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This paper is a qualitative study of Community Management and how it fits in the business model. With Community management becoming a buzzword and the rise in community management jobs it is certainly a modern development worth looking into. This study lays a background work of community management and its origins and continues to its recent development in the business world. To answer the question “what is the purpose of community management in a business” it conducts a qualitative study with open ended interviews with a mixture of random and convenient sampling. Through inductive reasoning data is analysed and conclusions drawn.

Community management is practiced or aspired to be practiced widely in the business world today. However proper understanding on the subject is lacking due to being a relatively new concept. Community management roles are given to unqualified individuals or added as a responsibility to an employee. Both of which is unwise. In the interviews it is seen that community managers are able to specifically define their role in the business and what community management brings to the company. On the other hand senior management perceives it to be a form of online marketing, causing a bottleneck in community management efforts. This paper addresses the gaps in the community management world and suggests that clear objective, qualified community managers and collective efforts are required to gain full benefit from community management.
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1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Human beings are social creatures by nature. We feel the need to interact and form groups with other human beings to identify ourselves. Online community is the natural progression of this basic human need (Vanhala, 2009).

The 6th of August 1991 can be considered as the birth of the technology driven world. That is the day the World Wide Web became accessible to the general public (Bryant, 2011). Since then even though the Internet rapidly penetrated people’s lives, online communities were yet to be household terms by the turn of the century. The emergence of social media broke all physical and geographical boundaries of human interaction. A person is now able to communicate with thousands of people with similar interests or topics. For the business world, it meant consumers are now able to discuss products, brands, usability and other similar topics, with the companies directly and also with other customers. W. Glynn Mangold and David J. Faulds, write that the impact of consumer discussions have magnified the marketplace. When one in four people in the world is using social media (eMarketer report, 2013), engaging customers online through these channels is an imperative (Mangold and Faulds, 2009).

In the last five years, the concept of community management has escalated drastically in the business world. Community manager was not a position in business half a decade ago, it was just one of the tasks thrown either to interns or inexperienced employees (Kelly and Caggiano, 2013). But today, its importance in brand building and marketing surpasses the traditional methods and is not taken lightly by industry leaders. According to LinkedIn, the profession has experienced a 29% year on year growth. In 2010, Community Manager Appreciation day was created (#cmad), which was a trending topic in three countries in 2013 with 72.9 million impressions and over fifteen thousand Twitter mentions (Kelly and Caggiano, 2013). Community Management is now recognized as a more senior level position, which requires specific expertise and skill set.

A very recent development in this field is internal community management. This is a community within an organization to strengthen communication and employee engagement. BraveNewTalent is a company, which provides a platform for companies to un-
dertake such initiatives. Large companies such as Expedia, Mercer and Lockheed Martin are using BraveNewTalent for their own community with the members of the organization. This implies a change in communication methods in organizations.

Everyone is not able to keep up with this rapid growth in height and width, as a result proper understanding lacks in many cases. I have been working with BraveNewTalent for over a year now as a community management intern. This enabled me to look into the concept closely. In my observations and discussions with professionals, I found there are gaps and challenges, which obstructs deriving full benefits from the efforts. Hence this is a suitable time to research this subject and I am in a good position for the endeavour. Figure 1 gives a perspective on the rise of demands for community managers, who are also called social media experts.
Figure 1. Rise in Community Management jobs. (Source: WErSM)
1.2 Purpose of the Thesis

This is an explanatory thesis. Primary objective is to give a clear understanding of community management and how it fits in the business model. Therefore, the research question to be answered is:

- **What is the purpose of community management in a business?**

BraveNewTalent will be used as a case study to answer the primary research question. Modern business structures and strategies will be analysed with community management at the centre. Consequently community managers will also be put under the microscope, hence pursuing further questions:

- **What is the role of a community manager in a business?**
- **What are the gaps in business community management operations?**

Figure 2, portrays the framework of this paper. If we dissect the findings of the three research questions mentioned above the results can be divided into three parts. It will provide a deeper understanding of community management, it’s place in the business model. Secondly identify the common problems in community management. Oracle Eloqua published a guide on community management which states community management is one of the least understood concepts. Finally possible solutions to the found problems will be put forward.

**Figure 2. Research Description.**

- **Understanding community management in a business.**
- **Gaps in strategy and challenges faced.**
- **Better understanding.**
- **Synchronized strategy.**
Noora Korppi-Tommola’s Masters thesis ‘‘Establishing a basis for a company online community: A case study’’, done in 2010 will be used as a reference point and guideline in various parts of this thesis. Community management being the new hot topic has grown significantly since 2010. The changes since Tommola’s research and the new horizons reached in community management will be an interesting venture.

This Bachelor thesis is a limited qualitative research, therefore does not contain a full scholarly account or description of the topics discussed.

1.3 Research Methodology

“The unity of all science consists alone in its method, not in its material.”

— Karl Pearson

The thinking process and rationale used to design this research will be introduced in this part. This will allude to the mind-set and the basis on which each step is shaped. It will contain description of the data collection process and the manner of analysis to gain insight on the research questions.

Broadly research methods can be divided into two categories, Qualitative research and Quantitative research. Depending on the research questions to be answered and the nature of the data to be collected one of the two methods is chosen (Gigi DeVault, 2014). Dr. Karim Abawi’s definitions of the two methods made in 2008 distinguishes between the two:

1. Quantitative Research is a process consisting variables, measured with numbers and analysed using statistical techniques to investigate a theory. It aims to either institute or reject the theory.

2. Qualitative Research is a process to materialize a holistic picture of a certain subject conducted in a natural setting. A qualitative researcher aspires for the complete reality on an issue by probing it from different perspectives.

