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Abstract

**Background:** Post-operative nausea and vomiting (PONV) is one of the most common complications after surgery, causing patient discomfort, longer stays at hospital settings and other complication after surgery such as electrolyte imbalance, hemorrhage and risk of wound opening. Currently many pharmacological interventions are available but they show little efficacy and they count with many serious side-effects and are very costly treatments.

**Task and objectives:** The objective of this paper is to conduct a research on non-pharmacological interventions to mitigate PONV that can be conducted by nurses.

**Implementation method:** This is a literature review, we have used two databases; Medline and Cinahl. Articles were selected according to the inclusion criteria and their quality was assessed using the assessment score from Hawker & Payne by two researchers. The data analysis was conducted using content analysis methodology.

**Results:** Research conducted found that nurses can implement subjective and/or objective treatments. Subjective treatments are based on patient trust and interventions without and object. Objective treatment includes: GRS (Go-rei-San) herbal compound, fluid therapy, aromatherapy, acupressure, Transcutaneous Electrical Acupoint Stimulation TEA P6 and Chewing Gum

**Conclusions:** Several options as non-pharmacological treatment are available, however, more research needs to be done in that field as there are many factors to consider like, type of surgery, length of surgery, anesthesia type, gender.
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1 Introduction

Post-Operative Nausea and Vomiting (PONV) is one of the most common complications after surgery, it affects patient’s time recovering and it brings them discomfort including: pain, electrolytes imbalances, dehydration, risk of aspiration, risk of wound opening and hemorrhage. (Kazuyoshi, Tetsuro, Hiroshi, Haruka, Toshihiko & Toshiaki, 2013; Kiberd, Clarke, Chorney, Eon & Wright, 2016; Kabalc, Akcay, Akcay & Gogus, 2005). Due to the mentioned complications, the prevention of PONV becomes more important than the treatment of postoperative pain (Kazuyoshi et. al., 2013).

It is estimated than about 75 million patients suffer from PONV annually (Quinlan-Woodward, Gode, Dusek, Reinstein, Johnson & Sendelbach, 2016; Collins, 2011). There are currently pharmacological solutions like 5HT3 antagonists, corticosteroids and neurokinin-1 antagonist to avoid PONV, however the studies suggest that even if the patient is taking the anti-emetics previously mentioned they have an incidence of about 30% to 45% to suffer from PONV (Kazuyoshi et. al., 2013; Streitberger, Diefenbacher, Bauer, Conradi, Bardenheuer, Martin, Schneider & Unnebrink, 2004) and the number raises to 79% to risk patients (Streitberger et. al., 2004).

The need to research for alternatives to a pharmacological treatment emerges from the serious side effects that anti-emetic drugs can cause (Kabalc et. al., 2005), in some cases drugs like *droperidol* have received a warning from FDA (Food and Drug Administration) as not recommended as primary treatment (Streitberger et. al., 2004). Currently only approximately 13% of anesthesiologists prefer non-pharmacological interventions in prevention and treatment of PONV (Kabalc et. al., 2005).

The aim of this study is to conduct a literature review on studies that suggest non-pharmacological interventions for PONV based on nurses experiences. The purpose
of this study is to bring awareness to the nurses, about possibilities of treatment to the patient with no side effects and less invasive.

2 Post-Operative Nausea and Vomiting (PONV)

Theoretical background in PONV

Etiology, Physiology and incidence of PONV

PONV is one of the most common complications after surgery. It is categorized as minor complication but when a vomit occurs it increases the pressure in several organs causing major complications (Tate & Cook, 1996). Nausea and vomiting are two different concepts; Nausea is related to a subjective patient experience and not always leads to vomit, vomiting is an objective patient experience (Kovak, 2000) involving the expulsion of the gastric contents through the mouth. This action involves the squeezing of the stomach between diaphragm and abdominal muscles (Tortora & Derrickson, 2014) resulting in a non-comfortable experience. The action of nausea and vomiting are controlled by the emetic or vomiting center located on the brainstem (See Figure 1), The vomiting center can be stimulated by the peripheral Nervous System (PNS), central nervous system (CNS) and chemoreceptors trigger zone (CTZ). (Kovak, 2000; Tate & Cook, 1996). The PNS involves; oropharynx, renal system, gastro intestinal system, reproductive system, mediastinum, peritoneum. CNS includes the cerebral cortex, vestibular apparatus (inner ear). CTZ controls the emetic neurotransmitters that will trigger vomiting.

