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Programming has an enormous presence in everyday life of 21 century. New generation students are surrounded by computer technology and will possibly do in the future an occupation that has not been invented yet. Digital literacy is the ability to understand and use digital technologies effectively for everyday tasks. Digital literacy is as important for children today as reading and writing skills.

The aim of this thesis was to design and implement a mobile application for tablets that could introduce children to the basics of programming logic with an easy and interactive way. Children by playing with a friendly user interface will be able to understand better of what happens inside computers and also improve their math and logic skills. Using the tablet, children can develop their code by arranging different shapes-pieces-images-blocks that represent simple programming commands as part of a game. The blocks include basic functions as “move”, loops as “repeat” and conditions as “if”. Additionally children they could see the logic results and actions in reality as interaction with a Lego Mindstorms™ EV3 robot. This will make them also more curious with the magical world of robotics.

This master’s thesis starts with an introduction on the importance of teaching young children concepts of programming and we continue with the exploration of the background and current state solutions in the area of children programming. After the taxonomy of the various programming environments we present comparative studies between the different interfaces. Based on the comparisons and studies we have explored, we propose a mobile application for tablets that is isomorphic with a tangible programming language that will create a full introductory programming ecosystem, ready to bridge the gap between the tangible and graphical solutions on the area of programming for children. As a conclusion we present the different issues raised during the design and development phase of our application and the future work we intent to carry out.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Not long ago educating children to become adept at reading and writing was considered enough to provide them with the necessary skills to explore the world of knowledge. But a quiet revolution has started in the past few years in education matters. Digital literacy is considered as an important trait as reading and writing. Many countries like the United Kingdom and Estonia are incorporating into their educational curriculums lessons of programming, even from the first grades of elementary school.

By departing from the classic approach that computers are just like cars,-someone need not know about internal combustion engines in order to drive a car-, educating children in the art of programming has many obvious and substantial benefits. By promoting team work, sharpening problem solving skills, learning to create algorithms in a children-friendly manner will be more important in the years to come than just learning a new foreign language, or how to paint. Without exaggeration we might see coding as the new lingua franca and who is a better ambassador for this new universal language than children, the future and hope for every society.

In this thesis we discuss the topic of educational programming software for children. We provide an overview of existing technologies utilizing different interfaces to educate children, like text-based programming environments, graphical programming environments, tangible programming systems and mobile systems. We suggest a mobile graphical isomorphic equivalent of a tangible programming system which will operate on a mobile device, e.g. tablet. Furthermore our system will utilize a Lego Mindstorms™ robot connecting wirelessly to the tablet, which will perform like an actor for playing out the various programming scripts. The user in mind is any child or classroom of children wanting to learn to program in a fun and interactive manner, but we believe that our approach is better suited for children aged 4~10.
2 BACKGROUND

2.1 Children and programming

In contradiction to what is happening to automated knowledge activities the ones that require knowledge or skills that were acquired by repeating practice (e.g. the skills of writing, reading, multiplication), the process of problem solving presupposes a mental function in which we need to develop different strategies to approach the problem. When trainees -children- learn a new programming language (Logo for instance) in order to accomplish a given task, what really matters is that apart from the end result or the programming language itself, is the user experience. By experience we mean the process of developing the necessary problem solving strategies, coming up with ideas and testing their validity, dealing with errors on problem diagnosis in a positive manner, increasing a children's confidence in its own judgment, since the tutor shares the same belief and in general the preoccupation of the apprentice with the process of learning.

The pedagogical value of these activities that require thought, is that they enable the child to learn to think more effectively and in other areas apart from programming, either by adopting more flexible and adaptive strategies and logic, or by accelerating the transition to more advanced mental stages that mold new knowledge to long-term gnosis. Subsequently learning to program with children must first be an immersive and fun experience. In the following subsections we present an overview of the different programming interfaces with chronological order of appearance.
2.2 Text based languages

2.2.1 Text based programming

Text based programming implies that the user will type commands-or select them from a menu- that will form a structured program which will be either compiled or interpreted. The output of the program will be either in the form of messages or more likely in some form of on-screen graphical representation (e.g. the movement of a turtle). As in every text based programming language mastering the syntax of the language takes an initial amount of time which might make the learning process for children less attractive. It has been pointed out that text based languages (Kelleher, C., & Pausch, R., 2005) are more suitable as programming learning aids for children from ages 10 and above that already have some level of experience from graphical and tangible programming systems.

2.2.2 Text based programming languages

Logo is an educational programming language (Logo webpage 2014) designed in 1967 by Daniel G. Bobrow, Wally Feurzeig, Seymour Papert and Cynthia Solomon. Today the language is remembered mainly for its use of "turtle graphics", in which commands for movement and drawing produced line graphics either on screen or with a small robot called a "turtle". The language was originally conceived to teach concepts of programming related to LISP programming language and only later to enable what Papert called "body-syntonic reasoning" where students could understand (and predict and reason about) the turtle's motion by imagining what they would do if they were the turtle. There are substantial differences between the many dialects of Logo, and the situation is confused by the regular appearance of turtle graphics programs that mistakenly call themselves Logo. Logo is generally known as an interpreted language, although recently there have been developed compiled Logo dialects—such as Lhogho or Ligo. It is a compromise between a sequential programming language with block structures, and a functional programming language.
Logo's most-known feature is the turtle (derived originally from a robot of the same name), an on-screen "cursor" that showed output from commands for movement and small retractable pen, together producing line graphics. It has traditionally been displayed either as a triangle or a turtle icon (though it can be represented by any icon). Turtle graphics were added to the Logo language by Seymour Papert in the late 1960s to support Papert's version of the turtle robot, a simple robot controlled from the user's workstation that is designed to carry out the drawing functions assigned to it using a small retractable pen set into or attached to the robot's body.

PICTURE 1. A screenshot of a Logo interpreter

Small Basic is a project (Small Basic webpage 2014) that is focused at making programming accessible and easy for beginners. It consists of three distinct pieces:
• The Language
• The Programming Environment
• Libraries
The Language draws its inspiration from an early variant of BASIC but is based on the modern .Net Framework Platform. The Environment is simple but rich in features, offering beginners several of the benefits that professional programmers have come to expect of a
worthy IDE. A rich set of Libraries help beginners learn by writing compelling and interesting programs. Small Basic is intended for beginners that want to learn programming. In internal trials Small Basic has had success with kids between the ages of 10 and 16. However, it's not limited to just kids; even adults that had an inclination to programming have found Small Basic very helpful in taking that first step.

Guido van Robot (GvR) is an educational tool (Guido van Robot webpage 2014) to help students learn the Python programming language, named after the creator of Python, Guido van Rossum. GvR uses the idea behind Karel the Robot, making the learning of Python programming more interesting. Using GvR, a student writes a program that controls a 'robot' that moves through a city consisting of a rectangular grid of streets (left-right) and avenues (up-down). Guido van Robot uses a minimalistic programming language providing just enough syntax to help students learn the concepts of sequencing, conditional branching, looping and procedural abstraction. It permits this learning in an environment that combines opportunities for problem-solving with instant visual feedback. In short, it is an interactive, introductory programming language that focuses on learning the basic concepts of programming, applicable in any high-level language.
2.3 Graphical based languages

2.3.1 Graphical programming

Graphical or visual programming involves the task of creating a structured program through the combination of graphical elements on a program canvas or timeline. Through intuitive graphical representations of programming concepts a user can piece together some kind of programming puzzle on screen and thereafter observe its execution on screen. Little or no typing of commands is required to complete the above task. The creation of graphical programming tools for children is a wide field of research since the early 1960's. Based mainly on graphical interfaces and utilizing the theories of constructivism by Papert (Papert, 1980), a large number of programming languages was created for both children and novice users. These graphical based programming approaches, incorporated simple syntax, nested loops, and control structures through graphical representation, allowing children to program by dragging and connecting icons on computer screens.
2.3.1 Graphical based programming languages

Scratch is a free desktop and online multimedia authoring tool (Scratch webpage 2014) that can be used by students, scholars, teachers, and parents to easily create games and provide a stepping stone to the more advanced world of computer programming or even be used for a range of educational and entertainment constructivist purposes from math and science projects, including simulations and visualizations of experiments, recording lectures with animated presentations, to social sciences animated stories, and interactive art and music. Viewing the existing projects available on the Scratch website, or modifying and testing any modification without saving it requires no online registration. Scratch allows users to use event driven programming with multiple active objects called "sprites". Sprites can be drawn — as either vector or bitmap graphics — from scratch in a simple editor that is part of the Scratch, or can be imported from external sources, including webcam.