The research questions for this thesis are aligned with the qualitative research method. Observations and experience during the internship at BraveNewTalent, which are non-numerical in nature has instigated this work. The fundamental goal here is to comprehend community management for a business in its full form. All these factors had led to the selection of the qualitative method.
1.3.1 Reasoning and Process

There are two alternative approaches or reasoning to solve a problem. They are deductive reasoning and Inductive reasoning (Brent E. Turvey, M.S.). Deductive reasoning begins with a theory and collects absolute data to make mathematical or logical conclusions (Prof. Dr. S.M. Aqil Burney, 2008). It depends on facts providing binary results to the theory or hypothesis it started with. Data collected are structured and homogenous. Mainly uses surveys, polls, rating, and other factors to gather information. On the other hand, Inductive reasoning is quite the opposite. It starts out with a question or specific observations and moves towards a broader concept (Prof. Dr. S.M. Aqil Burney, 2008). Data collected are more of an idea or opinion. Mainly uses informal methods such as personal interviews or discussions with limited structure.

Qualitative research methods require Inductive reasoning which will be the pattern in this thesis. Figure 3 is a breakdown of the research process step by step. It’s a visual representation of the function and intention of each step. The research will be done in four steps; in the final step, it will all be put together to draw conclusions.

![Figure 3. Research process.](image-url)

The elaboration of Figure 3 is as follows:
Step 1- Here secondary research will be conducted by examining existing material and literature review. The core concepts will be studied and explained. These would be done in the light of the primary research question.

Step 2- The knowledge gathered from step 1 and observations made during the internship the primary research will be designed accordingly. In this phase the focus will be on finding the current situation of community management and community managers. The primary research will be conducted via interviews and case studying BraveNewTalent. In this phase the gaps and challenges of community management will also be pointed out.

Step 3- This step will consist of analysing the data from the primary research. At this stage the results will be used to answer the research questions. Theories will be introduced on community management and it’s place in business holistically.

Step 4- Finally conclusions will be formed on where community management stands in the business world. Suggestions or possible solutions to the problems facing community management for businesses will also be a feature on this final step.
2 Theoretical Background

This section contains the prerequisites needed to understand and follow the paper. It will contain two parts broadly with subparts. The first part will define key terminologies, while the second will provide observations and understanding of the current community management field upon which the research questions will be solved. Defining terminologies will be purely based on literature reviews. The second part will entail secondary research from different perspective and making analytical judgements.

2.1 Definitions

Community: Generally ‘community’ is defined by a group of people bounded by geographical locations (Community Tool Box, 2013). The word is difficult to define as it carries varying meanings to people (Rachel Happe, 2014). Rachel Happe, the co-founder of Community Roundtable points out the difficulties in defining communities:

- Communities vary in their size and type. A community can be build upon interests, products, geography, church or any other way of life.
- While looking up a definition one will find different ones, all authentic in their own realms. With virtual communities in the mix the shades are even harder to distinguish.
- It possible to have smaller communities within larger communities.

The most common thread of thought would say ‘a community is a group of people who have something in common’. The sentiment behind community provides a better understanding of community than the definition. (Noora Korpip-Tommola, 2010). Dr. Mcmillan and Dr. Chavis identified four elements for the sense of community:

- Sense of identification and belonging.
- Sense of connection with the members who affect each other’s thought process.
- Sense of personal human interaction.
- Sense of emotional connection with similar life experience, values or relationships.

Dr. Chavis and Dr. Mcmillan’s view is the most authentic portrayal of community, widely used in community-based researches.
Online Community: Online interaction of dispersed groups of people with shared interests has emerged rapidly, facilitated by the Internet (Samuel M. Wilson and Leighton C. Peterson, 2002). Such groups portray a spectrum of characteristics and purposes. They vary from few people focusing on a niche topic to vast virtual worlds with millions on members linked together in one platform. Agendas of online communities have no limits. People leverage online communities to gain and share information, build networks, penetrate markets or just to share point of views. Consumers participate in such platforms around a commodity or brand while corporations do the same in their business interests. This paper will examine online communities from a business point of view. Rob Howard in his 2010 article for Mashable, divided online communities into three parts from a business point of view.

- Direct Community: These communities belong to a company who set up the platform themselves. Direct communities are run and managed by the companies as a part of the organization, to serve the cause of the company. For example Coca Cola community or Sony Community in their websites. This format is also aimed at closed B2B and internal employee communities. As the platform belongs to the organization, every new sign up is beneficiary to the owner.

- Managed Community: These are company created and managed communities but set up on an existing social media platform like Facebook or Twitter. For example Adidas Facebook page or Toyota Twitter profile. Hence the organization does not necessarily benefit from individual sign ups.

- Participating Communities: Some communities may have a company or its product and service as the centre, but created by non-organizational groups or individuals. These communities are usually created on existing social media sites. Fan pages are the most common examples of such communities. Even though the company discussed can participate in such communities they lack control over the content or discussions.

Community Manager: Understanding the definition and role of a community manager is one of the research questions in this thesis. As the role varies by a great deal and goes by different names it is difficult to come up with a comprehensive definition (Jade Furubayashi, 2014). The most common understanding of a community manager in business is someone who engages and builds relationships within the community, maintains the purpose of the community and lastly acts as the medium between the community and the company behind it (Jenn Pedde, 2014).
• Social Media: The Internet has been taken over by the growing phenomenon called the social media. The last decade has observed multi billion dollar companies providing social media services. It allows people to interact through different forms in real time. (Christopher Lyons, October 5, 2012). Social media can be categorized in three parts according to its functions (Danah M. Boyd & Nicole B. Ellison, 2007): ‘We define social media sites as web-based services that allow individuals to (1) construct a public or semi-public profile within a bounded system, (2) articulate a list of other users with whom they share a connection, and (3) view and traverse their list of connections and those made by others within the system. The nature and nomenclature of these connections may vary from site to site.’ The parameters of this definition will be used in this paper.

**Online Community and Social Media:** These two concepts are often used synonymously, which is often not wise. It is important to understand the relation between the two notions for this paper. It is particularly important for a business to know the difference between the two and how they fit together in order to make better decisions. The objectives and functions vary, hence requiring different approaches. Gina Narramore discusses this issue in her article for 4Roads, in 2013. She begins by stating the similarities between social media and online communities:

- They both exist online.
- They both are places where people discover content and other people.
- They both fall within the blurry lines that define social business platforms.
Social media by itself is a much like an offline social network, it’s centred around your existing social group (Johnson, 2014). The connections made on social media are people with prior acquaintance. Friends, family, classmates etc. are the first people one connects through social media to stay connected and interact virtually. This circle however grows with the use of social media (Michael Wu, 2012).

