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1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

This thesis is a part of the “Visual Impact” project at Arcada. The purpose of the project is to study the role of visual elements in the consumer’s choice process. The author is currently working as a research assistant for the project. The topic of this thesis is a part of a larger project where the consumer’s choice process has been studied with the help of Eye Tracker glasses. The choice process has already been analyzed from a neuro-economic point of view. (Von Boguslawski & Milden, 2013)

An experiment where a consumer had to choose between different low involvements products without time constraints was made. The complete choice process, with product scanning, the order of each choice and the time for each choice was recorded with Eye Tracker glasses. In order to be able to determine which factors influenced the choices generic products with specific predetermined features were constructed using the SPSS conjoint procedure.

The purpose of this thesis is to especially focus on the package attributes. Nowadays packages are considered a very important marketing tool. Almost 90 per cent of all new products fail during the introduction stage because of a wrong or poor package design. (Kuvykaite, 2009) Packages not only protect the product from physical damage, but also attract the attention of potential consumers and provide direct communication with the customers. Both verbal and nonverbal attributes of the package are equally important for a successful package design.

Earlier experiments, (Kupiainen and Kauppinen-Räisänen, 2012), have proved that package attributes have an effect on the consumer’s perception of the product quality. In the experiment by Kupiainen and Kaupinen- Räisänen three strawberry packages were placed in three different stores (one package of a time). The packages had the same dimensions and price, but the material and picture on the packages were different as being the experimental variables. Two of the packages were carbon cardboard boxes with pictures and the last one was made of transparent plastic without a picture. The result of the
experiment showed that consumers assumed that strawberries in the transparent plastic package had higher quality than strawberries in other non-transparent packages.

The importance of package attributes as such is a very broad topic—therefore the focus of this study is only on a few specific nonverbal attributes of the package, especially color, shape, font and image. These four attributes are chosen as experimental variables in order to be able to evaluate their relative importance and their influence on the consumers’ preferences of package design.

Differences in the relative importance of each attribute are analyzed based on the background variables used in the experiment. The results of the conjoint experiment are presented and analyzed in this thesis. The background variables used as explanatory variables are gender and the amount of product consumption.

1.2 Main aim and Research questions

This study is about understanding the relative importance of package features, such as shape, color, font and image as a means of non-verbal attributes. The main aim of the study is to investigate the relative importance of nonverbal attributes from a consumer’s point of view when choosing a low-involvement product. Chocolate was chosen as the low involvement product. For the experiment generic chocolate packages were made based on the chosen attributes. Due to experimental reasons real chocolate packages were not used, as having too many variables, and also because of trademark and copyright reasons. However, the generic packages had the same forms, sizes, colors, fonts and pictures as real packages.

The main research question of this study was to determinate the relative importance of shape, color, font and image of the generic chocolate packages.

The second research question was to determine if there were differences how males and females evaluate the relative importance of nonverbal package attributes. The task was thus to find out possible preference differences depending on gender.

The third research question was to determine the relative importance of nonverbal attributes on the generic packages depending on the amount of consumer’s chocolate consumption or familiarity with the product.
The study focuses only on nonverbal attributes of different chocolate packages. Among chocolates brands are important for the perception of different chocolates. Due to trademark reasons it was not possible to use factual trade- marks such as Fazer, Cloetta, Mars and Snickers. In order to specify the product a generic brand name was used on all experimental packages: Chocolate. The name identified the product category but did not specify any specific brand on sales. However, colors from actual brands were used.

1.3 Limitation

The study focuses on nonverbal attributes of different chocolate packages that have been created in order to give the answer on the research questions. Chocolate as a product was chosen because it is well known and can be analyzed based on different criteria, such as gender and participants’ product experience. The study does not go beyond consumer packages. The attributes of transportation and store packages are not considered.

1.4 Problems in the Field

Even though package is a very important tool for the differentiation of a product from its competing products, companies still do not pay enough attention to the package design. To make a package different and stand out from other packages several design aspects should be taken into consideration. It is important for companies to understand the nature of the core product. The appearance of the package depends on the core product inside the package. Some of the colors of milk production packages cannot be used in kitchen equipment packages. But the most important task is to create a package where all attributes match each other. The package design can easily fail in the introduction stage if the background color of the package does not suit the product picture. Therefore it is crucial for companies to understand the importance of each package attribute. The thesis measures the importance of the above-mentioned four nonverbal attributes and their influence on consumers’ preferences of the package design.
1.5 Literature Review

The experimental method for this study was chosen based on a doctoral thesis by Hannele Kauppinen (2004) “Colors as non-verbal signs on packages”. Hannele Kauppinen found out that non-verbal signs on the package had a big influence on the consumers’ behavior. The main focus of her study was the impact of package colors on consumers’ low-involvement purchase decisions. Also, Hannele Kauppinen used conjoint analysis to evaluate the relative importance of different colors. This information was used in the author’s thesis in order to see the impact of nonverbal attributes on consumers’ preferences.

The choice of nonverbal attributes for the experiment was based on the several research papers by authors, such as Underwood and Klein (2002), Gordon (1994), Schoorman and Robben (1997). The information about the importance of the picture on the package was taken from a study by Underwood and Klein (2002) who claimed that the image is the most important attribute on the package, particularly when the consumer is not familiar with the product. Schoorman and Robben (1997) found that the shape of the package was one of the most important package attributes, which helped to attract consumer’s attention and had an impact on the consumer’s purchase decisions. Gordon study (1994) found that package color has a strong effect on the consumer and choice of a package depends on the consumer’s color preferences.
2 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

2.1 The impact of the package at the point of purchase

Several different studies have been done about the impact of the package on the purchasing decision and about the functions of packages. It has been recognized that the right package can increase product sales even more than an advertisement campaign of that product. The main reason why packages can provide better communication with consumers and attract more attention is because the core product is exactly inside the package: whereas advertisement campaign can be placed quite far from the product. Consumer has to memorize the campaign in order to buy exactly that advertised product. (Ehrenberg et al. 1997)

A package consists of several attributes that can influence on the consumer’s choice. It includes brand name, slogan, size, material, shape, color, font and image. Brand name, slogan, package size and material are going to be discussed briefly in the following chapter. A deeper discussion about the four attributes of primary interest (shape, color, font and image) is presented in the section 2.4.

