levels of school-aged children. Specifically, they
34 recommend that schools take a central role in physical activity promotion in an attempt to ensure
35 that young people attain the daily recommended minutes of physical activity through evidence-
36 informed methods (e.g. physical education, classroom activity breaks, recess, sports programs,
37 active transport, etc.). School-aged children can benefit from health promotion strategies that
38 include multiple opportunities for physical activity participation (Barnett et al., 2009).

39 In line with this approach is the concept of a Comprehensive School Physical Activity
40 Program (CSPAP) that originated in the United States (Centers of Disease Control [CDC], 2013)
41 and includes five components (i.e. quality physical education, physical activity before and after
42 school, physical activity during school, staff involvement, and family/community engagement).
43 If present, these various opportunities will contribute to the likelihood that young people will be
44 more active at school and approach the recommended 60 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous
45 physical activity per day (WHO, 2011). Many scholars have suggested strategies for increasing
46 physical activity opportunities in schools (e.g. Castelli and Beighle, 2007; Faber, Kulinna and

47 Darst, 2007), and several recently published papers provide an evidence base for the
48 implementation of some components of a CSPAP (i.e. Ní Chróinín and McMullen, 2020;
49 McMullen et al., 2014; Centeio et al., 2014). However, there is little published evidence
50 describing the specific practices of schools who have implemented a variety of components of a
51 whole-of-school physical activity program, especially at the secondary school level. Many
52 secondary schools have been designated as ‘active schools’ within their country’s whole-of-
53 school physical activity promotion structure (McMullen et al., 2015); therefore, it would appear
54 that there are instances that these programs are operating successfully at the secondary level.

55 When considering whole-of-school initiatives, that either wholly or partially align with
56 the concept of a CSPAP, some differences exist when it comes to international initiatives
57 (McMullen et al., 2015); however, they are comparable in their purpose. For example, most
58 initiatives seek to provide opportunities for additional physical activity participation at school,
59 but some do so from more of a bottom-up approach, whereas others have specific requirements
60 placed on schools through the initiative framework. For the purposes of this study three of those
61 initiatives will be highlighted. In the United States, the national initiative *Active Schools*
62 (<https://www.activeschoolsus.org/>; at the time of the study this program was titled *Let’s Move*
63 *Active Schools*) positioned itself as a “solution” which incorporates physical education and
64 physical activity to ensure that young people achieve the recommended 60 minutes of daily
65 physical activity. In Ireland, the *Active School Flag*, an initiative sponsored by the Department of
66 Education and Skills (DES), requires schools to implement changes in the school environment
67 that will benefit students when it comes to physical education and physical activity provision
68 (<http://www.activeschoolflag.ie>). Lastly, the *Finnish Schools on the Move* program, supported
69 financially by the Ministry of Education and Culture in Finland, allowed schools who had

70 developed and implemented a plan to increase opportunities for children to be active before,
71 during, and after school to be part of the network and apply for funding in 2010-2018
72 (<https://www.liikkuvakoulu.fi/english>) (Blom et al., 2018; Aira and Kämppi, 2017).

73 A conceptual framework for research and practice aligned with CSPAP has recently been
74 suggested (Carson et al., 2014a). Based on social ecological theory (Bronfenbrenner, 1992), the
75 CSPAP conceptual framework guides the research process by specifying elements of a CSPAP
76 that correspond with four levels of influence (i.e. components, facilitators, leaders, and culture).
77 When considering the operations of the conceptual framework, the macro-level represents the
78 CSPAP culture that is present in and around a school (i.e. policy, normative behaviors, beliefs),
79 the exo-level addresses CSPAP leaders (i.e. CSPAP champion, CSPAP committee, supportive
80 administration), the meso-level includes CSPAP facilitators (i.e. skills, knowledge, resources,
81 disposition, safety), and the micro-level illustrates the individual whole-of-school physical
82 activity program components mentioned earlier (Carson et al., 2014a).

83 Therefore, operating within this conceptual framework, the purpose of this study was to
84 explore and describe the best practices of “active” secondary schools. For transparency, “best
85 practices” will refer to practices that take place in the school that contribute to the physical
86 activity culture as it relates to a CSPAP or whole-of-school physical activity program and which
87 has been identified in existing literature as a best practice. The results of this study have the
88 potential to inform research and practice in an attempt to encourage more schools to adopt an
89 active school culture.