![Scratch editor screenshot](picture4.jpg)

PICTURE 4. Scratch editor screenshot

Alice is an innovative 3D programming environment (Alice webpage 2014) that makes it easy to create an animation for telling a story, playing an interactive game, or a video to
share on the web. Alice is a freely available teaching tool designed to be a student's first exposure to object-oriented programming. It allows students to learn fundamental programming concepts in the context of creating animated movies and simple video games. In Alice, 3-D objects (e.g., people, animals, and vehicles) populate a virtual world and students create a program to animate the objects.

In Alice's interactive interface, students drag and drop graphic tiles to create a program, where the instructions correspond to standard statements in a production oriented programming language, such as Java, C++, and C#. Alice allows students to immediately see how their animation programs run, enabling them to easily understand the relationship between the programming statements and the behavior of objects in their animation. By manipulating the objects in their virtual world, students gain experience with all the programming constructs typically taught in an introductory programming course.

PICTURE 5. Alice programming environment screenshot

Kodu is a visual programming tool (Kodu game lab webpage 2014) which builds on ideas begun with Logo in the 1960s and other current projects such as AgentSheets, Squeak and Alice. It is designed to be accessible by children and enjoyable by anyone. Kodu is
available to download as an Xbox 360 Indie Game. There is also a PC version in an open beta which is available to anyone at their website. Kodu is different from those other projects in several key ways:

- It avoids typing code by having users construct programs using visual elements via a game controller
- Rather than a bitmapped or 2D display, programs are executed in a 3D simulation environment, similar to Alice

Kodu Game Lab has also been used as an educational learning tool in selected schools and learning centers.

![Kodu Game Lab programming tool screenshot](image)

**PICTURE 6. Kodu Game Lab programming tool screenshot**

Lightbot is a visual programming game designed to teach basic instruction sequencing, procedures, recursive loops, and conditionals (Lightbot webpage 2014). In Lightbot, players guide a robot to light up blue tiles to solve levels, utilizing a small set of symbols representing actions and procedure calls.
Baltie is an educational graphic oriented programming tool (Baltie webpage 2014) for children, youth (and adults). Baltie is also main character of this software a little wizard keen to execute miscellaneous commands and to conjure pictures (tiles) in his scene. With Baltie's help children will quickly realize what a computer is and how to master and program the computer. All that by playing. Baltie can be used also for exercising logical thinking. It makes no demands on child's knowledge, only playfulness and imagination are required. It is used in many countries in the basic schools. The new version of Baltie 4 fully supports C#.
2.4 Tangible based languages

2.4.1 Tangible programming

Recent research in tangible user interfaces, as they were defined by Ishii and Ullmer, (Ishii, H., & Ullmer, B. 1997), created excellent opportunities for the pioneering implementation of technology inside school classes (Ichida et al., 2004; Itoh et al., 2004). An area that seems to have benefitted by this kind of technology is that of tangible programming environments, with application mainly to education, but not exclusively to it. (McNerney, 2004; Blackwell, 2003). A tangible programming environment may have the same usage results, with a text-based or graphical-based programming language. The peculiarity of tangible environments has to do with instead of using graphical on-screen objects or selecting on-screen commands, real-world objects are being utilized to fulfill the programming process.

Programming in general seems to be a demanding task for novices of all ages (Kelleher & Pausch 2005). Users not only find it difficult to comprehend a cumbersome syntax with awkward-sounding commands, but in addition how to master the programming environment itself (Cockburn & Bryant, 1997). Lowering the learning threshold of a programming environment, is considered as one big advantage of tangible user interfaces. Given the fact that users no longer need to learn how to use a mouse or keyboard, they only need to have the natural ability to operate real every-day objects like cubes or puzzles (Smith, 2007). As a result, it is estimated that tangible systems reduce the knowledge burden of a person having to master a programming environment and thus its attention is being focused at the task of learning how to program (Marshall, 2007).

Various systems that have influenced the creation of tangible user interfaces are the following: (a) AlgoBlocks (Sapounidis, T., & Demetriadis, S. (2009) & Kato, 1993), the first system to introduce programming commands in the form of cubes, (b) Tangible Programming Brick (McNerney, 2001), the first system that incorporated parameters alongside commands, (c) Electronic Blocks (Wyeth & Purchase, 2000), that allowed
children to construct robots or simple programmable mechanisms by connecting tangible programming elements together, (d) Tern (Horn & Jacob, 2007), which was the first to introduce scanning and recognition systems in order to translate the commands issued by the user, into a programming sequence.

2.4.2 Tangible programming systems

Radia Perlman, researcher at M.I.T. media lab, at the late 70's, understood that most children under 11 to 14 years old, were not ready to start programming in the traditional way, e.g. by entering Logo commands in a PC with a keyboard (Kelleher & Pausch, 2005). One of the biggest problems the children faced when it came to programming, was not only writing the code but the user interface also. Perlman then started to design some interfaces that would allow even pre-elementary education children to learn to program a turtle. Those efforts matured to the first interface of this kind Tortis - Slot machine (Kelleher & Pausch, 2005). From that day and onwards, different design approaches to tangible programming followed and they will be presented in brief below.

The Slot machine (Kelleher & Pausch, 2005; McNerney, 2004), was the first system fabricated that introduced plastic cards that could be inserted in three differently-colored stacks. At the left extreme of each stack one could see a "Do it" button. When someone pushed the button, a virtual turtle executed the command that was printed on the card's label. Furthermore upon execution of the command, a light was turned on below the corresponding card. The Slot machine offered among others, some important functions as in the direct manipulation of the executing program, by adding, rearranging, or even removing cards. Furthermore Prelman introduced later on, special cards that provided procedure call capabilities.
AlgoBlock (Sapoundis, T., & Demetriadis, S. (2009) & Kato, 1993) is a tangible educational programming language for elementary education and high school students. The system consists of a collection of cubes that can be connected to form a program. The cubes are then connected to a PC for the program to be executed. Each cube corresponds to a command that is similar to the Logo instructions. AlgoBlock was constructed to promote collaboration. They act as a tool for collaborative activities and help trainees to build programs through social interactions and discussion. The trainees have the task of steering a submarine depicted on screen, by utilizing Logo-like statements. The statements available include, move forward, turn left/right, return etc. Each of the above command-statement has a corresponding cube.

Research by Timothy S. McNerney (McNerney, 2001) on tangible user interfaces, began from 2000 with the construction of the Tangible Programming Brick, which was another tangible programming language. The researchers decided to build a one dimensional system
in which they stacked Lego bricks and thus creating a sequence of commands. To make the system even more powerful they equipped the bricks with a slot on the side. In this slot one could insert a electronic card to act as a parameter for the command, but along the way it became evident that someone could insert other things like switches, sensors etc.

Electronic blocks (Wyeth & Purchase, 2000) are designed in such a way that children can connect them like any ordinary cube. By placing the electronic blocks one on top of the other, children build programs that perform different tasks. Each electronic block has an input and an output and when connected, the output of one cube controls the input of the other. There are three kind of blocks.

- Sensor Blocks
- Action Blocks
- Logic Blocks

Logic blocks detect light, sound and touch in the surrounding environment. Sensor blocks are those that signal Action blocks to perform some operation. Logic blocks have an in-between role. By placing them amid sensor and action blocks, we have the capability of altering the anticipated command. Even very young children, can use a collection of electronic blocks. A simple game with action blocks can produce some sort of events that the children can find interesting and engaging.
AutoHAN (Blackwell & Hague, 2001) is a networked programming architecture that allows programming between different media devices in a house. A part of the AutoHan architecture are the media Cubes. It is a simple programming language suitable for users who can operate a simple VCR remote controller. Someone can argue that programming a VCR is far less challenging than from programming in a PC. But if we want to issue a command to record video from the front door security camera for 5 minutes from the moment the motion detector is triggered, then we can state that programming is involved. Each cube of the system represents a function of a home media appliance. If for example the cube depicts play/pause, the user can associate this cube with the CD player. The combination of more than one cube that represent different media functions, is the process needed to complete the creation of the program.