2.1.1 Brand name

Color and shape of the package are considered as the main components in the creation of the brand image for a product. According to Underwood (1999) brand has a strong influence on the consumers’ emotions and, as a result, it effects consumers’ purchasing decisions. The brand can be considered as a communication channel between consumer and product. Therefore companies are interested in creating a strong brand image that brings positive emotions to customers. Several marketing studies suggest that package design can be a better tool for brand promotion than a traditional advertisement campaign. Consequently companies started to pay more attention to package design in order to reduce the expenses on media advertisement campaigns.

According to Connolly and Davison (1996) a majority of brands failed to have worldwide recognition among consumers because of a wrong package design. Brands that
have a wrong combination of colors and letters do not attract enough consumers and finds it hard to get their products recognized worldwide. (Gordon, 1994)

2.1.2 Slogan

“A slogan is a short, unforgettable gasp phrase, which is used as punch line in advertisement to summarize the whole product quality and usage in one or a few words”. (ukessays.com). It can attract customers’ attention even better than a brand name. It should be short, easy to memorize and have a strong association with the product. Several studies showed that the slogan on the packages can attract more consumers when words are placed on the right place on the package. (ukessay.com) Also, it has been found that by changing colors of the slogan the consumer’s attention can be increased or decreased depending on the matching color of the package and slogan. (Kauppinen, 2004)

2.1.3 Package size

Past studies have shown that the package size has an effect on the consumers’ buying decision. (Kauppinen, 2004) Consumers’ tend to buy larger sized packages when the price per unit gets smaller. Also, package size affects the consumers’ volume of consumption. By buying the big size package at a lower price, consumers automatically increase the volume of the consumption of the product. Companies always have to think about what kind of strategy they want to implement: to increase the size of the production by making larger packages or to make smaller packages in order to reduce waste. Package size attracts the consumer attention in a way where the consumers’ tend to make a fast judgment about the product based on the size. (Silayoi, 2004)

2.1.4 Material

The material of the package helps to protect the core product from damage, which is the main function of the package material, especially regarding transport and store packages. The most common types of packaging materials are paper, glass, aluminum, steel, plastic and mixed material. The main function of the package material to the consumer is to show consumers the quality of the core product. Though this function is seldom
taken into consideration. The safety of the product is also important for the consumer package. (Kuvykaite, 2009)

### 2.2 Packages and attention

In order to attract a consumer’s attention the product should be placed on the right shelf in the store, preferably on eye-level and to the right of well-known brands. Eye Tracker research has shown that 1/3 of a particular product group on the shelf is not noticed by consumers. New products have approximately 10 to 15 seconds to attract a consumer’s attention. Otherwise the consumer will choose a product, which is already well known. A majority of customers choose a product based on its appearance. Nonverbal attributes on the package can help the consumer to find a right product on the shelf. It has been shown that consumer can find the product faster when the product has colorful features, which can be easily memorized. (Young, 2008)

When a consumer is searching for a certain product, special features on the product’s package help to attract his attention. This kind of attention is called voluntary attention. It means, that a consumer is searching for a product based on its distinguished features on the shelf, such special features can include a certain color or a picture that are used as the main attributes of the brand. (Kahneman, 1973)

When all brands of a product group are equally relevant to a consumer, then the special feature can attract his attention. This kind of attention is called involuntary attention. Bright colors or unexpected pictures on the package can distinguish the product among others on the shelf. (Kahneman, 1973)

### 2.3 Packages and communication

Through packages a consumer can get all the information he needs about the core product. The information can be written directly on the package such as recommendation how to use the product; or it could be provided indirectly, through package material and colors.

Packages are the link between customers, retailers and manufactures. A retailer can identify what package design consumers prefer and give that information to a manufac-
turing company in order to satisfy the consumer and to increase sales. (Kauppinen, 2004)

2.3.1 Packages and message-centered communication

Message-centered communication is responsible for providing persuading information about the product. This information includes explanations and recommendations how and where the product can be used. This information is usually placed on the backside of the package. (Kauppinen, 2004)

Some studies consider a picture on the package as a message-centered communication. It was found that the picture in itself does not provide the information about the product. However, the majority of customers thought that a product with a picture on the package taste better than a similar product without any picture. It means that a picture on the package has an influence on the consumers’ perception of the product. (Underwood, 2002)

Color provides information about taste and quality of the product. Certain colors are related to brands. Therefore consumers can choose a product based on the color of similar brands, assuming that the core product have the same quality and taste. (Gordon, 1994)

According to Rigaux-Bricmont (1981) the consumer can receive the information that he needs to know about the product from the brand name. A brand name is considered as a message-centered communication because consumers’ can evaluate the quality of the product without reading the product descriptions.