90 **Methods**

91 Three countries were identified as sites for investigation based on the presence of
92 nationally established whole-of-school physical activity initiatives: the United States (*Active*

93 *Schools*), Finland (*Finnish Schools on the Move*), and Ireland (*Active School Flag*). Data were
94 collected in one secondary school in each country and were generated from several sources
95 including semi-structured focus group interviews with stakeholders, field notes, three days of on-
96 site observation, and artifact collection. Collecting data from a variety of sources avoids
97 problems associated with evidentiary inadequacy (Erickson, 1986) and allows triangulation
98 between data sources to support findings and assertions (Glesne, 1999). The CSPAP conceptual
99 framework (Carson et al., 2014a) provides context for the nature of the data collected.
100 Appropriate university-provided ethical approval was obtained by the first author prior to data
101 collection.

102 ***Participants***

103 Schools were identified based on their existing designation as an active school as it
104 relates to the nationally established initiative within their country. In Ireland, the school had been
105 awarded an *Active School Flag* through an established process that includes inspections. In
106 Finland, the school had participated in the initial pilot program of their national initiative and
107 was deemed to be a *Finnish School on the Move*. The school in the United States had subscribed
108 to the *Let's Move Active Schools* program, but that program did not have a formal inspection
109 process. The first author initiated access to the schools in the United States and Ireland as a result
110 of existing relationships with school personnel and a familiarity with the physical activity
111 offerings at the schools, and the school in Finland was identified by the third author given their
112 involvement with the initiative's pilot program. In Ireland and the United States the previously
113 mentioned initiatives can be developed in all levels of compulsory education; however, in
114 Finland the initiative has been designed for their comprehensive schools which include grades 1-

115 9. Therefore, for the purposes of this study each school has students who were 12 years of age or
116 older.

117 The school in the United States was a high school (grades 9-12, students aged ~14-18)
118 and had a student population of 2094. The school was located in an upper-middle class
119 neighborhood in a town located within a large metropolitan city in the Southwest United States.
120 The post-primary school in Ireland had a student population of 600 (grades 1st-6th year; aged
121 (~13-19 years old) and was located in the West of Ireland. The school was a fee charging school
122 that had both day and boarding students. In Finland, the lower secondary school had 580 students
123 (grades 7-9; aged ~13-16 years old) and was located in Central Finland. In Finland education is
124 free at all levels including compulsory basic education (grades 1-9).

125 Several stakeholders within each school community were recruited to participate and
126 included students (N=26), teachers (N=15), school principals (N=3) and family/community
127 members (N=9). All adult participants agreed to participate by providing consent and the student
128 participants were provided consent to participate by their parents, and also indicated their
129 willingness to be involved prior to the interviews. Teachers in this study were classified as
130 classroom teachers, and did not include any of the schools' physical education teachers. Physical
131 education teachers were intentionally not included because of their inherent bias towards the
132 concept of an active school and the likely perceived success of their specific school-based
133 initiative. The family/community members in Finland and the United States were members of the
134 school's parent association and in Ireland they had various roles within the school and
135 community. Specifically, in the United States there were 12 students, five teachers, one school
136 principal, and three parents/community members. In Ireland the participants included eight
137 students, five teachers, one school principal, and three parents/community members. Lastly, in

138 Finland data were collected from six students, five teachers, one school principal, and three
139 parents/community members. All participants are identified in the data with researcher-provided
140 pseudonyms.

141 ***Data Collection***

142 In order to effectively address the purpose of the study the lead author spent three typical
143 school days from approximately 30 minutes before the school day started, until approximately 30
144 minutes after the school day ended, in each school collecting data. Several sources of data were
145 collected including interviews, field notes from observations, and artifacts.

146 ***Interviews.*** All participants participated in semi-structured interviews at each school;
147 each stakeholder, except for the principal at each site, engaged in focus-group interviews (n = 7
148 total student interviews; n = 3 total teacher interviews; and n = 3 total parent/community member
149 interviews). The principals were interviewed individually because of their unique position within
150 a school building and so that the power dynamic would not affect the responses of other school
151 personnel. The focus groups included peer-stakeholders (i.e. students with other students,
152 teachers with other teachers, etc.) and ranged in size from three to five participants per interview.
153 The interview guide was the same for all stakeholders but included slight variations based on the
154 stakeholder's relationship to the school (e.g. "your school" for students, "the school" for
155 community member). Interview questions provided participants opportunities to describe school-
156 based physical activity opportunities within the context of a CSPAP (i.e. physical education,
157 physical activity before, during and after school, staff involvement, and family/community
158 engagement). For example, "Would you say that promotion of physical activity is important in
159 your school? Tell me more about this" and, "Are there opportunities for students to contribute
160 their ideas relative to the physical activity provision in the school? Tell me more about this." All

161 interviews lasted between 43-62 minutes and were conducted by the first author in English
162 except for one of the student focus groups in Finland which was conducted by the second author
163 because the students were more comfortable responding in Finnish. This interview was
164 transcribed and translated into English prior to analysis.