Online Communities are built around a common interest through which people are connected (Gina Narramore, 2013). The connections here are made with people with no prior relationship. The passion or value around anything is what holds the community together. People become a part of online communities to contribute their ideas, thoughts or opinions about the common topic, if not that, then to learn about topic through asking questions or discussions (Michael Wu, 2012).
However, having their differences social media and online communities have a strong connection. A study of social media in a corporate setting done by Otala and Pöysti (2008, p.19), claims “social media always creates a community”. This idea is echoed by Lietsala and Sirkkunen (2008, p.24), who say it is a typical phenomenon but not obligatory. Although communities sprout out of social media they rarely have the strong sense of community, which is a key feature of online communities (Mayfield, 2008).

**Figure 6.** Social media and online community in a business model. Their layers and features according to progression. (Source: 4Roads)

### 2.2 BraveNewTalent

BraveNewTalent is a company closely related to community management and how it can be applied to a business model. Working for BraveNewTalent as a community manager intern, observations and personal experience during that is part of the secondary research for this paper. It will also be used as an example and case later in the paper. Hence this section will clarify about the company and its functions.
The following is the company description of BraveNewTalent extracted from its website. This will help provide an initial idea about the organization:

At BraveNewTalent, we want to be the home of lifelong learning – helping individuals reach their professional potential, and organizations attract, engage and develop talent.

We bring enterprise organizations and individuals together in online communities to share knowledge, exchange ideas and engage with mentors.

Our online communities recognize and reward member contributions, allowing the best talent to rise to the top on merit.

**Mission: Democratize Education and Meritocracize Opportunity:**

Our vision is to make professional learning social.

We believe so much of the world’s knowledge is locked inside the experience of professionals, and within the confines of company walls. We want to provide a medium to unlock this knowledge and experience.

We see online communities as the most efficient way to deliver this. We believe the future of learning lies in the curation of content through communities.

Organizations need social learning to attract, engage and develop talent.

By making professional learning social, we are creating a parallel model of education that better meets global industry demands.
Values: Everything we do is driven by our company values:

**Humble Audacity**
We are on a mission to change how the world learns but we are humble in our quest.

**Empowerment**
Our talent is empowered to reach their professional potential & be accountable in doing so.

**Delight**
We want to Wow our members & customers. Great is not good enough.

**Fail Forwards**
We have and will continue to make mistakes and embrace failure as long as we learn from it.

**Inspire lifelong learning**
We value intellectual curiosity.

Figure 7. BraveNewTalent, Company values. (Source: BraveNewTalent)

The CEO of the company explains in an interview that, BraveNewTalent can be considered a hybrid between LinkedIn and Yammer. It can be used to find and fill jobs like LinkedIn but unlike LinkedIn there is no formal job posting or recruiting option in the platform. On the other hand it can be used both internally within an enterprise and ex-
ternally to communicate with the mass as an organization, which is unlike Yammer (Butcher, 2013).

Companies interested in online community can build one using BraveNewTalent as their platform. Most companies maintain two communities, a private one for the members of the enterprise to communicate, share knowledge, learn, notify etc. internally. The purpose of such communities is to motivate, train, maintain and promote best practices for employees. It helps create a company culture and bonding. The other community is similar to other brand communities where the company communicates to the mass audience. The purpose of such communities is to create brand value, understand their target market and also to find potential candidates for hire.

Individuals on the platform can derive benefit in two ways. They can follow their desired companies and become a part of the community. It allows them to network with company members through community engagement, which can be taken to a personal level through private messaging. Another incentive for individuals is that many professional topics and advice are discussed on the platform. Career, Job search, leadership, transition, presentation and many other professional communities are present in BraveNewTalent. Individuals can participate in communities of their interests, which have mentors and experts sharing insights.

Similar to most social networking sites it allows organizations and individuals to post, like, comment around the content. Contains a homepage with the latest activity of people and communities being followed. Profile page showing information about the user, community or organization along with their activities. Private messaging feature is available for more personal interactions.
3 Primary Research

‘’Research is formalized curiosity. It is poking and prying with a purpose.’’

__Zora Neale Hurston.

The design and strategy of the primary research are in harmony with the research method chosen in Section 1.3 earlier in the paper. Researchers are not restricted to a single data collection process; in fact several can be used to conduct a study (Bogdan, Hervier and Linte, 2012).

Through in-depth interviews empirical data is collected. The organization and purpose of the interview is cumulative to the knowledge gained through secondary research. Analysis of data generated from in-depth interviews is time-consuming and challenging, but very rewarding (Kathleen W. Piercy, Utah State University). Furthermore researchers gain access to the life experience and deeper meanings of the topics discussed (Kathleen W. Piercy, Utah State University).

3.1 In-Depth Interviews

Prof. Piercy describes in-depth interview as ‘’a semi-structured interview, the researcher asks a series of open-ended questions, with accompanying queries that probe for more detailed and contextual data. Respondents’ answers provide rich, in-depth information that helps us to understand the unique and shared aspects of lives, and meanings attributed to lived experiences.’’ It is a qualitative method, where a confidential secure conversation takes place between the interviewer and the respondent (Vince Bogdan, Ariane Hervier and Thibault Linte, 2012).

For this research ten professionals throughout the business spectrum are chosen for the in-depth interviews. Formulation of the interview is based on the knowledge gained previously and in line with the research questions. The role of community management and the issues highlighted by literature is discussed to address community management issues in a business holistically.
Although in-depth interviews provide detailed information, it has some drawbacks (Boyce and Neale, 2006). In their study of conducting in-depth interviews in 2006, the authors discuss the restraints of the method as seen below.

Prone to bias: The professionals interviewed here may want to display their own profession or company in a better light. Personal experiences may drive opinions or give a point of view, which, may not be accurate.

Time Consuming: Conducting an in-depth interview is surely time consuming due to its elaborative nature. Planning such interviews, categorizing them, isolating findings and analyzing data require significantly larger time than alternative methods.