The package material can give the consumer enough information to evaluate the core product. Based only on the package material consumers’ can assume the quality of the product and give an opinion about its brand. (McDaniel, 1977)

2.3.2 Package and behavior-centered communication

Behavior-centered communication focuses on consumers’ responses to the product. In other words, it provides the link between consumers’ feedback and the product design. Companies moderate their packages based on customers’ preferences. It has been no-
ticed that the time issue is important in the consumers’ decision-making process. Under time pressure consumers tend to read less the information on the package and make the decision based on nonverbal attributes. When a consumer does not have enough time to make the purchase decision, a picture on the package can have the main influence on the decision. Also, pictures affect the buying decision when all brands are equally unfamiliar for the consumer. (Kauppinen, 2004)

2.4 Influence of non-verbal attributes on a consumer’s preferences

Non-verbal attributes are widely used in marketing communication. 90 percent of the information is transmitted to the brain visually. (Fromkin and Rodman, 1993) In order to have an effective communication with consumers, non-verbal attributes should be easy to understand and should have a general meaning. An effective communication means that the consumer understands and remembers the message by the non-verbal attribute and can associate this attribute with a core product in the future. (Kauppinen, 2004)

2.4.1 Shape

A shape of the package has an influence on the consumer’s perception of the core product. It influences a consumer’s emotions, his vision of product and perceptual judgment of the product. It has a strong effect on the consumer’s buying decision and even small changes in package shape can lead to big changes in product sales. According to a Nestle study consumers make an assumption about chocolate taste based on the package shape. It was found that different chocolate flavors are associated with certain shapes of the chocolate and, as a result, with its package. (Baral, 2013) According to Schoorman and Robben (1997) the shape of the package influences the consumer’s perception of product volume. Consumers tend to miscalculate the real product volume when the package has an unusual shape. At the same time, a product with an unusual shape attracts more attention than a product with a usual shape. An unusual shape of the package can be considered as a marketing tool to attract involuntary attention. However, at
the same time a product with an unusual shape should not give misleading information about the product’s volume.

The shape of the package affects how people calculate calories based on their perceptual judgment. For instance, a product with a long and thin shape is considered having fewer calories and a product with a square shape having more calories. This leads to an overestimation of calories for a square shaped product and an underestimation for a bar shaped product. (Koo, 2012)

According to some studies the bar shape of the product indicated a lower purchase quantity. It occurred because the bar shape seemed to have less volume and less quantity of the product inside the package. Also, a perfect rectangular with the golden ratio $1.168$ ($\Phi$) is the most popular among angular shapes. It has been proven that people from different cultures and different geographic areas prefer the perfect rectangular shape to any other shape. Consumers see the product of rectangular shape as a right product that they used to see everywhere. (Raghavan, 2010)

### 2.4.2 Color

The influence of different colors on consumer’s behavior can be analyzed from a psychological point of view. In other words, colors have an effect on the eye and brain perception and, as a result, on the consumers’ preferences. The main function of color is to attract the consumers’ attention, especially their involuntary attention. It was found that a majority of customers first notice the color and then pay attention to the package and the product. Also, colors can physically attract customers. A potential consumer can notice a colorful product from the shop window and because of that decide to enter the store. (Gordon, 1994)

Warm colors, such as yellow and red, have more effect on the brain compared to cold colors, such as blue and green. Warm colors are usually used in advertisement about a product’s discount offers in order to attract involuntary attention. This occurs not only because these kinds of colors are more noticeable but also, because they stimulate a person’s psychological reaction; by increasing the person’s blood pressure and make him to breathe more frequent. (Grossman, 1999) According to Middlestadt (1990) cold colors are preferred as background colors. A product with a blue background is considered el-
elegant and unique from the customer’s prospective and is more attractive for purchase. Also, it was found that the retail store with a blue design inside has a positive influence on the customer’s attitude and simultaneously stimulates the customer to buy products from the store, if compared with to a store with orange interior.

From the psychological point of view, brown color is associated with stability and security. From the marketing prospective brown color is used when it is important to show the quality of the product. It was found that a package with a brown background makes a person think that the product inside is healthy and natural. Also, brown color makes a product looks more elegant from a consumer’s perspective. White color is associated with something fresh and peaceful. It was found that when a consumer does not know anything about a product, he often prefers a white colored product because it makes the choice more neutral. (color-meaning.com)

Colors have common associations across different countries and can have specific associations inside the country. Some studies showed that a person’s preferences of colors depend on his cultural background. People from the same culture tend to have common associations about colors and prefer similar colors. (Gordon, 1994) Other studies concluded that a person’s preferences of a color depend on individual color perception. Eysneck (1941) suggested a general order of color preferences, which starts from the blue as the most favorite color, then red, green, purple, orange and yellow. According to Taft (1997) the study of a general order of color preferences does not have enough empirical evidence. However, he also agreed that blue color is the most preferable among colors. Package color also depends on the core product. Some colors that are very popular in the food industry would not work for the kitchen equipment industry.

Some studies have been done in order to analyze how color preferences depend on gender. According to Hallock’s studies (Ciotti, 2013) blue color is the most favorite color for both genders. The least favorite color for men is brown and for women orange. (Figure 1, Figure 2)
2.4.3 Font

A font or letter type has a strong influence on the consumer’s perception of a package. The main function of the font is to make the text easier to read. Another function is to attract consumers’ attention, which is more important when creating the package design. The combination of these two functions makes the choice of font type one of the most important nonverbal attributes of the package.