165 ***Observations with field notes.*** During observations, the lead author (and the second
166 author in Finland) walked around the school building(s) and the grounds taking field notes on a
167 tablet. Field notes included observations of the school environment (before, during, and after
168 school) and physical activity opportunities and behaviours. Specifically, the frequency and
169 duration of physical activity opportunities were recorded and who had access to each session (i.e.
170 school sports were only accessible to those students who are talented enough to make the team,
171 versus an open gym at lunch that was available for all students in the school). Where appropriate,
172 observations were explored further during interviews to determine if assertions made by the
173 researchers were appropriate with respect to, for example, access and opportunity. Additionally,
174 if there was someone from the school present, questions about what was being observed were
175 asked and responses were included in the field notes.

176 ***Artifacts.*** Where appropriate, artifacts providing evidence of physical activity promotion
177 were also reviewed, collected, and/or photographed. During observations the researcher(s) used
178 an iPad to take photos of relevant artifacts and/or collected hard copies of documents. These
179 included school newsletters, websites, policy documents, schedules, posters on the school walls,
180 and physical activity spaces. In total 149 photos across the sites were taken, and several
181 documents and other artifacts were collected.

182 ***Data analysis***

183 Inductive analysis using open and axial coding (Corbin and Strauss, 2008) was conducted
184 by the first author to determine specific best practices and contextual factors that were in place to
185 support CSPAP implementation across the schools in the study. Several initial readings of the
186 data in its entirety, which included reviewing all interview transcripts, field notes and artefacts
187 was completed prior to the identification of codes. Descriptive codes were assigned to chunks of
188 text from transcripts, or field notes, and to individual artefacts. All codes were independently
189 verified by a second member of the research team. Next, codes were combined or renamed to
190 designate categories or patterns related to events, phrases and behaviors that occurred repeatedly
191 in the data (LeCompte and Schensul, 1999). Themes were developed based on interpretations of
192 the data associated with the patterns that were evident across the codes and are representative of
193 findings across data sources. Analytic induction was used to determine disconfirming cases and
194 where appropriate these cases are identified in the results.

195 Trustworthiness (Glesne, 1999) of the data was established by using several techniques.
196 The same protocol was maintained across each research site, with variations only being made as
197 a result of contextual differences (e.g. different length of school day). A researcher journal was
198 kept to record instances where researcher bias occurred, initial ideas about potential themes, and
199 to make notes of events that needed further investigation. Additionally, interpretations of the data
200 were made based on triangulation of the data from all sources and only in the presence of a
201 pattern across all sites (LeCompte and Schensul, 1999).

202 **Results**

203 Three common themes related to best practices were evident at each site: an established
204 school-based leader, support from the school community, and many available physical activity
205 opportunities. Themes will be discussed in turn highlighting data from each country.

206 *Theme 1: An established school-based leader*

207 Each school that was visited had an established school-based physical activity leader. In
208 each case this was a physical education teacher who had dedicated a significant amount of
209 energy to promoting physical activity, not only within their physical education program, but also
210 throughout the school day. Tyler (School Counsellor, United States) described the physical
211 education teacher as the impetus for a positive culture around physical activity in his school,
212 saying:

213 [Geoff] He's the chair [of the PE department] and very passionate about what he does and
214 sometimes you get a bad seed in the PE department, they just roll balls out and read
215 newspapers. That's not this PE and they take pride in that...our PE department is just, it's
216 night and day difference and it all starts with him. It all just trickles down. I would say
217 Geoff Holmes would be the guy.

218 The students at this school also responded in chorus that Coach Holmes was the person who
219 most obviously promoted physical activity there. They explained further in this exchange after
220 that question was asked:

221 Collin (Student, United States): If he has extra time he'll be walking around campus, just
222 talking to people that he sees. People will be like, I'm not doing anything, and he's like
223 you could come to this [physical activity opportunity].

224 Jake (Student, United States): He gives people opportunities to get out and do stuff.

225 Collin (Student, United States): Yeah, he's always trying to get people to get out and do
226 things and be active.

227 In Ireland, a student responded to the question asking who did most of the physical
228 activity promotion in their school by describing her physical education teacher:

229 Ms. Dillon has done so much since she came here, she was at college last year, she just
230 came from teaching practice when you're like a college student. She came back here to
231 work full-time and she does like everything. - Lis (Student, Ireland)

232 The classroom teachers also acknowledged the work of this first-year physical education teacher
233 in their interviews, indicating how much work she had done to achieve the Active School Flag
234 and her ongoing commitment to making the school a more active place to be.