Tangible programming with strings is a device that was created in order to construct simple programs that control toy robots. (Patten, Griffith, & Ishii, 2000). For the users to create a program in this system, events must be associated with actions which in turn shall be executed as a response to those events. These associations are presented as images that are connected with a string (actually it is a wire twisted like a string). The user associates
events that are created by the robot's sensors, with actions that are executed as a response to those stimulations. Thus the system creates a program that can be loaded on the robot's memory, and to function according to the way the strings were connected.

PICTURE 14. Programming with strings (Patten, Griffith, & Ishii, 2000)

The Game Blocks system (Smith, 2007) is comprised of large cubes which are places on rails and thus creating a sequence of commands. The relative position of the cubes is important, since it expresses a logic sequence, which in turn is a program. The system includes in total 6 commands for the control of a humanoid robot. The available commands are: forward, back, body left, body right, head left, head right. An interesting feature of the system is that it is not necessary to incorporate electronic circuits inside each cube, since the task of recognizing the cubes is carried out by electronic circuits on the rails. Furthermore, it is worth pointing out that the system need not be connected to a PC, since the computational power is being provided by microcomputers integrated inside the circuits.

PICTURE 15. Gameblocks (Smith, 2007)
Quetzal & Tern (Horn & Jacob, 2007), are yet two more tangible programming languages with educational orientation. These languages use solid objects without electronic circuits and electrical power requirements. The programming elements of each language are identical and resemble puzzle pieces. Quetzal is a language used to control a Lego Mindstrom robot, while Tern is used to control a virtual robot in a PC screen. The philosophy of both languages is common, since they were built by the same people, in the same time period. Students using these languages program in offline mode and exploit a portable scanning system to recognize the commands. This scanning system scans the puzzle pieces and recognizes which commands exist and how they are connected, to form a program. Finally, it is noteworthy to state that both languages allow the user to enter parameters at the commands.

PICTURE 16. Quetzal Tern (left-right) (Horn & Jacob, 2007)

The T_ProRob system by (Sapoundis, T., & Demetriadis, S. 2011) consists of 28 cubic commands and 16 smaller cubic parameters. The users of this system can order the cubic commands and program the Lego Mindstrom (NXT) robot to run the sequence of commands that have been formed by the cubes pushing just one button. The set of T_ProRob parameters are smaller cubes which are connected to the commands and changing their operation. The user connects on the basis (Master Box) the commands in order to form the program. Then by pushing the run button, which is on the master-box, the communication between the blocks and the master-box starts in order to have a successful reading of the program. The next task which is undertaken by the master-box is to communicate with a remote computer using Bluetooth or RS 232. This computer records in a Database information about the commands that have been used and also statistical data concerning the program which was created by the user. Once the computer finishes the recording, it sends the program to a NXT robot using Bluetooth so as to run it.
V_ProRob subsystem by Sapounidis, T., & Demetriadis, S. N. (2012) has the same commands -parameters with those offered by the tangible T_ProRob. The subsystem is a graphical isomorphic equivalent of T_ProRob. It accumulates the specific features and the capabilities of T_ProRob. For instance, V_ProRob informs the users about tests and errors on the icons of the commands and parameters the same way that has been done with T_ProRob. It offers to the users a reliable alternative to program the Lego Mindstrom robot with a simple and easy graphical environment via a mouse.

FIGURE 1. T_ProRob system (Sapounidis, T., & Demetriadis, S. 2011)
2.5 Mobile based systems

The mobile revolution has affected many parts of our everyday lives and has also revolutionized education. Mobile devices have been transformed into powerful learning tools and technology will play a big part in the future of the classroom. As mobile learning programs become more ubiquitous, a lot of attention has been given into all the possibilities of integrating mobile devices into formal schooling tools. Traditional schools and universities are trying to leverage the enormous opportunities for innovation in this area and they are investing in tablets for both their students and staff. Technology has spread in many devices like smart-phones and tablets are now full featured programmable apparatuses. Thousands of apps have been designed specifically for education.

Hopscotch is a visual introduction to programming for kids ages 8-12. It allows kids to drag and drop colorful blocks of code to create their own programs (Hopscotch webpage 2014). Children can select preset characters or create text objects and manipulate them by dragging-and-dropping method blocks. For example, you can move an object by a set amount on the X-Y axis, change the scale, or repeat actions. Hopscotch is available on the iPhone and the iPad.

![Programming is easy with our drag and drop blocks.](image)

PICTURE 17. Hopscotch screenshot

ScratchJr is an introductory programming language that enables young children (ages 5-7) to create their own interactive stories and games (Scratch junior webpage 2014). Children
snap together graphical programming blocks to make characters move, jump, dance, and sing. Children can modify characters in the paint editor, add their own voices and sounds, even insert photos of themselves and then use the programming blocks to make their characters come to life. ScratchJr was inspired by the popular Scratch programming language, used by millions of young people (ages 8 and up) around the world.

PICTURE 18. ScratchJr screenshot

Daisy the Dinosaur by Hopscotch Technologies (Daisy webpage 2014) introduces children to basic computer programming. A challenge mode tutorial shows how to make the dinosaur move, jump, shrink and grow using drag and drop instructions. Without explicitly using the terms, it demonstrates looping and conditional programming.

PICTURE 19. Daisy the Dinosaur screenshot
Through Cargo-Bot children (ages 6-12) write programs to control a robotic arm (Cargo-Bot webpage 2014). The game asks students to program an automated cargo crane to pick and drop colored boxes in a particular pattern in particular places. It gives kids hands-on experience with computer science concepts like logic and problem solving. Kids will practice tackling a big problem by breaking it down into smaller problems to solve.

![Picture 20. Cargo-Bot screenshot](image)

Move the Turtle (ages 6-12) is an iPad app for teaching basic computer programming to young children (Move the turtle webpage 2014). Kids find the game's goal—to move a turtle around the screen using programming instructions. Kids learn how to build their programs using the command tiles on the chalkboard. Commands include Move, Turn, Pen, Color, Repeat, Sound, Position, and Conditions. You can reorder the commands and see how the program changes.

![Picture 21. Move the Turtle screenshot](image)
Tynker (ages 9-12) iPad app is based on collections of puzzles, solved by stringing together commands in sequences using a drag and drop interface (Tynker webpage 2014). Introduces concepts like sequencing, repetition and conditional logic. Reinforces basic geometry concepts while using programming to draw angles and lines. Tynker is inspired by visual programming languages such as Scratch from MIT, Alice from CMU, and other programming languages like Logo, SmallTalk, and Squeak.

PICTURE 22. Tynker screenshot
3 STUDIES

3.1 Comparisons between the different interfaces

Although a big part of tangible systems has to deal with systems aimed at children, only a limited number of researches have utilized children and tried to compare graphical and tangible user interfaces. Specifically in the field of puzzle solving (Xie, L., Antle, A. N., & Motamedi, N. 2008), presented the results of a comparative research that included physical, tangible and graphical interfaces to solve the puzzle. The children were occupied with puzzle solving, using all three interfaces (physical, tangible, graphical). Children responses regarding the appeal of each interface, showed no difference among the three interfaces. As far as commitment is concerned, it was noted that in the case of the physical and tangible interfaces, a larger number of participants wished to solve another puzzle. Furthermore, Antle et al. (Antle, A. N., Droumeva, M., & Ha, D. 2009) using the same system, investigated the hypothesis that immediate physical interaction favors users in the case they have to deal with spatial problems. The results demonstrated that children were faster and more effective at puzzle solving and that can be attributed to the different actions and strategies the children adapted using the tangible system.