Two popular styles, which are used for print and electronic advertisements, are Serif (Times New Roman) and San Serif (Calibri). The Serif style has edges that project from the main letter block that makes this style easier to read compared to the San Serif, which does not have those edges. Serif increases the readability and the reading speed across long lines. It is easier for the eyes to focus on a long text when the Serif is used.
At the same time, the Serif is considered as a decorative style, which is associated with a sophisticated looking text. San Serif style is usually used in electronic advertisements and is associated with legible looking text. (Human-Computer Interaction, 2013)

Aesthetics of the text is important while creating an advertisement or a slogan on the package. Several studies have been done in order to investigate what font style is better to use. According to those studies the style depends on the situation. For example, it is easier for children to read San Serif text rather than Serif, mainly because San Serif style makes letters look simple. (Human-Computer Interaction, 2013)

According to some studies angular shapes of fonts could be associated with aggression and contradiction. While round shapes of fonts are gentle and associated with harmony. Script style makes the logo on the package look more elegant. However, this style is not recommended to use for the description of the core product. Also, it is important to know how to use the Script style. For example, it is not recommended to use two Script styles in the same text or use if to write long sentences. Otherwise, the text loses its readability. (Designmodo.com)

2.4.4 Image

An image is a good nonverbal attribute to attract a consumer’s attention. A majority of the consumers admit that they pay most attention to the picture on the package. An image on the package can give all necessary information about the core product. It is a good way for visualization of a core product without involving verbal communication. It helps to understand the product without reading a description on the package and provide the appearance of the product inside the package. (Underwood, 2002)

Nearly two-thirds of customers admit that the image on the package is one of the most important factors when they buy a product. Due to a wide choice of products it is crucial that customers can easily remember the package. Images on a package are used as a strategic method of product differentiation and as an eye-catching tool. Without knowing the core product consumers can say if they are interested in product or not based on the image. According to Rettie and Brewer (2000) images on the package are better remembered when they are on the left side, while verbal signs should be on the right side. Images make the consumers believe that the product inside the package has the same
appearance as the one on the package. It is very important that the image do not give misleading information about the core product.

According to Underwood and Klein (2002) the image on the package does not influence the consumer’s brand evaluation. However, it affects the consumer’s expectations about the taste of a product. The study found that the package with a picture is assumed to have a better tasting product than a package without a picture.

2.5 Summary of the literature review

According to the different studies cited above package design is an important marketing tool for attracting consumers’ attention. One of the aims of a consumer package is to make the consumer interested in the core product inside the package. Consumers’ should be willing to try a new product and should not have an uncertain feeling about the product when choosing it. Thus, another aim of a package is to eliminate the factor of uncertainty and to make a consumer trust the product. In order to try a new product, consumers’ should believe that a product inside the package responds to his wishes. Nonverbal attributes of the package help to achieve those aims. The most common attributes of the package that consumer is paying attention to are shape, color, font and image. These four attributes will determinate if consumer will be interested in a core product or not. The right combination of those attributes will determinate if the package is going to succeed in a market. According to the literature review all four attributes are equally important for a package design. Therefore the author of this thesis is interested to empirically determinate which of the features is relatively more important than the other features and to analyze if there are differences according to background variables.

According to the literature review it can be expected that an image on a package will have the highest relative importance among nonverbal attributes. Blue color will have the highest utility among colors. The most elegant Script font will have highest utility among fonts. Participants will give the highest utility to rectangular shaped packages. There were not enough data to form specific statistical hypothesis concerning the influence of gender and chocolate on expected utilities.
3 METHOD

3.1 Conjoint experiment

Conjoint analysis is widely used in different studies in order to identify individual opinion about a product or an event. It is a statistical technique, which helps to evaluate the data received by a questionnaire or by observation in an experiment. The main function of the conjoin analysis is to give the whole result based on the individual and group statistic. In other words, it analyzes the utilities of giving attributes and their individual levels for each individual respondent separately. In order to precede the conjoint method product features and their levels should be determined. Product features and levels vary among different products. When product features and levels are determined, an orthogonal design is made in order to make complete products. Orthogonal design narrows down the total number of possible products according to an experimental design. Then, the participants are asked to fill in the questionnaire. The participants should grade the products or rank them. By using the a form of dummy coding and regression analysis SPSS calculates for each person the weight that each product feature shall be given in order to replicate the ranking or grading. The overall statistics is calculated based on the utilities of different product features. (Rao, 2014)

“Conjoint analysis is decomposition method. The method does not ask the respondent to evaluate each product feature individually, just to rank different complete products and then infers the importance of each product feature based on the ranking. In this way the method resembles a real choice situation where the customer has to choose between different products”. (Rao, 2014)

The conjoint analysis was used in this study in order to estimate the utilities of shape, color, font and image of chocolate packages for the respondents. The first step in designing the conjoint study was to create the design of the stimuli by specifying the attributes and their levels. The number of attributes and their levels influence on the number of stimuli. In other words, by decreasing the number of attributes and levels, the number of stimuli decreases.
In this study four attributes were chosen: shape, color, font and image. Each of the attributes had a specific amount of levels: three, four, four and two, respectively. The dimensions of attributes were taken from various chocolate packages that exist in real life. It was decided not to use a brand name as an attribute, because it has a very strong influence on the consumer’s preferences. Therefore the created chocolate packages had the generic brand name “Chocolate”, which should not influence on the participants preferences.

Three different shapes of chocolate packages were considered as levels: rectangular form 90x170, square form with the dimension 100x100, and bar form 40x150. (Figure 3) Color attribute included four levels: blue, red, brown and white. Figure 4) Font of logo text included four levels: Script, Serif and Sans serif. (Figure 5) The last attribute was image, which had two levels, either the image existed on the package or not.
1. Shape

![Shapes of created packages](image)

*Figure 3 Shapes of created packages*

2. Color

![Color of created packages](image)

*Figure 4 Color of created packages*

3. Font

![Font of created packages](image)

*Figure 5 Font of created packages (scriptina pro avenir black (52 pt) and scriptina pro avenir black (63pt,50pt) treated as one font in the analysis)*

The total number of all available combinations was $3 \times 4 \times 4 \times 4 \times 2 = 92$ stimuli.