Interviewer skills: To get the best out of an interview the interviewer needs to competent in making the interviewee comfortable and willing to share the knowledge and data. Even though it’s an informal conversation of sort the person conducting the interviews should have the techniques to keep the discussion to the topic at the same time making sure their opinions are not worded.

Not generalizable: Generalized conclusions can’t be reached from in-depth interviews as small samples are chosen due to time constraints. Random sampling is not also practiced to full extent, which further limits the ability to generalize.

3.2 Sample

Sampling is a selection process of some part of an aggregate or totality on the basis of which a judgement or inference about the aggregate or totality is made. In other words when an idea is formed about a large group by studying a smaller selected part of the group (Haque, 2016). A faulty sample or a poorly designed one, will inevitably lead to erroneous results (Kennedy, 2008).

Predominantly there are three types of samples: The convenient, the judgement and the random sample (Fridah W. 2002). Each has a distinctive process of selection as discussed below.
3.2.1 The Convenient Sample.
This sampling technique depends on the accessibility, suitable timing or other factors. Resulting in the more convenient elementary units from the population to be studied. (Fridah W. 2002).

3.2.2 The Judgement Sample.
This is a non-probability sampling method, where subjects are believed to be good representative and source of empirical data according to the researcher’s judgement. Sound knowledge about the characteristics of the population is required for judgement sampling (Fridah W. 2002).

3.2.3 The Random Sample.
The random sampling process relies on a probability method. The researcher has no way to influence the selection of any subject; each subject has an equal chance to get selected. Lotteries and Raffles use random sampling (Fridah W. 2002).

The sampling process used in this paper is a mixture between Convenient and Judgement sampling. Respondents are professionals who work in or with online community as part of a business model. The qualitative nature of the research, scarce resources and limited network drove the selection process.

Understanding the weakness in the sample and data gathered from it, efforts were made for an ample sample size to gain consistency. The larger the number of respondents the more weight the data contains in terms of validity and integrity. Determining the sample size for a qualitative research is challenging, interviews were conducted until trends and consistency was found in the data. After ten interviews the data showed confidence in making an analysis and put forward a result. Therefore the sample size in this research is ten.

All ten interviewees are working professionals in respective companies. The location of the respondents included UK, Romania, Bangladesh and India but mostly USA. To overcome the geographical barrier interviews were conducted via Skype and Google Hangout. A potential list of subjects was made using three sources:
1. Networks and connections made during the internship at BraveNewTalent.
2. Clients and members in the BraveNewTalent site.
3. The community management group in LinkedIn.

This list exercised Judgement Sampling as subjects seeming knowledgeable about online community and its application in a business were chosen. Individuals were approached through email, InMail or the messaging option in case of BraveNewTalent site. The elements of Convenient Sample came in, as some subjects were unwilling to participate while others were unavailable due to time difference or busy schedule.

### TABLE 1: Interviewee List.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Job Title</th>
<th>Industry</th>
<th>Company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diana Richards</td>
<td>02.06.2014</td>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>Community Manager</td>
<td>Service</td>
<td>BraveNewTalent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caroline Lwali</td>
<td>02.06.2014</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>PR and communications.</td>
<td>Service</td>
<td>Freelance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Wallace</td>
<td>10.06.2014</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Project Manager</td>
<td>Service</td>
<td>Lockheed Martin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinod Nair</td>
<td>11.06.2014</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Community Manager</td>
<td>Service</td>
<td>Wit Ink Creative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qammar Jaffri</td>
<td>13.06.2014</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Relationship Manager</td>
<td>Service</td>
<td>Working for Youth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucian Tarnowski</td>
<td>13.06.2014</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>CEO</td>
<td>Service</td>
<td>BraveNewTalent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asif Rizwanullah</td>
<td>16.06.2014</td>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>HR Executive</td>
<td>Software</td>
<td>NewsCred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samantha Rosenthal</td>
<td>18.06.2014</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Community Manager</td>
<td>Service</td>
<td>Blippar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephanie Chitty</td>
<td>18.06.2014</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Social Media Manager.</td>
<td>Service</td>
<td>Lowcosttravelgroup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John D’ Angelo</td>
<td>18.06.2014</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Software Engineer</td>
<td>Software</td>
<td>Microsoft</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. provides the names and details of the interviewees for this research. Diversity in terms of occupation, industry, age, gender and nationality was ensured to receive better data. This helped minimizing the shortcomings of a non-random sampling (Bogdan, Hervier and Linte, 2012). Therefore the list contains interviewees from different background, company size, experience level etc.

3.3 Interview Process.

‘’Before anything else, preparation is the key to success’’
Alexander Graham Bell.

The interview process was set up according to the suggestions made in ‘’Business Research Methods’’3rd edition, authored by Alan Bryman and Emma Bell, 2011

A formal e-mail was sent to the interviewee providing all necessary details and background about the interview. The e-mail provided the following information:

- Name, age, institution and academic details of the interviewer.
- The objective of the interview along with the research questions.
- The interview will be used strictly for the purposes of this research paper.
- The interview can be conducted via Skype or Google Hangout.
- The Format of the interview, which is a semi structured, in-depth interview.
- How long the interview will last.

After the details were provided any queries were welcomed and a convenient date was asked for. Skype and Gmail addresses of the interviewer were offered and given the option to choose either of the platforms. The e-mail ended by showing appreciation for their cooperativeness and assistance.

According to Bryman and Bell (2011) the environment of an interview should contain the least distractions. Crowd, loud noise, disturbing lights etc. should be avoided. To ensure such environment interviews were conducted from home with a neutral back-
ground. Respondents similarly chose to do the interview from home or a quite room in their workplace.

After greetings and few pleasantries, the interviewees were asked again if they had any questions. Once the interview started efforts were made to keep it informal so that the respondent felt comfortable and shared their insights in an open manner. Caution was taken to not let the interviewer’s opinion or perspective play a part in the conversation. Questions and comments were made by the interviewer to drive the discussion towards relevant knowledge of the research but otherwise the other party was encouraged to do most of the talking.

A guideline of the discussion points and questions was kept to aid with the interview, which can be found in the appendix. Notes were taken to retain the information gained rather relying on memory.