In the field of mathematics Manches et al. (Manches, A., O'Malley, C., & Benford, S. 2010), compared physical and virtual materials for solving arithmetic problems, to show how the limitations of the various interfaces, can influence users' actions. This particular research presented that the properties of an interface are important for finding possible solutions in arithmetic problems. On the contrary, Olkun (2003) did not find any difference between the graphical and physical interfaces when the task was to solve two-dimensional geometrical problems.
3.2 Comparisons in programming

Although a limited number of studies tried to compare tangible with isomorphic graphical systems at various fields of knowledge, contradictory results that occurred point-out in many occasions, the need to examine the circumstances under which these kind of interfaces offer more advantages at the environment of a real classroom. (Zuckerman & Gal-Oz, 2013). Specifically in the field of programming exist scarcely few such studies (Orit & Eva, 2009). These comparative studies deal with tangible and graphical systems, which have analogous characteristics and the focus of research are ease of use, enjoyment etc. In more detail Kwon et al. (2012) performed a study comparing the Algorithmic Bricks with Scratch system (Maloney 2010), but in that case the systems were not isomorphic.

A noteworthy study in tangible programming involves the work from Horn et al. (Horn et al., 2009) which compared a passive and a graphic programming language in the non-controllable environment of a museum. (Boston museum of Science). The research revealed advantages of the tangible programming language versus the graphic one. Specifically the passive tangible programming language was more attractive and more efficient for the users to get actively involved with. Furthermore this active involvement seemed to be more evident with girls. In parallel Horn et al. performed a study at a nursery school with children aged from 5 to 6. (Horn, Crouser, & Bers, 2012). By applying qualitative analysis, they came to the conclusion that if children were given appropriate technologies, they could better understand specific concepts from the fields of programming and robotics.

Even though it is estimated that tangible interfaces are more efficient than graphical ones, only a limited number of studies has addressed the issue of knowledge and social benefits from tangible interfaces in comparison to graphical interfaces. (Xie et al., 2008). In more detail, the impact of tangible interfaces and the circumstances under which tangible objects can become more effective for children to use in various fields such as programming, have not been extensively studied and remain unexplored. (Marshall, 2007; Kelleher & Pausch, 2005). Finally a recent study by (Sapounidis, T., & Demetriadis, S. & Stamelos I. 2014), carried out and presented a comparison study of children’s performance using the two
isomorphic subsystems (tangible versus graphical). Data analysis upon task measurements showed that younger children needed less time to accomplish the programming tasks when using the tangible interface. On the contrary, elder children, who were more experienced computer users, needed almost the same time to accomplish the tasks with both interfaces. Furthermore, fewer programming errors occurred and better debugging was achieved in the tangible case.

3.3 Summary of limitations regarding tangible programming tools

Although there have been many attempts at constructing tangible systems, a lack of tangible programming tools is evident (Kwon et al., 2012). In more detail the limitations that seem to exist are the following: (a) several systems do not have a satisfactory number of commands and parameters (Cockburn & Bryant, 1997) and that seems to limit assimilation of programming concepts, (b) the lack of real time control hinders the smooth interaction of the programmer and the program itself (Gallardo, Julia, & Jorda, 2008), (c) some systems require special surfaces or rails and thus are difficult to relocate, making them difficult to use at real school classes, (d) some physical properties like shape, temperature etc. can offer advantages at tangible systems for programming, nevertheless such qualities have not been incorporated in existing systems, (Zuckerman et al., 2005; Manches et al., 2010; Xie et al., 2008), (e) and concepts such as storage and code reusability, are not supported by any system. It is clear that while tangibles appear more efficient than graphical user interfaces, more research is required to elucidate the circumstances under which the advantages are demonstrated in different domains. (Sapounidis, T., & Demetriadis, S. 2013).

Our effort will try to bridge the world of graphical and tangible programming by offering a mobile graphical isomorphic equivalent for tablets of an existing tangible programming language (T_ProRob, section 2.4.2). The tangible part of the system will mainly focus on the ability of the tablet screen to offer drag and drop capabilities very similar in nature, to having a physical object at hand. The graphical part of our system is of course the various icons that comprise the GUI (Graphical User Interface). The third factor-mobility- is
greatly enhanced by the combination of a tablet and a Lego EV3 Mindstorms™ robot connected together wirelessly via Bluetooth, making it an ideal learning aid inside any classroom or home.
4 DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT

4.1 Interaction design for kids

Interaction design is about shaping digital things for people’s use. It is about helping people to choose specific goals, through an interface. This could be withdrawing money from an ATM, taking a picture with a phone, or checking our emails. This is different from industrial design where the goal is to solve a problem by crafting a physical product that would be mass-produced, taking into account material and production line constraints. It’s also different from graphic design, which is more meant to be looked at.

UX (User experience): deals with the overall experience associated with the use of a product or a service. It requires a good understanding of the user, and of the system of the product the user interacts with. UI (User Interface) is the specific interface of the product. It is the tool, the point of interaction between a human, and a system. Therefore, UI is a part of UX. Similar to designing for adults, designing for kids requires a strong understanding of what users need and want. But designing for kids differentiates from designing for adults. Young children except for the end goal they have in their mind while using the interface, also see the use of a tablet or game as a part of an adventure. Kids delight in challenge and conflict, regardless of their goals.

This generation of kids is digitally native, meaning that technology has been and always will be a part of their lives. As our target group starts from children up to 4 years old we need to be aware of the unique characteristics of this specific age. These are elements of programming that pre-reader children are capable to support already such as sequence, concept of code, cause and effect, counting, planning and problem solving. Kids are more sophisticated than they may appear initially and they’re able to mentally categorize quite efficiently. (Design for Kids Digital Products for Playing and Learning 2014).
FIGURE 2. Workflow of our system

4.2 Icon Design

Colors should act as guides identifying all the interactions and specific contents. There should be a limited set of bright, bold colors, not too many colors that could overwhelm kids and make them lose interest. Navigation should be as simple as possible. Symbols should be basic shapes that mimic everyday items that children are familiar with (Design for Kids Digital Products for Playing and Learning 2014). Below follows a display of the various command and parameter icons present at our application.

TABLE 1. Command and parameter icons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Icon</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Turn Right" /></td>
<td>Turn Right (Turn right 90 degrees)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Turn Left" /></td>
<td>Turn Left (turn left 90 degrees)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Move forward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOR</td>
<td>FOR loop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Turn light off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If condition (start)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay for 5 seconds</td>
<td>Make a sound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STOP</td>
<td>Forcibly terminate program execution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numbered parameters (can be coupled with for loop commands and move/turn commands)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor Type</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light sensor</td>
<td>Presence of light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Touch sensor</td>
<td>Obstacle present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sound sensor</td>
<td>Ambient sound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasonic sensor</td>
<td>Obstacle up ahead</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3 The Lego Robot

Lego robots that act upon programming, have already reached many schools. The Lego Mindstorms™ kits contain software and hardware to create customizable, programmable robots. In our case we have chosen the latest version of Mindstorms™ the EV3 which is the third generation Lego Mindstorms™ product released on September 2013 and fits in our case due to the advantage of connectivity with smart-devices as mobile phones and tablets. The EV3 programmable brick has 4 inputs (numbered using numbers from 1 to 4) and 4 outputs (numbered from A to D). The robot can power 4 motors and can gather information from the environment via various sensors that we will describe below.

![Robots built using LEGO EV3 Mindstorms](image)

PICTURE 23. Robots built using LEGO EV3 Mindstorms

4.3.1 Connection with the robot

The Lego EV3 robot can communicate through Bluetooth, USB (except for Windows Phone) or Wi-Fi connection. In order to send commands from a mobile device and control the Lego Mindstorms™ EV3 Robot, we send and receive messages to it using the LEGO MINDSTORMS EV3 API. We can connect, control and read sensor data from LEGO EV3 brick over Bluetooth, WiFi, or USB. LEGO MINDSTORMS EV3 API provides libraries
that are usable from the Windows desktop, Windows Phone 8, and WinRT (via .NET, WinJS and C++), along with full source code (Lego EV3 webpage 2014).

There are 3 types of commands that can be sent to the brick: DirectCommand, BatchCommand and SystemCommand. All of them are included inside the Brick object as DirectCommand, BatchCommand and SystemCommand properties, along with their corresponding methods. Also need to implement the Icommunication interface which will determine the way that library will connect to the brick. The library can only be used with a single brick at a time. Multiple brick communication is not supported yet (Lego EV3 webpage 2014).