The combinations of attributes and levels were determined by the SPSS orthogonal procedure, which narrowed the total number down to 16 different packages. (Table 1) The stimuli were fractioned by utilizing an orthogonal design, which means that the impact of one attribute can be measured independently of the variation of other attributes.
Based on the orthogonal design 16 different chocolate packages were created as cards. Each card was given a number as identification features for the SPSS program and used in the experiment. (Figure 6)

### 3.2 Experiment procedure

In total 87 randomly chosen students from Arcada UAS participated in the experiment. The sample consisted of 37 per cent males and 63 per cent females. During the experiment each student was wearing Tobii glasses. Tobii glasses helped to identify how long the student was looking at each card and where he was focusing his attention.

Each participant had to choose which chocolate package he liked the most, take the card, which represented this package and put this card away. Then the participant had to choose the second favorite package/card and put it away. The participant was asked to continue to select cards until the last package/card was chosen.
After the experiment each participant was asked to fill in the questionnaire. The questionnaire included a few questions, such as gender and the amount of chocolate consumed by the respondent. The respondent had to choose between four options to answer how often he consumed chocolate: heavy user (several times per week), medium user (a few times per month), light user (once a month), nonuser (do not eat chocolate).

Finally, the participant was asked to give each card points for its visual impression from 0 (do not like at all) to 100 (like very much). Also, the participants ranking was based on the registration of their choice of cards.

### 3.3 Data creation

The SPSS software was used in order to evaluate the collected answers about the participants’ preferences regarding the generic chocolate packages. A matrix with data was created in SPSS. (Table 2) This table included participant’s ID, gender, the amount of chocolate consumed by the participant, ranking for each card and the points for the visual impressions. In the matrix, the amount of chocolate consumed was named as user and four options that were mentioned above were coded in the value column. Points for the visual impressions and the ranks of the cards were identified as R1-R16 and P1-P16, respectively. After the matrix was created the values that were collected in the questionnaire were entered into SPSS manually.
In order to evaluate the utility of each package elements on the participants’ preferences, the SPSS syntax procedure was used. The orthogonal design table was combining with the data table by using the following syntax in the SPSS program. (Table 3)

**Table 3 Syntax**

```
CONJOINT PLAN='C:/elena/PT.SAV' /DATA=* 
/RANK= p1 TO p16 /SUBJECT=ID 
/PLOT= SUMMARY 
/PRINT= ALL.
```

The first line indicates that the orthogonal design table is combined with a data table. The second line shows that the utilities will be based on the rank data from column p1 to column p16; each participant will be analyzed separately. The last two lines indicate that the overall utility of the rank data will be presented. A lowest rank and the highest score indicate the greatest preferences. By first using the SPSS command “split file” utilities for the different subgroups could be estimated. (Table 3)
4 RESULTS

4.1 Score data

In order to identify the utilities of the nonverbal attributes of the packages the data was analyzed on both scores and ranks. First, the utilities were calculated based on the score, which each participant gave to the 16 different packages. The utilities of each nonverbal attribute, shape, color, font and image, is presented separately.

4.1.1 Shape

The bar shape had the highest utility based on the score. It means that the participants were giving constantly higher scores to packages that had a bar shape. Packages, which have a square shape, had the lowest utility. The utilities of rectangular, bar and square shapes were 0.181, 1.574 and -1.755, respectively. (Figure 7)

![Summary of shape utility total sample (score)](image)

4.1.2 Color

The white colored packages gained the highest overall scores and their utility was 5.853. As it was expected packages with red background had the lowest utility (-5.170). It was predicted that packages with a blue background would gain the highest utility due
to the fact that Fazer’s blue is a very popular chocolate in Finland. However, surprisingly, blue colored packages had the lowest utility after red colored packages (-2.244). The utility of brown packages was 1.560. (Figure 8)

![Summary Utilities](image1)

*Figure 8 Summary of color utility total sample (score)*

### 4.1.3 Font

The Script font had the highest utility (12.124). The utilities of San Serif and Serif were -7.863 and -4.261, respectively. (Figure 9)

![Summary Utilities](image2)

*Figure 9 Summary of font utility total sample (score)*
4.1.4 Image

Packages with the picture (11.117) had the highest utility. The utility of packages without pictures was -11.117. (Figure 10)

Figure 10 Summary of image utility total sample (score)

4.1.5 Summary of scoring utilities

According to the average importance of utilities the image on the package was the most important nonverbal feature for the participants when they were giving scores to packages (29.7). Font and color were almost equally important for participants 28.7 and 28.1, respectively. The shape of the package was the least important nonverbal feature (13.5). (Figure 11)
4.1.6 Gender differences

Next, men and women preferences of nonverbal features were compared and analyzed. According to this study both men and women were giving the highest overall scores to the packages that had a bar shape and the utility was 2.060 and 1.305, respectively. However, men (0.560) compared to women (-0.052) did not give as low a score to rectangular shape packages. Both genders gave the lowest score to square shaped packages (-2.620 and -1.254). (Figure 12)

Both genders gave the highest utility to white colored packages (4.428 and 6.527), but the second preferred color was different. Women gave higher utility to brown packages (3.645), while men gave higher utility to blue color packages (0.436). The men’s utility of brown color was -1.486 and women’s utility of blue color was -4.127. Both men and
women gave the least utility to red color packages and the utilities were -3.377 and -6.045, respectively. (Figure 13)

![Summary Utilities](image1)

*Figure 13 Summary of color utility for men (left) and women (right) (score)*

Both genders gave the highest utility to the elegant Script font 8.164 and 14.603. San Serif had the lowest utility (-4.984 and -9.681), and the utility of Serif font was -3.180 and -4.922 (Figure14)