3.4 Data analysis Method and Strategy.

Qualitative data consists of words and observations rather than numbers. Like any other data it requires systematic analysis and interpretation for structure and understanding (Powell and Renner, 2003). There are many techniques to analyse qualitative data. There is no hierarchy of process when it comes to analysing qualitative data (Powell and Renner, 2003) it depends on:

- Research objective
- Who the research is for
- The resources available

Sources and forms of Qualitative data vary from interviews, observations and documents (Patton, 2002). Such data is not easily ‘crunched’ by statistical softwares (Suter, 2012, pg.344) In this paper the primary source is interviews. The data in this paper is analysed using the suggestions made in a University of Wisconsin study “Analyzing Qualitative Data” (2003), making slight adjustments where seemed suitable for this research.

The interviews were approached with some pre-set categories. These categories were formed according to the research questions and knowledge from literature reviews and
observations. As the process went forward new categories emerged from the responses. Saturation was reached when no new categories surfaced and patterns started repeating. Categorizing the data into themes and ideas and identifying them with coded letters is commonly used and highly recommended for qualitative data. The technique was applied during the data collection and analysing period.

3.4.1 Coding.

In qualitative research coding is generally letters, words or short phrases that symbolically groups large pieces of information into ideas, themes or concepts (Saldana, 2008). Coding is often done in two rounds, initially when the data is being collected via interview, photographs, documents etc. The second round of coding happens when all the data is in hand and is coded into structured chunks of information.

3.4.2 Categorization.

When coded data is processed towards a systematic order of categories (Saldana, 2008). Repeating and refining the method gives more meaning to the data and forms distinct categories (Grbich, 2007). Table two gives an example of coding and categorization used in this paper.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Category (Code)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What is the role of a community manager?</td>
<td>Advertiser (Ad), Communicator (Comm), Build brand image (BI), Engaging customers (EC)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Categories often contain layers of information leading them to be divided into subcategories (Saldana, 2008). Study of the categories and their relation leads to the formation of theory (Tavallaei and Talib, 2010). They go on to claim that there is a shift from reality to abstract when the empirical data is used to form theories. Figure 8 illustrates a simplified version of the process of using codes and category to form a theory.
Categories were refined as the data collection went on, a more microscopic look at the data stemmed subcategories. Each category and subcategory was cross compared giving rise to themes and concepts. These themes and concepts under the light of theoretical background enabled testing hypothesis eventually allowing to draw conclusions.
3.5 Research Quality.

All researches or studies have limitations this one is no exception. To begin with proper training and experience lacked to conduct a scientific research. This means that the research might be subject to shortcomings such as personal bias, insufficient data, context specific and faulty analysis (Anderson, 2010).

The qualitative method itself has limitations. In a broad sense qualitative research is "any kind of research that produces findings not arrived at by means of statistical procedures or other means of quantification" (Strauss and Corbin, 1990, pg.17). Instead studies are conducted in natural settings through human interaction and observation (Hancock, Ockelford, Windridge. 2007). Researches are evaluated in terms of reliability and validity. Dr. Anderson (2010) explains that although the reliability and validity are traditionally a measure of quantitative research their use in accessing qualitative research is increasing in recent times. She further distinguishes validity as the genuineness and reliability as the reproducibility of a research.

Qualitative research is at a disadvantage with regards to reliability, due to the process of the study involving much more human factors, which is difficult to replicate. Replication of this research can only be done to a certain extent using the semi-structured interviews.

Validity depends on the techniques and methods used to produce results. In this paper the secondary and primary research was combined and analysed through recommended techniques to produce results.

Figure 9. Reliability and Validity. (Source: UNTHSC, 2015)
4 Frame of Reference.

This section will discuss the point of reference and its progression towards this research. The key ideas stumbled on during the literature review and how that merged with observation to form questions will be described here. Also how the understanding and knowledge gave rise to hypothesis, which shaped the primary research will be a part of this section.

4.1 Online Community’s effects on Business.

The element of online communities in business is a relatively recent development (Bogdan, Hervier and Linte, 2012). People connect online by sharing their views over a vast range of topics. Groups are formed through common interests, age group, agendas, point of view etc. Online communities and social networks are the roman marketplace of the 21st century. Word of mouth has always been essential for the growth of a business. Hence businesses were quick to catch up with the trend and used the Internet to reach the mass. However this was different than the previous mediums like newspaper, radio, television, billboards etc. used by businesses to reach the crowd.

Traditionally B2C communication has been one way, marketers sent a message through various channels, which the consumers received (Zhang, 2008). A consumer had no way to communicate back or express their opinion. The online crowd is empowered with a voice and has the ability to be activists on any topic or cause of their liking. A company or brand is faced with the possibility of both positive and negative feedback from their target group. This ability to communicate back to the marketers in a manner of their choice, which is for the whole world to see, has changed the whole dynamics of the notion (Zhang, 2008). A new challenge rose of tackling negative feedback while an opportunity emerged to make customers ambassadors for the brand. The development made brands rethink their strategy. In a platform, which thrives on human interaction marketers had to plan with customers at the centre not the brand. A tactic or system had to be found which maintained an engaging relationship with customers at the same time using them for the benefit of the brand.
4.2 Brand Communities.

‘‘Marketing is a contest for people’s attention’’
Seth Goding.

In order to be a successful brand you have to catch people’s attention as the above quote suggests. The technological and online advancements lead to the establishment of a variety of brand communities (Jang, Koh and Koh, 2007). Although the definition and the idea of brand communities differs a well-recognized one states; “shared consciousness, rituals, traditions, and a sense of moral responsibility” (Muniz and O’Guinn, 2001). At its core the coming together of people through their common interest towards a brand is a brand community.

It is important to divide brand communities into two groups for this study. The first is a consumer-initiated community and the second company-initiated community (Jang, Koh and Koh, 2007). Consumer initiated brand communities form independent of the company owning the brand. Enthusiasts of a brand take the initiative and build a community and gather fellow supporters of the brand. Apple Computer enthusiasts and Harley Davidson Riders are examples of consumer-initiated brands. Here the customers identify strongly with the brand thus have a community of their own to express that. Company-initiated brand communities are established by the brand owners with the purpose of business benefits. This research is concerned with company-initiated brand communities only.