![LEGO EV3 brick](image.png)

**PICTURE 24. LEGO EV3 brick**

### 4.3.2 Motors and Sensors

Up to 4 motors can be hooked up to the ABCD ports on the EV3 brick. The EV3 Large Servo Motor is a powerful motor that uses tacho feedback for precise control to within one degree of accuracy. By using the built-in rotation sensor, the intelligent motor can be made
to align with other motors on the robot so that it can drive in a straight line at the same speed. The EV3 Medium Servo Motor is great for lower-load, higher speed applications and when faster response times and a smaller profile are needed in the robot’s design. There are a variety of methods to interact with the motors which we can find in the API documentation. As an example, here is a DirectCommand which will turn the motor on Port A for 5 seconds at 50% power (Lego Mindstorms™ home page 2014):

```csharp
await brick.DirectCommand.TurnMotorAtPowerAsync(OutputPort.A, 50, 5000);
```

PICTURE 25. The EV3 Large and Medium Servo Motors

Up to 4 sensors can be hooked up to the 1234 ports on the EV3 brick. There is a number of sensors that come together with the Lego EV3 kit. Infrared sensor detects proximity to the robot and reads signals emitted by the EV3 Infrared Beacon. Touch sensor detects when its front red button is pressed or released and has the capability to count single and multiple presses. Color sensor recognizes seven colors and also can detect the amount of reflected light and the intensity of ambient light. Ultrasonic sensor generates sound waves and reads their echoes to detect and measure distance from the objects. Gyro sensor measures the robot’s rotational motion and changes in its orientation. Additionally, each motor also acts as a sensor and can return positional/rotational data. Each sensor/motor may also have the ability to return its data in a variety of different modes. As an example, the Touch sensor can return whether the button is pressed, or it can return the number of times it has been pressed since it was last reset.

4.4 Mock ups

PICTURE 27. Mobile tablet system mock-up
PICTURE 28. Mobile ecosystem mock-up

PICTURE 29. Tangible programming system
4.5 Software Requirements

Software engineering is the process involving the creation of the software blueprint before the actual construction and release of a software product. It includes detailed specifications of the software's requirements both functional and non-functional. In order to provide a better understanding of the interaction our software has with the real world, business use cases (BUCs) are provided. Furthermore to define precisely all the interfaces between the product and other automated systems, organizations and users, product use cases (PUCs) are included. The above terminology is adopted from the Volere software requirements specification template (Volere requirements resources 2014). Also the goals of the project, the stakeholders of our product, a business data model and data dictionary are included which incorporate a specification of the essential subject matter, business objects, entities, and classes that are relevant to the product.

4.5.1 The Purpose of the Project

Programming has an enormous presence in everyday life of 21 century. New generation students are surrounded by computer technology and will possibly do in the future an occupation that hasn’t been invented yet. Also the unprecedented growth rate of tablet computers or mobile devices in corporate and consumer markets is spreading steadily into schools. Future schools will most likely replace books with tablets. Researches show that students using tablets were “more motivated, attentive and engaged “.

A mobile application for tablets could introduce children to the basics of programming with an easy and interactive way. Children by playing with a friendly user interface will be able to understand better of what happens inside computers and also improve their math and logic skills. Using the tablet, children can develop their code by arranging different shapes-pieces-images-blocks that represent simple programming commands as part of a game. Additionally they could see the logic results and actions in reality as interaction with a Lego Mindstorms™ robot. This will make them also more curious with the magical world of robotics.
4.5.2 Goals of the Project

We use Purpose, Advantage, Measurement (PAM) to structure our project's goal.

Purpose: one sentence to explain the organisation’s reason for investing in the project.

Advantage: One sentence describing the benefit that the organization will realize if the project is successful.

Measurement: One sentence or a graph or diagram that quantifies how we will measure whether or not the benefit has been achieved.

TABLE 2. The Goals of our project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal #</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Advantage</th>
<th>Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>We want to create a mobile application for tablets to introduce children to the basics of programming logic.</td>
<td>We want to be recognized as a leading software house for educational oriented software applications.</td>
<td>Graphs specifying numbers of software downloads for both the trial-period and full-featured versions of our software product.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>We want to facilitate the understanding of fundamental programming principals through an intuitive and interactive manner.</td>
<td>We want to help children get accustomed to programming and elevate our corporate ethos profile.</td>
<td>Number of elementary schools enrolling in our &quot;LEARN TO PROGRAM&quot; campaign.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Transcend the programming experience from the boundaries of a tablet screen to the actual movement of</td>
<td>We want to forge a business partnership with Lego©</td>
<td>Number of Lego Mindstorms™ robot units sold, that include a free copy of our software.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
a Lego Mindstorms™ robot.

## 4.5.3 The Stakeholders

Stakeholders form the basis on which our software product will operate and whose input is needed to build the product. The client has the final say on acceptance of the product, and thus must be satisfied with the product as delivered. We can think of the client as the person who makes the investment in the product. The person intended to buy/use the product is the customer. Below follows a table depicting the various stakeholders present at our product, along with their role in our product's culmination and operation. Furthermore we include for each stakeholder the degree of influence he/she has on our software product.

### TABLE 3. Stakeholder definition for our software product

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholder Class</th>
<th>Stakeholder Role</th>
<th>Stakeholder Rationale</th>
<th>Necessary Involvement</th>
<th>Stakeholder Influence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interfacing Technology</td>
<td>Existing Hardware (Lego)</td>
<td>Necessary for our product to</td>
<td>Throughout the development</td>
<td>Big</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role / Category</td>
<td>Task Description</td>
<td>Phase</td>
<td>Importance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interfacing Technology</td>
<td>Necessary for our product to work</td>
<td>Throughout the development phase</td>
<td>Big</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance Operator</td>
<td>Keeps the software up-to-date</td>
<td>Throughout the lifetime-cycle of the product</td>
<td>Big</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational support</td>
<td>To keep informed the customers of the product</td>
<td>Throughout the lifetime-cycle of the program</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Client</td>
<td>To provide funding and market penetration for our product</td>
<td>Mainly throughout the development phase</td>
<td>Big</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Team Members</td>
<td>Responsible for putting all the software pieces together</td>
<td>Mainly throughout the development phase</td>
<td>Big</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Team Members</td>
<td>Responsible for designing the icons of our application</td>
<td>Throughout the development phase and for future releases</td>
<td>Big</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Team Members</td>
<td>Debugging the application</td>
<td>Throughout the development phase and for future releases</td>
<td>Big</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Team Members</td>
<td>Writing the actual code</td>
<td>Throughout the development phase</td>
<td>Big</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mindstorms™ robot

- Existing Hardware (Any tablet device)
- Necessary for our product to work
- Throughout the development phase
- Big
- Software Maintainer
- Keeps the software up-to-date
- Throughout the lifetime-cycle of the product
- Big
- Help Desk
- To keep informed the customers of the product
- Throughout the lifetime-cycle of the program
- Medium
- Private investors-companies, Government ministries, Chief executive
- To provide funding and market penetration for our product
- Mainly throughout the development phase
- Big
- Software Engineer
- Responsible for putting all the software pieces together
- Mainly throughout the development phase
- Big
- Graphics Designer
- Responsible for designing the icons of our application
- Throughout the development phase and for future releases
- Big
- Software Tester
- Debugging the application
- Throughout the development phase and for future releases
- Big
- Programmers
- Writing the actual code
- Throughout the development phase
- Big
The hands-on users of the product are a list of a special type of stakeholder, the potential users of the product. For each category of user, we provide the following information:

- **User name/category**: Most likely the name of a user group, such as clerical users, schoolchildren, road engineers, or project managers.
- **User role**: Summarizes the users’ responsibilities.
- **Subject matter experience**: Summarizes the users’ knowledge of the subject matter/business. Rate as novice, journeyman, or master.
- **Technological experience**: Describes the users’ experience with relevant technology. Rate as novice, journeyman, or master.
- **Other user characteristics**: Describe any characteristics of the users that have an effect on the requirements and eventual design of the product.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>User name</th>
<th>User role</th>
<th>Subject matter</th>
<th>Technological</th>
<th>Other user characteristics</th>
<th>User participation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Functional Beneficiary</td>
<td>Private corporations</td>
<td>Our product will bolster the sales of Lego Mindstorms™ robot</td>
<td>Throughout the lifetime-cycle of the program</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Consultant</td>
<td>Marketing specialist</td>
<td>To promote our product to the market</td>
<td>1~3 months before the official product release date</td>
<td>Big</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer</td>
<td>Students, children, members of the public</td>
<td>To actually purchase the product</td>
<td>Throughout the lifetime-cycle of the product by providing feedback</td>
<td>Big</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 4. The Hands-on users of our software product**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Experience of Users</th>
<th>Experience</th>
<th>Feedback</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elementary School Teachers</td>
<td>Demonstrator of our software</td>
<td>Novice and/or journeyman</td>
<td>Novice</td>
<td>Secondary user</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Elementary &amp; Elementary School Students</td>
<td>First time user of our software and Lego Mindstorms™ robot</td>
<td>Novice</td>
<td>Novice</td>
<td>Age group 4~10, key user</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parents</td>
<td>First time user of our software and Lego Mindstorms™ robot</td>
<td>Novice</td>
<td>Novice</td>
<td>Secondary user</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owners of Lego Mindstorms™ robot</td>
<td>First time user of our software</td>
<td>Novice</td>
<td>Journeyman</td>
<td>Key user</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta testers</td>
<td>Development phase of our product</td>
<td>Expert</td>
<td>Expert</td>
<td>Experience in debugging software, key user</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Personas is a story about an invented person that will actually use our software product. By having one or more personas we can make the requirements specific to the people you are trying to satisfy. This is a particularly effective technique if we are specifying the requirements for a consumer product or a product that will be used by members of the public. Below follow two fictitious personas, the first being an elementary school student and the later being an elementary school teacher.

- Little 9 years old boy Mika Hakkinen, is thinking about what to ask Santa for Christmas. He doesn't want to be very optimistic for this year's present. He has heard that Lego is offering their Mindstorms™ robot with a special software that allows to control the robot via a tablet and make it perform lots of fun stuff! Also it would be a great chance for little Mika to get his hand on his father's iPad...

- Mrs. Helena is the tech-education teacher at Tampere's 2nd elementary school. She has heard about a new software company -Cubes Coding- that is launching a new promotional campaign called "LEARN TO PROGRAM". In this campaign they are offering a two month license for using their software for educational purposes. Also they are providing free of charge for the same time period, a Lego Mindstorms™ robot and a tablet, in order for pupils to experience programming to its fullest! Not to mention that now children will start to flock to the class by themselves and she will not have to yell so much...

4.5.4 Work Partitioning

A list showing all business events to which the work responds. Business events are happenings in the real world that affect the work. They also happen because it is time for the work to do something—for example, produce weekly reports, remind non-paying customers, check the status of a device, and so on. The response to each event is called a business use case (known as a BUC); it represents a discrete partition of work that contributes to the total functionality of the work (section 4.1, figure 2). The event list includes the following elements:
- Event name
- Input from adjacent systems
- Output to adjacent systems
- Brief summary of the business use case

TABLE 5. Business Event List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event name</th>
<th>Input and Output</th>
<th>Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Student/ Novice programmer wants to write a program</td>
<td>New command and parameter(in)</td>
<td>The user inputs the command and parameters via the GUI of the application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Tablet wants to communicate with robot</td>
<td>Export script(out)</td>
<td>Upon completion of the program, the script is &quot;fed&quot; to the robot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Robot wants to communicate with tablet</td>
<td>Sensory feedback from the execution of the script (in)</td>
<td>When certain situations arise-mainly the fulfilment of a condition or not-, the robot communicates with the tablet and extra info is displayed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Automatic storage of program script</td>
<td>Program script output to script database(out)</td>
<td>Every script is ported to a database in a user friendly format.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BUC scenario for Business Event 1:
-A student starts writing a program.
-A command icon is selected and is placed on the right side of an existing one.
-A parameter icon is selected and is attached at the lower side of a command icon.
-The process is repeated until the end of the program
BUC scenario for Business Event 2:
- After the termination of the program.
- The tablet wants to communicate with robot via an already established Bluetooth connection.
- If the connection is still active the program script is transferred to the CPU of the robot.
- The robot starts executing the script.

BUC scenario for Business Event 3:
- While the robot is executing the script it is prompted to evaluate a condition that is related to the measurement of one of its sensors. Available sensors on-board the robot are light (present above a predefined brightness level or not), touch (come to an obstacle or not), ultrasonic (measure distance ahead from the robot) and sound (ambient sound present above a predefined threshold).
- After the sensor measurement is performed the outcome (true-false) is transferred back to the tablet and the user is informed of the outcome.
- The program continues with the next command.

BUC scenario for Business Event 4:
- Before a Student starts to write a program he/she is asked to enter a username.
- That username is used to automatically store the program script to the database in .csv format.
- If the student does not enter a username, the script is stored by using the current system time and date as its filename.
4.5.5 Data Model

![UML diagram of the data model](image)

FIGURE 3. UML diagram of the data model, number (1) denotes has one, while the (*) denotes has many relationship.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Data Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Programmer</td>
<td>Novice Programmer/Student</td>
<td>Programmer's name</td>
<td>Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robot</td>
<td>Lego Mindstorms™ robot</td>
<td>Robot identifier</td>
<td>Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Script</td>
<td>Program script</td>
<td>Script name + script creation date/time</td>
<td>Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Database</td>
<td>Program script Database</td>
<td>Database name</td>
<td>Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Icons</td>
<td>Images used for the GUI</td>
<td>Icon type + Icon colour + Error message</td>
<td>Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commands</td>
<td>Icons that execute a certain programming command</td>
<td>Command name + Max number of commands</td>
<td>Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameters</td>
<td>Icons that attach to a command icon and alter it</td>
<td>Parameter name</td>
<td>Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor</td>
<td>A collection of different sensors (touch, light, ultrasonic, sound)</td>
<td>Sensor measurement</td>
<td>Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Script Storage</td>
<td>Automatic script database storage</td>
<td>Script name + Script creation date/time + Programmer's name</td>
<td>Dataflow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensory feedback</td>
<td>Robot sensor measurement sent back to tablet</td>
<td>Sensor measurement + robot identifier</td>
<td>Dataflow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Update software</td>
<td>New software version</td>
<td>Software version number</td>
<td>Dataflow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Script name</td>
<td>Script name, also used for data storage</td>
<td></td>
<td>Attribute/Element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robot identifier</td>
<td>Unique robot identifier</td>
<td></td>
<td>Attribute/Element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor measurement</td>
<td>Various sensor readings</td>
<td></td>
<td>Attribute/Element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Command Name</td>
<td>Command type</td>
<td></td>
<td>Attribute/Element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter Name</td>
<td>Parameter type</td>
<td></td>
<td>Attribute/Element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme Name</td>
<td>Theme Identifier</td>
<td></td>
<td>Attribute/Element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Script creation date/time</td>
<td>In HH:MM:SS and DD:MM:YYYY format</td>
<td></td>
<td>Attribute/Element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error Message</td>
<td>In currently selected language</td>
<td></td>
<td>Attribute/Element</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.5.6 Product Boundary

A use case diagram identifies the boundaries between the users (actors) and the product. We arrive at the product boundary by inspecting each business use case and determining, in conjunction with the appropriate stakeholders, which part of the business use case should be automated (or satisfied by some sort of product) and what part should be done by the user or some other product. This task must take into account the abilities of the actors, the constraints, the goals of the project, and our knowledge of both the work and the technology that can make the best contribution to the work.
FIGURE 4. Product boundary diagram

TABLE 7. Product Use Case Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PUC Number</th>
<th>PUC Name</th>
<th>Actor/s</th>
<th>Input &amp; Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>User issues a parameter or command</td>
<td>User/programmer</td>
<td>Command/parameter (in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Error message for inappropriate command / parameter</td>
<td>tablet</td>
<td>Error message (out)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Execution of script (script is transferred to robot via Bluetooth)</td>
<td>Robot/tablet</td>
<td>Program script (out)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sensory feedback (measured from sensors on-board the)</td>
<td>Sensor/robot</td>
<td>Sensor reading (in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>robot/ultrasonic, touch, sound, light/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Export script to database .csv format</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Update software via internet (to incorporate new Lego sensor e.g. heat (IR))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Show source (high-level syntax representation)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Flashing icons (when a condition is met or not, green - red)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Look and feel button (bluish theme boys, pinkish girls)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PUC # 1**
- User issues a command or parameter at the program timeline (commands on top, parameters below of commands)

![GUI icons](image)

**FIGURE 5. Snapshot of our application's GUI**

The above snapshot will make the robot do the following two times:
- three steps forward
- turn on the light of the robot
- delay for a short time (5 seconds)
- turn off the light
- using the ultrasonic sensor, check for an obstacle in front of the robot
- if an obstacle does not exist move one step forward
- end the sensor check

PUC #2
- Error message with beeping sound for inappropriate command / parameter.
If a user tries to select a command or parameter that cannot be attached at the program timeline, an appropriate message will appear.
e.g. if for a conditional square icon the user tries to attach a numbered parameter. Only sensor parameters are attached to a conditional square icon the message could be "No numbers here".