![Summary Utilities](image2)

*Figure 14 Summary of font utility for men (left) and women (right) (score)*

The utilities of the packages with the picture were 10.865 and 11.352. The utilities of the packages without the picture were -10.865 and -11.352. (Figure 15)

![Summary Utilities](image3)

*Figure 15Summary of image utility for men (left) and women (right) (score)*

According to the results, the most important factor for men in choosing the package is the image on the package (31.9). The second most important factor was the color of the
package (30). Men did not give a high utility to the font on the packages (24.1). The shape (14) was least important product feature. The most important product feature for women was the font on the package (31.7). Image was the second most important criteria (28.5). Color and shape were the third and fourth most important factors (26.4 and 13.3). (Figure 16)

![Importance summary](image)

*Figure 16 Summary of factor utility for men (left) and women (right) (score)*

### 4.2 Rank data

The utilities were also estimated based on the rankings of the products/cards. The results were estimated in the same way as for the scoring data. First, each attribute was analyzed based on participant’s ranking, and then the overall statistics were estimated. Also, the differences in ranking between men and women were compared.

#### 4.2.1 Shape

Each participant was asked to choose the most preferred package one by one. According to the ranking results, the highest utility had packages that had a rectangular shape (0.365). The square shape had the lowest utility based on ranking and scoring (-0.236). The utility of bar shaped packages was -0.128. (Figure 17)
4.2.2 Color

According to the results, the participants’ utilities of colors were similar to the utilities when they were giving scoring points. White color packages received the highest utility (0.715). Brown (0.306) and blue (-0.094) packages had the second and third places. Red color packages received the lowest utility (-0.927). (Figure 18)
4.2.3 Font

The script font had the highest utility among the participants (2.196). San Serif had the lowest utility -1.501. The utility of Serif font was -0.691. (Figure 19)

![Figure 19 Summary of font utility total sample (rank)](image)

4.2.4 Image

The same way as participants were giving the highest utilities to packages with a picture; the participants also gave the highest utility to those packages (2.837). Packages without the picture had the utility -2.837. (Figure 20)
4.2.5 Summary of ranking utilities

The overall result showed that the most important factor based on the ranks and choosing the most preferable package was the image on the package (37). Then the next highest utilities for participants were the font on the package (26.6) and background color (23.5). The least important utility was the package shape (13). (Figure 22)
4.2.6 Gender differences

Next, differences in utilities of nonverbal attributes between men and women were compared and analyzed. According to the results nonverbal attributes had different utilities for men and women. Both genders gave the highest utility to the rectangular shaped packages (0.469 and 0.295). However, females’ utility of bar shaped packages was 0.009, whereas males’ utility of bar shaped packages was -0.375. The square shaped packages had the utilities -0.094 and -0.305. (Figure 22)

![Figure 22 Summary of shape utility for men (left) and women (right) (rank)](image1)

White color packages had the highest utility (0.406 and 0.835). The red packages had the lowest utility (-0.758 and -1.006). The utilities of brown packages were 0.328 and 0.381. The utilities of blue packages were 0.023 and -0.210. (Figure 23)

![Figure 23 Summary of color utility for men (left) and women (right) (rank)](image2)

Also, both genders gave the highest utility to the Script font (1.859 and 2.426). The San Serif had the lowest utility (-1.230 and -1.677). The utilities of the Serif font were -0.629 and -0.749. (Figure 24)
The packages with a picture had a higher utility (2.828 and 2.844) over packages without a picture on them (-2.828 and -2.844). (Figure 25)

The utilities of nonverbal attributes were different for men and for women. For both genders the picture on the package had the highest utility (36.7 and 37.2) both based on scores and utilities in choosing the package and giving the highest rank. However, for men the second important factor was the package color (27). While for women the second important factor was the font (29.3). The shape of the package had the lowest utilities (13.7 and 12.5). Men’ utility of font was 22.7. Women’ utility of color was 21. (Figure 26)

Figure 24 Summary of font utility for men (left) and women (right) (rank)

Figure 25 Summary of image utility for men and women (rank)

Figure 26 Summary of factor utility for men (left) and women (right) (rank)
4.3 Chocolate consumption data

Results were also analyzed based on the participants’ answers about the amount of their chocolate consumption. Each participant had to choose how often he was buying chocolate. Four categories of chocolate consumption were presented: heavy, medium, light and nonusers. The aim was to analyze how important each of the nonverbal attributes for the different categories of users.

For the heavy chocolate users (13 respondents) the color of the package was the most important factor while they were giving their points to the package designs (31). The image and the font had almost the same value of importance for those users (28.2 and 25.5). The package shape was the least important factor (15.2). However, the ranking result was different from the scoring result. According to the ranking result heavy users gave the highest utility to the packages with the picture (36.9). Font and color had almost the same average importance value (26 and 25.9). Shape of the package had the lowest value of average importance (11.3). (Figure 27)

When medium users (46 respondents) were giving points to the packages the most important factor was the font on the package (30.5). The second most important factor was the background color (29.035); the third and the forth were image and shape 27.5 and 12.9, respectively. However, when those respondents were choosing the packages in order of their preferences, their choice of the package depends on the image of the package (35.105). Font of the package was the second important factor (27.9). Background color and shape had the third and fourth average importance values (24 and 13). (Figure 28)
Light users (21 respondents) had the image as the most important factor in choosing and grading the package according to the ranking and scoring results (40.3 and 33.1). The package shape had the lowest value of importance (12.9 and 13.4). Average importance value of color was 18.4 and 21.6. The font of the package was almost as important as the picture on the package when those participants were giving points to each package (31.9). However, when they were choosing the package in an order they like, the font was significantly less important than the picture (28.3). (Figure 29)

Nonusers (5 respondents) had the same result when they gave points and ranks to the packages. The existence of the image on the package was the most important factor (41.7 and 37.6). The color of the package was the second important factor in choosing the package design (29.4 and 33). Font (15.9 and 15.7) and shape (12.9 and 13.6) were almost equally important, though font was a bit more important than the shape of the package. (Figure 30)
Figure 30 Summary of factor utility for nonusers rank (left) and score (right)
5 DISCUSSION

This final chapter summarizes the theoretical framework and the empirical results. The final result of respondents’ preferences is analyzed and discussed. Also, the results of the conjoint analysis are compared with the literature review.