Companies like Amazon.com reaped great benefits using brand communities as people wrote reviews, shared them and interacted with fellow book lovers generating massive online book shopping (Levinson, 2001). But the practice and results of brand communities are inconsistent. When other brands tried to replicate the success of Amazon.com by including online communities in their business the output was not necessarily the same. As the phenomenon of brand communities has not been around for long its practice and execution are inconsistent. A clear understanding of its implementation to reach business goals is yet to be common knowledge for all companies (Hall, 2009).
4.2.1 Building Brand Communities.

In a Harvard Business Review article Fournier and Lee (2009), state even though it’s time for companies to invest in brand communities to harness benefits achieved by others there are many misconceptions obstructing the building of proper brand communities. Thus, it’s important to understand how to build a brand community. Richard Millington the founder of FeverBee a community consultancy and Professional Community Management course explains the steps of building a brand community:

**Step 1**- Identify the purpose of the community along with a timeline. The resources available along with the target audience need to be determined. Design a performance monitoring system.

**Step 2**- Understanding the characteristics, motivations and common practice of the people of the brand. Brainstorming the topics to be discussed in the community. Finding the brand enthusiasts who can start and influence the community. Making sure the community is designed within their comfort zone.

**Step 3**- According to the answers of step 1 and step 2 adopt a theme and provide differentiation in the community. Select or create the most fitting platform.

**Step 4**- Notify interested and relevant people and launch.

**Step 5**- Build relation with community influencers, introduce community members to each other. Push content to drive activity. Guide new members to participate in the community. Develop plan for internal community growth.

**Step 6**- Work on building the sense of a community.

**Step 7**- Inspire community participation, highlight most active members, provide motivation for activity, set objectives, celebrate milestones and keep reaching out and grow the community.

4.2.2 Community Management.

Building the right community and getting people to join is only the beginning of a brand community. The success of a community depends on the community management (O’Conghaile, 2014). There are many theories on how community management helps a business. The studies of Community Roundtable, articles from The Community Manager, FeverBee and various other resources are combined to produce the following list of what good brand communities does for a business.
What does Community Management do for a business?

1. It personifies the brand by giving it a personality. The tone of the community and its environment creates an image, which is perceived as the brand image. For example Coca Cola represents sharing, togetherness and happiness (Mainwaring, 2014), coca cola communities reflect that attitude helping it to enforce the brand image.

2. The brand community is a place where the brand interacts with their customers therefore good community management builds lasting relationship with their customers turning them into brand enthusiasts who then in turn become advocates of the brand. The goal of community management is also to increase the customer base along with brand loyalty. There are different degrees of commitment and attachment towards a brand. David M. Kalman uses the figure below to differentiate the levels of consumer connection with a brand in his white paper (2009).

![Figure 10. Consumer connection levels of a brand. (Source: Kalman, 2009.)](image)
The layers in ascending order, which starts with prospects. Prospects have no brand affiliation looking for options. Non-committals just happen to be a customer of a brand because of exterior factors rather than the brand itself. Brand Admirers makes a conscious choice to commit to a brand due to genuine preference or admiration. Brand enthusiasts identify with a brand of their choice and advocate for it. It is the task of community managers to drive people towards the inner layers.

3. Gain Insight on the market and customers to plan operations. By engaging and monitoring the community the strengths and weakness of a brand, attitude towards brand products or policies can be understood and necessary steps can be taken. For example receiving negative feedback from community members eBay retracted fee increases for professional sellers in the auction (Dholakia and Vienello, 2009). When designing or launching new products the brand community is the perfect place to test and get new ideas. Lego Group reached out to a group of their brand enthusiasts in an online community to seek insight on their new game Mindstorms NXT. Lego later said that they received vital advice about design, usability, hardware and software from the community members.

4. The brand community can serve as an effective customer service channel pushing issues to respective departments to get quick solutions. As the community management team communicates through the mask of the brand likeable people in the community managers will cause the customers to like the brand.

5. Mishaps and mistakes can make customers very angry leading them to vent out in the brand community. This can prove lethal if not dealt properly in time. Brand community aids damage control in such cases. Figure 11 is an example of how KLM dealt with a frustrated customer, which could’ve hampered the brand image seriously.
The figure above demonstrates a vital use of community management. The post from the agitated customer was visible to all members of the community who are likely to react adversely about the airlines. Customers tend to share bad experiences more proactively than pleasant experiences hence this post had the possibility to spread. However the reply from KLM was prompt and sincere. It showed empathy towards the customer’s situation and offered genuine solution. Although the reply was directed towards the concerned customer it is visible to all the members of the community. The goodwill and the promptness will impress many customers creating a better brand image.

Community management responsibilities range at all levels of an organization. An effective and beneficial community management requires cross-departmental functionality, which needs to be designed and maintained by the community management team.
4.3 Challenges of Community Management.

This section will dive into community management and how businesses are dealing with it. Through study and observation the common community manager position and issues will be investigated. Retrospect studies of past researches, expert opinions, business endeavours on the topic will be carried out. BraveNewTalent will also be used for better understanding of the matter.

The most common struggle every community manager in a business faces, is to show returns on investment. Community engagement isn’t an outcome; the management needs to see ROI. Planning, launching and managing an online community take time (Joshua Pual, 2011). The impact of a community on business metrics takes more time than the senior management is willing to wait. Joshua further explains that organizations, spending big budgets, resources and time in community project expect results within the first couple of months. Often projects are retreated based on cost-benefit analysis with an insufficient time period. Richard Millington is the founder of Feverbee, a community consultancy and Professional Community Management Course. His books ‘‘Buzzing Communities’’, ‘‘Online Community Manifesto’’ and ‘‘The Proven Path’’ holds great value in the community world. Some common trends in his book are aligned with the research questions in this paper. He noticed in his extensive experience that it is difficult to establish community management as a discipline by itself. The purpose, role and activity are unclear to many businesses, which is the root problem of most unsuccessful business communities.