FIGURE 6. Error message for inappropriate parameter icon

PUC #3
- As the program script is being interpreted, it transferred to the robot via Bluetooth. The Bluetooth connection is setup prior to the start of the program writing process.

PUC #4
- When a conditional icon is executed the robot uses the selected sensor. The sensor measurement is transferred back to the tablet via Bluetooth and the condition is evaluated. Depending on the evaluation of the condition (true-false) certain actions are performed by the robot.
PUC #5
-When the user enters our application he/she has the option of entering a username. That username together with a counter will form the filename for the .CSV file which will be copied to the database (e.g. John001.csv). If no user name is selected the filename comprises of the current system date and time (e.g. 10_10_2014_09h25m10s.csv). The whole process of script database storage is unobtrusive to the user.

PUC #6
-If the user wants to check for product updates, he selects the appropriate icon. If there is no active Internet connection an error message is displayed. Otherwise the tablet connects via ftp to the predefined address. The ftp address can be altered through the settings button of our application. When the downloading finishes the user is informed that the migration to the new software release will occur the next time he starts the application. The main use of the update process is to incorporate into the application new features such as new robot sensors (e.g. infrared-heat sensors) and new program commands and parameters.

PUC #7
-If the user wants to present the program flow in programming language-like syntax he can select the appropriate button and a pop up window will show the code. This product use case is intended for older children who can read and want to familiarize with basic programming constructs. An example of this kind of representation could be as follows:

Program script

FIGURE 7. Snapshot of our application's GUI
FOR 2 TIMES DO
   3 STEPS FORWARD
   TURN ON LIGHT
   DELAY
   TURN LIGHT OFF
END FOR

FIGURE 8. Equivalent high-level syntax pop-up window of the above script (figure 7)

PUC #8
-When a condition is being evaluated -refer to PUC #4- the corresponding conditional icon will start flashing briefly (2 seconds) and its colour will change to green or red depending on the outcome of the condition (True or False respectively).

PUC #9
-Since the main audience of our product will be children of younger ages (4~10), one could select through the appropriate button a change of the overall theme of the application. It can include different coloured icons and background (e.g. pinkish tones for girls, bluish for boys) and differently stylized icons. Also as stated in PUC #6, one can update the software with the possibility to incorporate new themes in the future.

4.5.7 Functional & Non-functional software requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement number</th>
<th>PUC Number</th>
<th>Requirement type</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Rationale</th>
<th>Fit Criterion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unique identifier</td>
<td>Number of the related PUC</td>
<td>Functional, specific non-functional or one sentence text description of the</td>
<td>The reason why the requirement is important</td>
<td>Measurement that makes the requirement testable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario</td>
<td>Constraint</td>
<td>Requirement</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>#1</td>
<td>Functional</td>
<td>The product shall allow the user to select a command icon. For the robot to move commands are needed. The robot performs the appropriate command.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>#1</td>
<td>Functional</td>
<td>The product shall allow the user to select a parameter icon. A subset of the command icons can be coupled with a parameter icon to alter the command's effect. The parameter is attached to the lower side of a command icon at the program timeline.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>#2</td>
<td>Functional</td>
<td>The product shall inform the user for an inappropriate parameter. All parameters do not couple with all command icons. Flashing parameter icon and error message.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>#3</td>
<td>Performance</td>
<td>The product shall be able to export the script via Bluetooth to the robot. There is no physical attachment of the robot to the tablet. The transfer should not last more than 5 seconds if a Bluetooth connection is already established.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>#3</td>
<td>Functional</td>
<td>The product shall be able to establish in the background an active Bluetooth connection is mandatory for bi-directional. Inform the user for successful connection or get error.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>#4</strong> Functional</td>
<td><strong>#5</strong> Functional</td>
<td><strong>#6</strong> Functional</td>
<td><strong>#7</strong> Functional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bluetooth connection with the robot</td>
<td>communication of the tablet and robot</td>
<td>message</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>The product shall be able to receive information (feedback) from the robot sensors</td>
<td>For the fulfilment or not of a condition</td>
<td>Flashing conditional icon (red-green)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>The product shall be able to export the program script to a database in .csv format</td>
<td>For future reference, open existing script</td>
<td>The transfer should occur automatically without user intervention</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Update product software version</td>
<td>If there is need to incorporate a new command-parameter icon (e.g. if there's a new sensor available example heat-sensor (IR))</td>
<td>The update process should first check a list of mirror servers and download and install the software. No user involvement required.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>The product shall be able to present the program in a high-level</td>
<td>Introduce older children to the syntax and structure of a real world</td>
<td>Code is presented in a pop-up window on the tablet's screen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>Look and Feel</td>
<td>The product shall be able to change the appearance of its graphical environment</td>
<td>Make it more appealing for girls and boys (e.g. bluish themes for boys, pinkish for girls)</td>
<td>Selection through an appropriate icon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>#9</td>
<td>Usability</td>
<td>The product shall require no special training for a student to use it</td>
<td>The product's intended use is as a learning aid, not an integrated software development environment</td>
<td>Children shall be able to perform their tasks in a short period of time (\leq 1) hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>#1</td>
<td>Functional</td>
<td>The number of command icons at the program timeline must be restricted (Finite)</td>
<td>For the robot to move in confined spaces and not to lose its Bluetooth connection with the tablet</td>
<td>Default value of maximum number of command icons shall be 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>#1</td>
<td>Functional</td>
<td>Ability to change the maximum number of command icons present</td>
<td>Gradually as the user gains experience he/she may want to write more extensive</td>
<td>By accessing the settings icon of the application, a user can specify the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>#5</td>
<td>Performance</td>
<td>The Database shall be able to handle a lot of scripts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Automatic storage of scripts requires a lot of database records</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum number of scripts stored 10000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>#6</td>
<td>Operational Maintenance Releases</td>
<td>To incorporate new commands or Robot sensors or icon themes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yearly releases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>#2</td>
<td>Functional</td>
<td>The product shall be able to change the default language</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>For the various messages being displayed to be understood by the user</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Selection via a submenu after selecting the settings icon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>#1</td>
<td>Functional</td>
<td>The product shall prompt the user to enter a username at the start of the program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>For easy reference to the database later</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The file is stored in the with the appropriate filename</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>#2</td>
<td>Functional</td>
<td>The product shall be able to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>To emphasize the event that</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Error messages will</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>produce beeping sounds</td>
<td>was triggered</td>
<td>be displayed while simultaneously a beeping sound is being heard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>#1</td>
<td>Functional</td>
<td>The product shall offer the opportunity for a short video walk-around of the product</td>
<td>To inform the user</td>
<td>After the installation phase of the application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>#1</td>
<td>Security</td>
<td>The product shall prompt the user for a password when selecting the settings button</td>
<td>To prevent unauthorized access, since children are going to use the product most of the time</td>
<td>Default value of password is TAPAC can be changed inside the settings menu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>#1~9</td>
<td>Support</td>
<td>Our company shall provide a comprehensive means of supporting users of our product</td>
<td>To keep customers happy!</td>
<td>Help desk available (telephone), website, social media presence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>#1</td>
<td>Standards</td>
<td>The product shall comply with the firmware</td>
<td>To successfully operate the robot</td>
<td>A command issues a response from the robot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>#1-9</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>The software will run on Windows mobile tablet</td>
<td>To support Windows mobile platform</td>
<td>Seamless integration to the operating system</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 9. Tasks roadmap-timeframe**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the phase</th>
<th>Required time to accomplish</th>
<th>Operating environment components included</th>
<th>Functional requirements included</th>
<th>Non-functional requirements included</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial planning</td>
<td>2 month</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software engine</td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>Robot, tablet</td>
<td>1,2,3,5,6,7,8,9,12,13,16,17</td>
<td>4,20,22,23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphics design</td>
<td>2 month</td>
<td>Tablet</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-release phase, beta testing</td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>Robot, tablet</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11,14,15,21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5 SUMMARY AND FUTURE WORK