235 While more than one person was acknowledged in Finland by some of the teachers,
236 which can be attributed to their established *Finnish Schools on the Move* committee, one
237 physical education teacher was regularly identified by each stakeholder group. For example,
238 Ansa (Parent/Community Member, Finland) said:

239 Jukka [the physical education teacher] is also participating in our parents' meetings
240 [parent advisory group] and I think he has done a lot to make it possible for children, that
241 they should exercise more and so on. I think this school has good effort for that,
242 especially this teacher.

243 Similarly, when asked who was most responsible for promoting physical activity in the schools,
244 the principal in Finland replied, "It's mainly Jukka." This was also supported by the students in
245 the school who identified Jukka and one other teacher in the school as those who were most
246 visibly promoting physical activity in and around the school.

247 Field notes also support these assertions related to the role of one of the physical
248 education teachers in each school as the physical activity leader. For example, in Finland the
249 physical education teacher was observed encouraging students to be active inside the school
250 building and outside on the playground during multiple break times (Field Notes). The physical
251 education teacher in Ireland, referenced by the students as the physical activity leader, was

252 supervising the astro-turf pitch during one lunchtime break and was seen participating in a rather
253 intense soccer match with students (Field Notes). Additionally, the lunchtime program in the
254 United States which was advertised widely in the school (see Theme 2), and referenced by many
255 stakeholders, resulted from a relationship between the physical education teacher and the local
256 university (Field Notes). Each of these physical activity leaders had a strong presence and
257 seemed to be held in high regard in each school.

258 ***Theme 2: Support from the school community***

259 Having support within a school is important, and in each of the schools there was wide-
260 ranging support for physical activity programs and participation. As the leader of a school,
261 having the support of the principal is arguably the most important. In Finland, the principal,
262 Patrik, spoke very highly of physical activity in general, and of their involvement in the *Finnish*
263 *Schools on the Move* program. While the level of “energy” he has invested has changed, he
264 voiced his continued support in the program saying:

265 Liikkuva Koulu (*Finnish Schools on the Move*) was a project. I said that, ‘*I am with you if*
266 *you are with me forever,*’ because for two or three years, we got money from the Ministry
267 of Education. Nowadays we don't, yet still we are doing it. Not so well as we would like
268 to, but we are going to do it forever. I said, ‘*I will do my best, if you will continue after*
269 *the project.*’ We have to have it just normal life forever kind of.

270 In the United States, where extracurricular sport is extremely popular, it is not surprising
271 that this is what parents think about when asked to consider physical activity in the school. For
272 example, Susan (Parent/Community Member, United States) provided support for the school
273 programs when she said:

274 I think [the school] has good programs, good athletic programs. I think a lot of kids are
275 involved. From cross country, I had a daughter in cross country, which is a marvelous
276 team and all the way up. [My son] did wrestling one year and football and I think [the
277 school] has great support. I think the parents are a great support in this area and want
278 their kids to be involved in a lot of school sports. That is my take.

279 School sport in the United States (and Ireland) often requires tryouts, and not all students may
280 feel they are accessible if they are not highly skilled (Interviews), even though, as Susan stated,
281 there are a variety of sports for students to choose to pursue at this school if they have an interest
282 in organized sport. While the parents at the school in the United States highly supported the
283 sports programs, they unfortunately had little knowledge of physical activity offerings outside of
284 organized sport. This was not unique to the United States context with parents and community
285 members in Ireland and Finland also not being aware of the variety of school-based physical
286 activity offerings (Interviews).

287 In Ireland, the school had recently succeeded in achieving the *Active School Flag*, a
288 program that requires various steps and a rigorous evaluation process. Undertaking this initiative
289 required support from the administration and the wider school community because of the need to
290 establish of a committee of stakeholders who were named on the school website (Artifacts).
291 Specifically, in this school they had established an elective class for students to be involved in
292 the pursuit of the flag. Louise (Student, Ireland) explained:

293 For TY [transition year], you can pick what you want to, like enterprise and stuff like
294 that. There's a small group of us who did *Active School Flag*, and we kind of were there
295 to kind of improve the school's physical activity and we set up sports days and walks and
296 stuff.