Online Community, Social Media marketing, Community Management and similar terms have become buzzwords in the recent years (Tim McDonald, 2012). Companies know they should have one without having a full understanding on how it should be done. Deborah Ng points out the misconceptions sweeping organizations about the role in his book Online Community Management for Dummies. Firstly, it is not something interns or entry-level employees should handle. Just being present in Facebook, Twitter or LinkedIn is not community management. Secondly as mentioned before organizations should realize it is a discipline by itself. It is not a part of Marketing, PR or customer service, which it is often thought to be. Hence the task is thrown to unqualified members of such departments, who puts it down in their to do list among other things.
The lack of understanding of community management in a business model reverberates in most studies on the subject. For example, Din Hinchcliffe of Enterprise 2.0 states community management has begun to move to the forefront of discussions about enterprise social computing as the use of social tools begins to climb the maturity curve. Despite that its place in the organizational chart is vague. Managers and executives don’t have enough information regarding the importance and process of community building, which prevents them from properly facilitating community establishment (Korppi Tomolla, 2011). The business benefits and strategic points of view about community needs to be informed and explained to the decision makers for proper execution. Practical problem for the companies resides in the idea of profitability, which drive them. It’s an operation, which doesn’t provide any direct or precise profit value (Vince Bogdan, Ariane Hervier and Thibault Linte, 2012).

4.3.1 Observations Made in BraveNewTalent

As a community management intern at BraveNewTalent there was ample opportunity to gain first-hand experience on how companies approached online community, starting from the decision making process till its effects on the business.

BraveNewTalent approached or is approached by organizations interested in having an online community. Once financial negotiations are complete, meetings are held with the organization to plan the launching of the community. Community management intern is included in these meetings. If the business does not posses proper knowledge on community management it is usually revealed in this stage. Contemplation on who will take on the role of community manager is typically the first sign. A member of the marketing, PR or customer service is scooped up to wear the hat of community manager. This implies the company doesn’t recognize community management as a unique position nor does it fully understand the role and tasks involved. Detailed discussions paint a picture of the company’s perspective and expectations with regards to online community.

Another observation made in BraveNewTalent is with designated qualified community managers. Companies decide to invest in online community and hire a community manager. However with accordance to Chapter 2.2 the community manager and the senior management are not quite on the same page. This occurs ordinarily in large companies.
Community manager fails to receive proper support while the management can’t appreciate the value of the community manager’s efforts.

Both the phenomenon mentioned above have two possible outcomes. The community becomes stagnant. With very little and far apart activity. Only having one to keep up with the competitors. The other one being termination of online community investments and efforts.
5 Empirical Findings

5.1 Interviewees

For this research ten interviews were conducted as shown in Table 1. For a balanced and overall understanding the sample consisted 5 interviewees directly or closely related to community management referred as ‘category A’ and 5 interviewees who work in functions unrelated to community management referred as ‘category B’ going forward in this paper. The aim was to gather data from both sides of the coin for a better analysis. All interviewees were asked the same set of questions which can be found in the Appendix 1. Sticking to qualitative research methods interviewees were given the floor to answer openly as much as possible to the questions. Responses were recorded in categories and other forms for analysis.

5.2 Results

5.2.1 Community management in a business.

When asked to define community management responses varied among the sample. Responses from category B were skewed towards company blogs and social media ads. Category A had a more diverse set of responses, Diana Richards from BraveNewTalent defined as a platform to engage with consumers while Vinod Nair from Wit Ink Creative defines it as a brand building tool. According to Stephanie Chitty from Lowcost-travelgroup community management is the human touch of a company. As the conversation went on Category A backed their definition with a case or an example. Diana said in BraveNewTalent where people are looking to build their skills and connecting with people she drives discussions on trending topics and direct people to relevant content or experts to drive activity in the platform. Stephanie gave an example of a mom who inquired if the hotel they were booking had a day care facility and of a man looking for a vegan restaurant in Barcelona. She said this human touch where the consumers can directly speak to you on a human level is community management for her. When category B was asked to elaborate on their definition they talked about writing online blog and social media presence. David Wallace from Lockheed Martin said they produce company blogs as a part of community management. People can read the blogs and learn more about the company. NewsCred has people following them on LinkedIn, according to
Asif Rizwanullah, HR executive of NewsCred in Bangladesh community management looks to increase the number of followers of the company.

5.2.2 Neccesity of community management for all businesses.
All the interviewees agreed that every company should do community management with the exception of Samantha Rosenthal, Community Manager at Blippar. She thinks community management should have a clear purpose with the consumer at the centre. According to her companies like Komatsu who produce heavy vehicles for infrastructure development don’t need community management as they have a very niche consumer base, too small for community management. Furthermore, the consumers of their products are usually very well aware and know exactly what they want making community management irrelevant. Lucian Tarnowski, CEO at BraveNewTalent thinks community management has a place in every company. Social media is a part of everyday life where everyone is present. Not being present in social media means losing out on catching potential customers attention.

5.2.3 The community manager role.
The responsibility of community management should be given to a designated community manager says the majority of category A respondents. Caroline Lwali a Freelance PR and communication manager is the exception in category A in this occasion who feels anyone involved with external communication of a company can be a community manager. Caroline feels community management is all about taking your company to the public, hence people managing external stakeholders are equipped with the skills to take on community management. Category B had mixed responses on this subject. Responses varied from intern, mid-level marketing management to senior level marketing management. John D’Angelo feels interns are best suited for this role as they tend to be more social media savvy and has less on their schedule allowing them ample time to spend on community management. Lucian on the other hand said community management is the voice of a company and is too important to be left in inexperienced hands. A senior management should be in charge of community management or at least supervise what is going public on behalf of the company.

5.2.4 Challenge in community management
A common challenge mentioned by all category A respondents was showing the management results of community management. Samantha said community management
doesn’t provide immediate results, often the time taken to get the benefits out from a community is longer than the management’s patience. Vinod makes the point that community management efforts can’t be directly translated to sales, which makes it difficult at times to justify the investment made on community management. In Diana’s opinion the challenge in community management is to make the whole organization involved in it. Community management is not about just promoting your brand or products, it requires stories, tips, conversation and much more which works best if all the functions are on board and helping out from their side of expertise. Category B respondents had a slightly different perspective on the challenges. Lucian said the challenge in community management is to get people interested and participate. He said BraveNewTalent has just over 200 members in six months and the activity is slow in their community. David believes community management is a slow process, which struggles to provide good ROI. Finding time to do community management is a challenge said John. He feels often there are some bigger business priorities, which cuts on the time of community management making the community slow or stagnant.