The obtained results of conjoint analysis showed that students have different preferences concerning nonverbal attributes when they were asked to choose packages in a rank order and when they gave points to the same packages.

5.1 The most important nonverbal attribute

According to the empirical results of score and rank data the most important nonverbal attribute of the package was the image. Underwood (2002) stated that the image on a package provides more information about the product for consumers. The packages that were used in the experiment did not exist in real life. The participants had to decide which package they like based only on nonverbal attributes of the packages. Consumers are usually unsure, which product they should buy when they do not know the core product. It can be concluded that the picture on the package helps to eliminate the factor of uncertainty about the product. Also, the picture helps to attract consumers’ attention. It is the most noticeable nonverbal attribute on the package. Therefore when participants were asked to choose the packages in the order of their preferences, they chose the packages with the picture, because those packages were the most noticeable.

The font was the second most important nonverbal attribute in evaluating the packages design. Packages that were created for the experiment had a generic brand name “chocolate” in the middle of the package. As a result, it attracted the attention of the participants and made the font the second most important nonverbal attribute.

Color was the third most important nonverbal attribute. According to Gordon (1994) color of the package had the biggest influence on the customers’ preferences. But the conjoint analysis result showed in this experiment that color was not the most important factor in choosing and evaluating packages. Even though color is a good tool to attract consumers’ attention, it probably does not provide that much information to consumers.
At least in the case of chocolate packages, the participants did not make their decision primary on the color of the package.

The shape of the package is the last criteria that participants were paying attention to. In some cases when the amount of the product has a big influence on the consumer’s decision, the shape of the package could be the most important criteria. However, in the case of chocolate package the amount did not have a strong effect on the participants’ decisions.

5.2 The importance of shape

Based on the ranking results, the participants preferred rectangular shaped packages. According to the literature review, consumers usually prefer rectangular shaped products, especially when they are not familiar with the product. The rectangular shape of packages is the most common shape and even though consumers are not familiar with the product they feel secure to choose a package of this shape. The chocolate packages, which were presented in the experiment, did not exist in a real life. Therefore it can be concluded that when the participants were asked to choose the packages in the order of their preferences, they felt more secure to choose a package with the shape they used to see more often on the chocolate shelf in the store.

The conjoint results of score data showed that participants were choosing the chocolate packages, which had the bar shape. It means that when the participants had more time to evaluate the packages they gave higher score to the bar shaped packages.

Both score and rank results showed that the square shape was the least favored shape for the participants. Schoorman (1997) stated that an unusual shape of a product can catch the consumer’s attention, but can be seen as ambiguous. The square shape of the chocolate package is not that popular in the chocolate production, therefore customers can feel unsecure to choose the product. It could be a reason why the participants did not like the square shaped packages.
5.3 The importance of color

The results of the conjoint analysis for both score data and ranking data were very similar. In both cases the participants preferred white colored packages to other colored packages. According to the literature, white color is associated with reliability. It could be a reason why participants were choosing the white color packages. However, according to Hallock’s studies only two per cent of men and one per cent of women prefer white color to other colors and both genders usually prefer blue color. However, according to the empirical results, the blue color was the third favorite color for both genders. Taft (1997) stated that blue color was the most preferable color for the packages background. It makes the package look more elegant. But according to the results even the brown colored packages were more preferred than the blue colored packages. It could be concluded that the color preferences depend on the core product. Probably blue color is not associated with chocolate products. Though Fazer’s chocolate packages have a blue color. Clearly, the consumers’ decision to buy a Fazer’s chocolate package is not based solely on the color of the package, but rather on the brand name. As it was expected, the red color was the least preferable background color. According to the literature review warm colors do not usually suit for a package background.

5.4 The importance of font

The score and rank results showed the same pattern for the font preferences. The Script font was the most preferred font for the chocolate packages. The Script is an elegant font, which is hard to read if it is in a long sentence. But when only one word “chocolate” was written on the created chocolate packages the font worked. Therefore the Script font made the packages look more elegant and preferable for the participants. According to the literature the San Serif is the least preferable type of fonts when it is printed on the paper. The conjoint analysis supported that statement. The participants gave the lowest utilities to packages with a San Serif font.
5.5 The importance of image

Several studies stated that the image is very important for a package and that customers prefer packages with a picture because they can evaluate the core product and base their opinion on the picture. The empirical results showed that all participants preferred packages with an image on them to the packages without an image. Obviously, a picture on the package helped the consumers evaluate the core product and eliminate a factor of uncertainty about the product. In the case of chocolate package it can be concluded that probably the participants believed that the product in the package with an image on tasted better than a product in a package without an image.

5.6 Gender preferences

The empirical results proved that men and women have different preferences in nonverbal signs. The conjoint analysis results of score data showed that female and male participants have the same preferences in shape, font and image, except color. Both genders gave the highest points to the white colored package. Blue was the second favorite color for men, whereas women preferred the brown color. According to Hallock’s studies blue color was the most favorite color of both genders. 57 per cent of men and 37 per cent of women chose blue color as their favorite color. Blue color is often used as a background color for a lot of packages. Brown color is usually associates with organic and healthy products. It could be a reason why in the experiment female participants preferred brown over blue color.