297 The inclusion of this course offering for students, which is dedicated to physical activity
298 promotion in the school, is a significant sign of support from the administration and the teachers
299 who approved the curriculum. Students enrolled in this course not only organized physical
300 activity opportunities for their peers, but they also created posters (see Figure 1) promoting
301 physical activity that were displayed all over the school campus (Field Notes, Interviews).
302 Student involvement was also evident in Finland where the students helped design the physical
303 activity spaces in the schoolyard and indoors. This included adding a mini-stadium, swings and
304 other apparatus to the previously bare outdoor spaces, and requesting that ping-pong tables be
305 placed in open indoor spaces inside the school (Field Notes, Artifacts, Interviews).

306 Each school had several visible artifacts throughout the school encouraging physical
307 activity and advertising various opportunities. In Ireland, many of the posters that were pictured
308 were described as student-created, demonstrating the involvement of young people in the *Active*
309 *School Flag* committee/course. One opportunity at the high school in the United States, a
310 lunchtime physical activity program, was mentioned by several stakeholders and was observed
311 by the researcher (Field Notes, Interviews). This opportunity was described as a partnership
312 between the local university and the school and the university preservice physical education
313 teachers designed and led the program at the school (Field Notes, Interviews). The program was
314 well-supported by the principal and all stakeholders in this school (Interviews); however, one
315 female student described the program as something that appealed more to younger students
316 (Interviews). The flyer for this program, along with other artifacts promoting physical activity in
317 each school can be seen in Figure 1. These include two student-created posters from Ireland, a
318 sign from Finland advertising where to get equipment for recess activities, art on the side of the

319 school building in Finland depicting people playing a ball game, and a sign advertising the
320 lunchtime physical activity program in the United States.

321 Figure 1 – Artifacts Observed on Walls of the School Buildings



322

323 *Note: The sign in Finnish translates to: “Equipment for Recess Sports Activities”

324 ***Theme 3: Many available physical activity opportunities***

325 There were diverse and frequent opportunities for students to be physically active before,

326 during and after school in all three schools. Matthew (History teacher, United States) explained

327 the benefits of the lunchtime physical activity program available to students at his school. He
328 said:

329 I like the lunchtime thing. Anytime you see kids off doing something rather than sitting
330 around and doing nothing. I mean that gym, I've been there many times when it's
331 packed...I would agree with [my colleague] that it's pretty awesome, the level of which
332 our kids are bouncing around, moving. It's pretty awesome.

333 Students in Finland mentioned the way that the school day was scheduled when discussing
334 opportunities to be active during school. The following exchange occurred between three
335 students:

336 Leena (Student, Finland): Well, we have this long recess, when we can go to the gym. It's
337 good, something extra, that you can go exercise.

338 Jenna (Student, Finland): Yes, during the exercise-recess you can go to the weight-room
339 or gym or play ping-pong and use the air-track.

340 Pekka (Student, Finland): It's good that we have the ping-pong-tables.

341 The school in Finland was unique in that they had placed several ping-pong tables in hallways
342 and open spaces in the school and students were able to access equipment to play during their
343 various breaks (see Figure 2).

344 Figure 2 – Photos of Ping-Pong Tables Located in the Finland School



345

346 It should be noted that contextual nuances led to specific differences in the available and
347 popular physical activity opportunities at each of the schools. For example, in Finland, where
348 active transport is well-established, there was an exponentially higher number of bicycles ridden
349 to school each day (Field Notes, Artifacts). Location of the school near a busy freeway (United
350 States), and frequent inclement weather (Ireland) were cited by students as barriers for active
351 transport (Interviews). Figure 3 provides a clear visual representation of these differences. It
352 should be noted that the photo of the bicycles in Finland is of just one parking area, and that
353 there were two other such areas on campus that included as many or more bicycles (Field Notes,
354 Artifacts). Field notes reflect that in the United States, where extracurricular sport is popular,
355 there were more sport offerings after school for students in relation to Finland which does not
356 offer organized sport at school (it is offered in the community in a club structure), and Ireland

357 which offers only a select few sports as compared to opportunities available in the community
358 outside of the school environment.

359 Figure 3 – Bicycle Parking at each School



360

361 *Note: Country from top to bottom; United States, Ireland and Finland*

362 Another pattern associated with the availability of physical activity opportunities
363 observed across each site was the school day schedule, specifically in relation to breaks. Breaks
364 were structured differently at each school. The school day in Ireland was the shortest at six hours

365 and 20 minutes, with 55 minutes of break time; the United States had the longest school day at
 366 seven hours and 25 minutes; and Finland had the most break time with one hour and 45 minutes
 367 out of a seven-hour school day (Artifacts). Noteworthy are the longer breaks available in the
 368 United States (lunchtime) and Finland (all but the last break) that provide sufficient time for
 369 physical activity participation (see Table 1). Further, the school schedule in Finland was
 370 purposefully developed with the intent of providing students with the chance to actually engage
 371 in physical activity during breaks (Interviews, Field Notes). In Ireland, while breaks throughout
 372 the day were not as evident, facilities were accessible for all students (day students and boarding
 373 students) before and after school to encourage physical activity engagement. However, as noted
 374 in the field notes, most day students arrived at school shortly before the school day started and
 375 left as soon as school was over unless they were enrolled in an activity.