5.1 Issues that arose during problem analysis

5.1.1 Design new icons

Since our product leans mostly towards the graphical programming interface which was defined in section 2.3.1, it is imperative that the icons convey as accurately as possible the meaning of each command/parameter used in our mobile application. Furthermore the graphical language offered by the icons which, as we have demonstrated in table 1 section 4.2, comprises of 13 command icons and 12 parameter icons, must take in account that the users of the application will be mainly children who might have no or little reading and writing skills. As stated before our target group begins with children as young as 4 years old which represent the majority of our hands-on users among others (section 4.5.3 table 4). Consequently it is hard to establish what type of design is appealing to children especially of younger age. As a future work one might suggest that there have to be questioners or videos of children's responses to the presentation of the system mock-up we have created (section 4.4, pictures 27 & 28). The questioners will try to grasp kids responses on the color, size and shape of each icon, also if they like the idea of offering differently colored themes for our application, a feature that is already included in our application in the form of a theme selection for differentiating boys and girls (section 4.5.6, table 7, product use case number 9). By evaluating the results from the above survey, we shall be able to better understand children's preferences and provide them with a better alternative.

5.1.2 Size of icons

The device which our application will operate on, is a tablet. The typical tablet screen size ranges from 7 to 10 inch diagonal screen length. This fact raises some constraints on how large the icons should appear on the screen (section 4.4, pictures 27 & 28). Our current
implementation supports 9 command icons present simultaneously at the program timeline with parameter icons attached at the lower side of a command icon (section 4.5.6, table 7, product use case number 1). The rest of the program script shall be accessible by scrolling through the program timeline. Based on our previous experience and results, future work could deal with the following variations. The user could zoom in or zoom out in each icon thus making them appear smaller or bigger. Also the user could create a second or even third program timeline at the lower part of the screen. If this is the case, one could have present on screen at the same time from 9 to 27 command icons. Even more as is stated in section 4.5.6, table 7, product use case number 7 one can select to view the commands in a high-level text programming language style, if needed.

5.1.3 Length of program script

The Lego Mindstorms™ robot is an integral part of our system. Through the program script it can perform actions such as moving around, use its sensors etc. Sensors that are currently supported are Infrared, Touch, Color, Gyro, Ultrasonic (section 4.3.2, picture 26). Since our application is meant as a learning aid as is already stated in our project goals (section 4.5.2, table 2, goal number 1), it will be mainly used into confined spaces like classrooms or homes. That is the reason why we have decided to limit the maximum number of command icons present at any program timeline to 30. As stated at the software requirements (4.5.7 Table 4, requirement number 13) table, the user can alter that setting to as much as 100 command icons (password is required). As a future work we could investigate-through surveys- how long will the average program script be and adjust that setting accordingly. The above measurement is possible since every program script is being saved as a .csv file in a database, as can be seen in section 4.5.6., table 7, product use case number 5. Furthermore we could attach a GPS sensor onboard the robot in order to be able to determine the tablet’s distance from the robot. That could be useful for the robot no to stray too far away and also for the Bluetooth connection to work properly. As an example, our application would halt the program script execution if it detects that the robot is more than 10 meters away from the tablet.
5.1.4 Connection to robot

One might argue why we do not use the Wi-Fi connection option which is already supported in the LEGO MINDSTORMS EV3 API (see section 4.3.1) in order to achieve greater range of communication. Our response is that we chose only the option of Bluetooth connectivity as stated in the software requirements section (section 4.5.7, table 8, requirements 4 & 5) due below reasons. Wi-Fi and Bluetooth serve different purposes. Wi-Fi is for network communication on a wider range. Bluetooth is for close-range communication between two devices and this fits better with our purpose. Also because a Wi-Fi dongle must be connected to the USB host of the EV3 brick and since dongle is not included with the product we need to buy it to activate this future (the only dongle known to work with the EV3 Brick is the NETGEAR WNA1100). Furthermore based on LEGO specifications, connection through Wi-Fi will consume more battery power than Bluetooth (Lego EV3 webpage 2014).

5.1.5 Operating system-Software distribution

The current implementation is for the Windows mobile operating system due to the fact that there are available software libraries for the connection with the robot in the Windows platform (section 4.3.1). As a future direction we could investigate if it is worthy to port our application to other tablet operating systems like IOS and Android. This decision is based on the fact that most lower specification -and therefore cheaper- tablets use the Android platform. As our application becomes popular and therefore fulfills one of our project's goals which is to become a useful learning software (section 4.5.2, table2, goal number 5) we could offer it to a larger audience. Another matter of consideration is if we are going to offer a full featured time trial version of our application or a downgraded version for free use. If the user is happy he could select to buy the application. Also special offers could be made to educational institutions.
5.1.6 Number of robots supported

The current version supports only one robot that can be programmed as can be seen in the UML diagram (section 4.5.5 Figure 3). We could examine if it is worthy for a user to be able to control a cluster of robots as in 2 or even 3. Variations to this approach could include the following. A single program script to control each robot independent of the other, each robot will have a unique identifier as parameter icon. A single program script which will run on all robots concurrently, like having three identical program scripts running at the same time. And finally different program scripts running on different robots at the same time. For the afore mentioned variations to materialize we shall overcome a significant obstacle we found during the development phase which is that the current LEGO MINDSTORMS EV3 API library can only be used with a single LEGO EV3 programmable brick -and therefore robot- at a time. Multiple brick communication is not supported yet (section 4.3.1, picture24).

5.1.7 Hardware constraints

Although the Lego Mindstorms™ firmware and hardware schematics are available free nowadays it may not be the case with future models. Also the current version of the robot has Bluetooth connectivity it may not be the case with future models (only USB connection). Having to communicate with the robot only via a USB cable will almost certainly prove to be a drawback for our product's appeal. Another issue if we are willing to offer backward compatibility with the firmware of older models (legacy support) of the Mindstorms™ robot.

5.1.8 Marketing strategy

We could approach the Lego Corporation to allow us to market our software as a combination package with the robot. If this is the case we could significantly increase our customer base. But we shall have an open mind and explore the possibility of contacting
other manufactures of programmable generic robots in order to establish a possible partnership.

5.2 Conclusion

Our proposal dealt with the problem of creating an application that could introduce children of a young age to the magical world of programming. The subject of educational software has many parameters and our approach to it was to try and merge the worlds of tangible and mobile graphical programming, creating an introductory programming ecosystem for children. We decided to create a graphical mobile isomorphic equivalent of an existing tangible programming system (T_ProRob system, section 2.4.2) that uses cubes as programming elements. Furthermore our application operates on a mobile tablet device thus making it more portable. In order to make the experience of programming more appealing and fun for children, we combined our application with a Lego Mindstorms™ robot (section 4.3) that serves as an actor for playing out the various programming scripts. The main features of our envisioned application include the following:

- Low power Bluetooth connection with the robot
- Ability to control a Lego Mindstorms™ robot
- Utilize robot onboard sensors
- Store each program script at a local database.
- Change the look -and-feel of our applications GUI.
- Update software to incorporate new commands or sensors for the robot
- Option to view program script in text form instead of icons

Through a careful selection of icons, intuitive design and inherent ease of use as specified in the software requirements section (section 4.5), we believe that our application can serve as an invaluable learning aid for children aged 4~10.
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