The results based on rank data showed that both genders had the same preferences in shape, color, font and image. Female and male participants preferred the rectangular shape of the package to other shapes. Though men’ least favorite shape was the bar shape and women’ the square shape.

Men’ preferences of nonverbal attributes based on ranks were the same in both cases. The order of preference was: Image, Color, Font and Shape. Evidently, an image on the package was the most important nonverbal sign for men. While giving point to packages women based their opinion on Font, Image, Color and Shape. But when they were choosing the most favorite packages one by one, the order of the average importance of
nonverbal signs was Image, Font, Color and Shape. Clearly, when women were choosing one package over another, the most important factor was the existent of an image on the package. But when they were evaluating the packages and giving points, they were paying the most attention to the font of the package.

5.7 Nonverbal preferences based on the amount of chocolate consumption

The results of the conjoint analysis showed that students with a different amount of chocolate consumption gave different average importance to nonverbal attributes. For the heavy users the color of the package was the most important factor in choosing the packages. For medium users the font of the package had the highest relative importance. For light and nonusers the image on the package had the highest value of relative importance. All users gave the highest points to packages with an image on them and image was the most important nonverbal attribute in evaluating the appearance of the packages. Unfortunately the empirical evidence of conjoint analysis cannot be compared to the literature review due to a lack of information about the influence of the amount of consumption on consumers’ preferences of package attributes.
6 CONCLUSION

Package design is an important marketing tool. It helps to attract consumers’ attention and to make a person interested in the core product inside the package. The package provides communication with the consumer and has a big impact on the consumer’s willingness to buy the product or not. Both verbal and nonverbal package attributes are important for a successful package design. Verbal attributes of the package provide information, which influence the consumers’ willingness to buy a product. Nonverbal attributes attract consumer attention and make him interested in the product.

The author of this thesis focused on the nonverbal attributes of the package design. Especially the relative importance of the four attributes: shape, color, font and image. According to the results of the conjoint experiment, which was conducted in the Visual Impact project, an image on a package is the most important element among those four attributes. Font is the second most important element. Background color of the package is the third most important nonverbal attribute and the shape of the package has the lowest relative importance. The author’s hypothesis about the best package design is supported with empirical evidences. Though the most preferred background color was predicted wrong.

Base on the conjoint study one can recommend companies to create white color chocolate packages of rectangular shape with an image on them and to use a Script font for a brand name in order to attract consumers’ attention and make them interested in a core product.

For the further research the author recommends to study more how the consumption intensity of the product influences the consumers’ packaging preferences. According to the conjoint analysis results the amount of consumption has a certain influence on the relative importance of packages’ nonverbal attributes. However, due to a lack of information about other studies based on consumption data, it is not possible to compare empirical findings of the conjoint analysis to other evidence.
REFERENCES


**APPENDICES**

**ARCADA- VISUAL IMPACT**

Questionnaire number: _____________  Should be the same number as on Eye Tracker glasses

**Gender:**

□ Male  □ Female

**Use of chocolate:**

□ Heavy user, several times per week  □ Medium user, a few times per month

□ Light user, once a month  □ Non user, do not eat chocolate

Please, give each card points for visual impression from 0 (do not like at all) to 100 (like very much)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Card nr:</th>
<th>Ranking</th>
<th>Points for visual impression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cards are sorted with the help of EyeTracker glasses.
### Utilities Score

#### Total Sample  Men  Women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shape</th>
<th>Total Sample</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rectangular</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>0.560</td>
<td>-0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bar</td>
<td>1.574</td>
<td>2.060</td>
<td>1.305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Square</td>
<td>-1.755</td>
<td>-2.620</td>
<td>-1.254</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Total Sample</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>-2.244</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td>-4.127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red</td>
<td>-5.17</td>
<td>-3.377</td>
<td>-6.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>-1.286</td>
<td>3.645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>5.853</td>
<td>4.428</td>
<td>6.527</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Font</th>
<th>Total Sample</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Script</td>
<td>12.124</td>
<td>8.164</td>
<td>14.603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serif</td>
<td>-4.261</td>
<td>-3.180</td>
<td>-4.922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Serif</td>
<td>-7.863</td>
<td>-4.984</td>
<td>-9.681</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>Total Sample</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11.117</td>
<td>10.865</td>
<td>11.352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not</td>
<td>-11.117</td>
<td>-10.87</td>
<td>-11.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Utilities Score

#### Total Sample  Men  Women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shape</th>
<th>Total Sample</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rectangular</td>
<td>0.365</td>
<td>0.469</td>
<td>0.295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bar</td>
<td>-0.128</td>
<td>-0.375</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Square</td>
<td>-0.236</td>
<td>-0.094</td>
<td>-0.305</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Total Sample</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>-0.094</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red</td>
<td>-0.927</td>
<td>-0.758</td>
<td>-1.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>0.328</td>
<td>0.381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>0.715</td>
<td>0.406</td>
<td>0.835</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Font</th>
<th>Total Sample</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Script</td>
<td>2.192</td>
<td>1.859</td>
<td>2.426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serif</td>
<td>-0.691</td>
<td>-0.629</td>
<td>-0.749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Serif</td>
<td>-1.501</td>
<td>-1.23</td>
<td>-1.677</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>Total Sample</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2.837</td>
<td>2.828</td>
<td>2.844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not</td>
<td>-2.837</td>
<td>-2.828</td>
<td>-2.844</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>