376 **Table 1 – Typical School Day Schedules**

United States	Ireland	Finland
7:30-8:25	9:10-9:45	8:00-8:45
Break: 5mins	9:45-10:20	Break: 12mins
8:30-9:30	10:20-10:55	8:57-9:42
Break: 5mins	Break: 20mins	Break: 28mins
9:35-10:30	11:15-11:50	10:10-10:55
Break: 5mins	11:50-12:25	Break: 5mins
10:35-11:30	12:25-1:00	11:00-11:40
Break/Lunch: 11:30-12:35	Break/Lunch: 1:00-1:35	Break/Lunch: 11:40-12:15
12:35-1:30	1:35-2:15	12:15-1:00
Break: 5mins	2:15-2:55	Break: 15mins
1:35-2:30	2:55-3:30	1:15-2:00
		Break: 5mins
		2:05-2:50

377
 378 **Discussion**

379 There were several best practices observed across each of the schools that aligned with
 380 the CSPAP conceptual framework (Carson et al., 2014a). It should be acknowledged that the

381 authors are not attempting to generalize the results of this study to other schools within each
382 country, and instead are highlighting examples of best practice as they align with existing
383 literature. Given that whole-school physical activity programs are being promoted internationally
384 (McMullen et al., 2015), it is important that we attempt to learn more about what is actually
385 happening within schools that have successfully adopted an active school culture. While some
386 research has been done on each of the initiatives that the schools in this study have adopted, very
387 little is known about the specific features that could be adopted by other schools who are
388 interested in creating a more physically active environment. For example, we know that
389 celebration is an important feature of the *Active School Flag* (Ní Chróinín and McMullen, 2020)
390 and that some elements of the program are sustainable (McMullen et al., 2021). Further, in
391 Finland, the *Finnish Schools on the Move* program has created new administrative and functional
392 approaches to physical activity promotion and has successfully linked the goals of various
393 collaborators into a shared network (Blom et al., 2018). The results of this study extend what we
394 have learned from previous research, and also provide specific examples of what schools may
395 need when deciding to implement a whole-of-school approach to physical activity promotion.

396 When considering the conceptual framework that guided this work, at the micro-level,
397 each of these schools provided multiple and diverse opportunities for young people to be active
398 at school. Several of the programs identified in these school aligned with effective physical
399 activity intervention practices (Kriemler, 2011). While not specifically evaluated, the existence
400 of these programs in these schools is positive for students. Meso-level components that were
401 evident across all three schools were less obvious when considering input from stakeholders, but
402 observations revealed that all three schools had ample resources for physical activity
403 participation (Carson et al., 2014a). Having access to facilities is a common barrier when it

404 comes to being active at school, and access to facilities and opportunity to be active (e.g.
405 available equipment) are important factors for school-based interventions (Lorenz et al., 2016).

406 At the exo-level, the most significant best practice at each school was the existence of an
407 established physical activity leader (Carson et al., 2014a). Within the context of the model, these
408 leaders/champions were well respected and acknowledged by each stakeholder group. Physical
409 activity leaders are important to the success of a whole-of-school physical activity program
410 because schools with dedicated champions tend to have significantly more physical activity
411 offerings than those who do not (Carson et al., 2014b). Support is another important component
412 at the exo-level (Carson et al., 2014a). While support came in various forms and from a variety
413 of stakeholders, particularly relevant was the support of the principal at each school. Classroom
414 teachers have frequently identified the importance of administrative support when it comes to
415 incorporating physical activity in their classrooms (e.g. McMullen et al., 2014; Stylianou,
416 Kulinna and Naiman, 2016); and it is likely that physical education teachers and other physical
417 activity leaders would also value this support.