5.2.5 Improving community management.

Interviewees were asked to provide suggestions on how to improve community management efforts. Respondents had a primary suggestion, which in their opinion is the most important. Those suggestions have been coded into shorter versions and can be seen in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INTERVIEWEE</th>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>SUGGESTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diana Richards</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Joint effort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caroline Lwali</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Ample Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Wallace</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Focus on sales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinod Nair</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Clear planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qammar Jaffri</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Focus on sales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucian Tarnowski</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Hire experts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asif Rizwanullah</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Product specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samantha Rosenthal</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Joint effort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephanie Chitty</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Clear Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John D’ Angelo</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Hire an intern</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Suggestions to improve Community Management.
6 DISCUSSION

6.1 Conclusions

This section of the paper takes the results and puts it together for analysis. Based on the analysis conclusions are drawn. The research questions will also be answered in this section in the light of the analysis. The section will end with a few suggestions based on the study to make community management more effective for a business.

What is the purpose of community management in a business?

Based on the literature review and the empirical findings it can be understood why defining community management is difficult. Community management can play different roles depending on your objective. As seen in chapter 5.2.1 category A interviewees who are experts at community management have different ideas on its purpose for a business. Although, with different ideas strong logic was given by each category A interviewees to support their views. They each came from their own role as a community manager and what they are doing for their company. Community management brings people together on a common interest. That interest can be a product, a brand, a cause, a hobby or even place with interesting content. It is the job of the community manager to bring the people to the platform of their choice and drive it according to their objective. If the objective is to build brand image then the culture of the community will be the brand and what it stands for. It will value and uphold the people who use the brand. Create that unique brand image to enhance brand image and attract more users. Community management can also be used to gain market insight. A community manager can drive the community in a way where the users talk about their needs or complains on a particular subject relevant to their business. The community manager can make a business case based on the knowledge from the consumers and come up with a solution. Therefore the answer to the question, what is the purpose of community management in a business would be: Community management brings the relevant audience of a business to their desired platform and creates an environment where the community interacts in a manner which is aligned to a specific business objective.

What is the role of a community manager in a business?

As the purpose of community management varies from company to company so does the role of the community manager. As discussed throughout chapter 4 community managers can serve multiple roles. The empirical research also showed that the community managers performed different tasks but under the designation. Hence, the role of the community manager is to steer the community towards the business objectives. For example if a company is looking for a new product idea the community manager has to instigate the community to talk about what they would want more from the company. On the other hand if the company is looking to build their brand the community manager will steer the conversation towards the value of their products. Apart from the core role of driving the community culture accordance to the business objective, all community managers must play the role customer service and PR. When a community is representing a company or an organization it is bound to receive questions from its audience and at the same time criticism from a mistake or an angry customer. It is the job of the community manager to address these issues and dilute it before consequences occur.
What are the gaps in business community management operations?

The literature review and the empirical research both point towards similar gaps in community management operations. Proper understanding of what is community management and how it works is the key issue in community management leading to poor decisions and preconceived ideas on the subject. The empirical research confirms the theory that all senior and management level individuals believe that community management is important and it needs to be done. However when asked to define community management and how can it be useful in a business their replies were misinformed. Most think community management is a form of advertisement done through social media and blogs. Due to this misconception they treat community management investments just like any other investment on advertisement. Advertisements are done with the objective to create awareness among people and influence them to buy their products. Community management is the representation of a company engaging with its audience. Advertisements is a one-way communication where community management is two way. Being so community management needs to build up an audience, this audience will slowly develop a sense of belonging and ownership of the community and engage in manner to deliver business objectives. This takes a lot more time than regular advertisement making community manager task to show progress and ROI difficult.

The profile of a community manager is another big gap. As top decision makers in companies think it’s just social media marketing and blog writing the responsibility of a community manager is given to unqualified individuals or added as a responsibility to someone. This leads to failure of community management efforts. Bringing people to a platform and making them interact in your community is a very difficult task and can’t be done by inexperienced people. Even after getting people to join a community the task of keeping them interested and active on the community still remains which can be daunting for an individual not trained in the field or someone who only does this in between other tasks. Community management represents the whole company hence to have a healthy community all departments and individuals should be involved. If a company’s own employees are not willing to participate in their community it is unfair to expect strangers to join in. When a company is giving insight and interacting across functions it is more authentic and helpful. A user might have technical complains about a product, it will be much more fruitful if an engineer or designer from the company addresses that rather than the community manager who lacks the proper knowledge.

6.2 Suggestions.

According to both primary and secondary research following are some suggestions to make community management more effective:

- Clear Objective- Before indulging into community management a company must have a clear objective on its expectations from the community. The community manager should have a clear brief so that he or she can create a community suitable to achieve the objective.
- Timeline- Along with the objective a company should also fix a timeline to achieve the objective. The full timeline can be broken into parts with smaller ob-
jectives to ensure that the community is progressing accordingly and whether any changes is required.

- **Hire Community managers**- As evident from this paper it is imperative that a qualified community manager is given the task of community management. An unqualified community manager will do more harm to company than good in terms of loss of investment or improper representation of the company.

- **Working as a team**- If a company decides to do community management they should do it seriously to gain any benefit from it. A company has many different functions and stories within it. When all sides are heard the audience get a true picture of the company and feels more connected with it.

These suggestions are purely based on this paper and personal understanding of the subject. A company should look into other studies and scholarly work on community management before taking decisions on this subject.
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APPENDICES

Appendix 1. Interview Questions.

1. What does community management mean for a business?
2. Should all companies do community management?
3. What is the role of a community manager?
4. Who should be responsible for community management?
5. What are the challenges in community management?
6. What suggestion will you give to improve community management efforts?