418 When considering facilitators, at the macro-level of the CSPAP conceptual framework
419 (Carson et al., 2014a), the practice of planning breaks that are long enough for students to engage
420 in meaningful bouts of physical activity were facilitators, particularly in the United States (at
421 lunchtime) and Finland (throughout the day). Breaks designed specifically for the achievement
422 of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity have the potential to increase overall physical activity
423 accumulation at school (Groffik, 2012), therefore, this strategy is well placed within a whole-of-
424 school physical activity program. The fact that stakeholders in these schools recognized specific
425 times in the school schedule as designated for, or encouraging of, physical activity participation
426 is significant. Taken together, each of these practices that align with the levels of influence

427 should result in the existence of ample opportunity for students of these schools to be sufficiently
428 active. While physical activity measurement data were not collected in these schools, there is
429 evidence to suggest that programs targeting multiple levels of influence can have positive
430 benefits on physical activity levels of young people (Leggett et al., 2012).

431 *Limitations*

432 While several steps were taken to ensure the trustworthiness of the data collection and
433 analysis process, some limitations do exist. First, the researchers' own bias should be
434 acknowledged because these schools were selected based off pre-existing knowledge of their
435 involvement in physical activity initiatives and their general success within those programs. To
436 overcome this bias the lead author kept a journal to note instances of bias and steps were taken
437 during analysis to ensure the integrity of the results (e.g. independent reader). Next, the three
438 days spent in each school, while considerable, still only provides a snapshot of the school
439 environment as it relates to physical activity opportunities. Different seasons or weather
440 conditions could have altered the results of what was observed. Another potential limitation was
441 the language barrier in Finland; however, having the second author, who is from Finland, with
442 the first author for the duration of the data collection minimized this limitation. Additionally,
443 analysis considered the contextual and cultural nuances of each country. Lastly, access to these
444 stakeholders was facilitated by the school personnel which meant that they could have selected
445 stakeholders who were positive biased towards the topic of physical activity promotion. Further,
446 given the multiple stakeholders and a lack of access to the parent and student contact information
447 we did not have the ability to provide an opportunity for member checking.

448 *Conclusion*

449 The results of this study suggest that successful school-based physical activity promotion
450 is possible if there is an established and motivated physical activity leader and if their promotion
451 efforts are supported. The authors are not suggesting that these results are indicative of all
452 schools in each of these countries. However, these findings suggest that schools that create
453 several physical activity opportunities for all students within the context of a whole-of-school
454 physical activity program may have a better chance of developing a strong physical activity
455 culture. While these findings are not in and of themselves novel with respect to the literature on
456 whole-of-school physical activity promotion, this is the first study of its kind to highlight such
457 practices across multiple international sites using multiple qualitative data sources. McKenzie
458 and van der Mars (2015) recently referred to the concept of “ground-truthing” when it comes to
459 school-based research and while we did not collect objectively measured physical activity data,
460 this study attempts to “ground-truth” by not only seeking stakeholders’ perspectives through
461 interviews, but also by observing the school environment and collecting relevant artifacts.
462 Research should continue to explore what schools do well with respect to physical activity
463 promotion in an attempt to support policy efforts that could lead to more active school cultures in
464 schools all over the world.

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472 **References**

- 473 Aira, A. and Kämpfi, K. (eds) (2017). Interim report on the Finnish Schools on the Move
474 programme 2015-2016. LIKES Research Reports on Physical Activity and Health 335.
- 475 Blom, A., Tammelin, T., Laine, K., and Tolonen, H. (2018). Bright spots, physical activity
476 investments that work: the Finnish Schools on the Move programme. *British Journal of*
477 *Sports Medicine*, 52, 820-822. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2017-097711
- 478 Bronfenbrenner, U. (1992). Ecological systems theory. In R. Vasta (ed), *Theories of Child*
479 *Development: Revised Formulations and Current Issues* (pp. 187-249). London: Jessica
480 Kingsley Publisher.
- 481 Carson, R., Castelli, D., Beighle, A. and Erwin, H. (2014a). School-based physical activity
482 promotion: A conceptual framework for research and practice. *Childhood Obesity*, 10(2),
483 100-106. doi: 10.1089/chi.2013.0134
- 484 Carson, R. L., Castelli, D. M., Pulling Kuhn, A. C., Moore, J. B., Beets, M. W., Beighle, A.,
485 Aija, R., Calvert, H. G. and Glowacki, E. M. (2014b). Impact of trained champions of
486 comprehensive school physical activity programs on school physical activity offerings,
487 youth physical activity and sedentary behaviors. *Preventive Medicine*, 69, S12-S19.
- 488 Castelli, D.M. and Beighle, A. (2007). The Physical Education teacher as school activity
489 director. *The Journal of Physical Education, Recreation and Dance*, 78(5), 25-28.
- 490 Centeio, E.E., McCaughtry, N., Gutuskey, L., Garn, A.C., Somers, C., Shen, B., Martin, J.J. and
491 Kulik, N.L. (2014). Physical activity change through comprehensive school physical
492 activity programs in urban elementary schools. *Journal of Teaching in Physical*
493 *Education*, 33(4), 573-591.
- 494 Corbin, J. and Strauss, A. (2008). *Basics of qualitative research: Techniques and procedures for*

495 *developing grounded theory* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

496 Erickson, F. (1986). Qualitative methods in research on teaching. In M. C. Wittrock (Ed.),
497 *Handbook of research on teaching* (3rd ed.; pp.119-161). New York: Macmillan.

498 Faber, L., Hodges Kulinna, P. and Darst, P. (2007). Strategies for physical activity
499 promotion beyond the Physical Education classroom. *The Journal of Physical Education,*
500 *Recreation and Dance*, 78(9), 27-30.

501 Glesne, C. (1999). *Becoming qualitative researchers: An introduction* (2ed.). Reading, MA:
502 Addison, Wesley Longman, Inc.

503 Groffik, D., Sigmund, E., Frömel, K., Chmelík, F. and Lokvencová, P.N. (2012). The
504 contribution of school breaks to the all-day physical activity of 9- and 10-year-old
505 overweight and non-overweight children. *International Journal of Public Health*, 57,
506 711-718.

507 Hastie, P.A. (2007). Physical activity opportunities before and after school. *The Journal of*
508 *Physical Education, Recreation and Dance*, 78(6), 20-23.

509 Institute of Medicine (2013). *Educating the student body: Taking physical activity and*
510 *physical education to school*. The National Academic Press: Washington, DC.

511 Kriemler, S., Meyer, U., Martin, E., van Sluijs, E. M., Andersen, L. B. and Martin, B. W.
512 (2011). Effect of school-based interventions on physical activity and fitness in children
513 and adolescents: a review of reviews and systematic update. *British Journal of Sports*
514 *Medicine*, 45, 923-930.

515 LeCompte, M. D. and Schensul, J. J. (1999). *Designing and conducting ethnographic*
516 *research*. Walnut Creek, CA: Sage.

517 Leggett, C., Irwin, M., Griffith, J., Xue, L. and Fradette, K. (2012). Factors associated with

518 physical activity among Canadian high school students. *International Journal of Public*
519 *Health*, 57, 315-324.

520 Lorenz, K.A., van der Mars, H., Hodges Kulinna, P., Ainsworth, B.E. and Hovell, M.F. (2016).
521 Environmental and behavioral influences of physical activity in junior high school
522 students. *Journal of Physical Activity and Health*, 14(10), 785-792.

523 McKenzie, T.L. and van der Mars, H. (2015). Top 10 research questions related to assessing
524 physical activity and its contexts using systematic observation. *Research Quarterly for*
525 *Exercise and Sport*, 86(1), 13-29, DOI: 10.1080/02701367.2015.991264.

526 McMullen, J., Kulinna, P. and Cothran, D. (2014). Physical Activity Opportunities during the
527 School Day: Classroom Teachers' Perceptions of Using Activity Breaks in the
528 Classroom. *Journal of Teaching in Physical Education*, 33, 511-527.

529 McMullen, J.M., Ní Chróinín, D., Tammelin, T., Pogorzelska, M. and van der Mars, H. (2015).
530 International approaches to whole-of-school physical activity promotion. *Quest*, 67, 384-
531 399.

532 McMullen, J.M., Ní Chróinín, D. and Iannucci, C. (2021). What happened next? Exploring the
533 sustainability of a whole-of-school physical activity initiative, *International Journal of*
534 *Health Promotion and Education*, 59 (5), 297-306. DOI:
535 10.1080/14635240.2020.1761265.

536 Ní Chróinín, D. and McMullen, J.M. (2020). 'The world is a happier place': Celebration in a
537 whole-of-school physical activity initiative. *European Physical Education Review*, 26(2).
538 DOI: doi.org/10.1177/1356336X19858115

539 Stylianou, M., Kulinna, P. H. and Naiman, T. (2016). "... because there's nobody who can just
540 sit that long": Teacher perceptions of classroom-based physical activity and related
541 management issues. *European Physical Education Review*, 22(3), 390-408.

542 World Health Organization (2018). *Global Action Plan on Physical Activity 2018-2030: More*
543 *Active People for a Healthier World*. Geneva: World Health Organization.

544 World Health Organization (2011). *Global Recommendations on Physical Activity for Health; 5-*
545 *17 years old*. Geneva: World Health Organization.

546