There are four principal neurotransmitters involved in the action of vomiting; Serotonin (5-HT3), dopamine (D2), histamine (H1) and acetylcholine. These are stimulated by chemicals, metabolites, drugs and electrolytes causing the action of vomiting (Kovak, 2000; Tate & Cook, 1996). Blocking the receptors for the mentioned neurotransmitters is the objective of any pharmacological treatment for PONV (Kovak, 2000; Tate & Cook, 1996).
Several studies indicate that the incidence of PONV did barely change since 1980 being on one third of the surgical patients affected (Hambrige, 2013). In numbers; PONV affects to the 30% to 45% of surgical patients (Kazuyoshi et. al., 2013; Streitberger et. al., 2004) and the number raises to 79% to risk patients (Streitberger et. al., 2004; Kovak, 2000).

**Risk Factors of PONV**

Patient related factors such as; Age, gender, BMI, past medical history/motion sickness, smoking and gastroparesis increase the possibilities to experience PONV.

**Age:** Patients between 6 to 16 years old are more prone to experience PONV. The infancy period is the lowest with only 5% of the patients affected. PONV incidence tend to diminish reaching adulthood and after 50 years old the incidence reduces 13% every 10 years age increase (Hambrige, 2013; Kovak, 2000; Tate & Cook, 1996).

**Gender:** Females are at highest risk to vomit after surgery, they have 2 to 3 times more possibilities than males. Some studies suggest that the etiology of this
phenomena is linked to hormonal factors and menstruation cycles, but this theory is still controversial (Collins, 2011; Hambrige, 2013; Kovak, 2000; Tate & Cook, 1996).

**BMI:** Patients undergoing surgery with a BMI over 30 show higher incidence of PONV. The pharmacokinetics of the drugs used during anesthesia are the main responsible to provoke that condition. These drugs are fat-soluble causing more accumulation on obese patients and making difficult their excretion, so therefore the side-effects of these drugs also stay longer. (Hambrige, 2013; Kovak, 2000; Tate & Cook, 1996).

**Past medical history / motion sickness:** Patients with past PONV history or motion sickness show lower levels of threshold and have an increase 3-fold incidence to nausea and vomiting (Hambrige, 2013; Kovak, 2000; Tate & Cook, 1996). The origin is questionable; Some studies support the idea that the patient has developed the vomit arc reflex (Tate & Cook, 1996) while others point to Catecholamine higher secretion in patients with past PONV, which is acts as a stimuli for the emetic center (Hambrige, 2013).

**Smoking:** Smokers metabolize faster the drugs used for anesthesia. Therefore, they show less incidence to suffer that condition. The reason is that smoking changes the enzymes in the liver affecting the way that the anesthetic drug is metabolized (Collins, 2011; Hambrige, 2013).

**Gastroparesis:** Patients suffering from any condition that may delay the emptying of the stomach, are at higher risk of suffering PONV. These conditions can be: pregnancy, GI obstruction, chronic cholecystitis, raised intracranial pressure, myopathies, uremia, neuropathies, pyloric stenosis, collagen vascular disorders and endocrinopathies (Tate & Cook, 1996; Hambrige, 2013).

There are five factors related to the anesthesia that increase PONV: Fasting, anesthesia drugs, duration, pain and orthostatic hypotension.
**Pre-operative fast:** Guidelines emphasize that in order to minimize risk of aspiration pre-operative fast between 6 to 8 hours is needed before inducing anesthesia (Practice Parameter, 2017; Kovak, 2000). However, that is not the only reason why patients are set to fasting, food can stimulate the emetic center due to bowel movements and hormones released during digestion (Kovak, 2000; Tate & Cook, 1996).

**Anesthesia drugs:** Anesthesia uses four main groups of drugs; anesthetic gases, opioids, anesthetic agents and muscle relaxants.

The anesthetic gases are often pointed the be the main responsible to trigger PONV (Collins, 2011; Hambrige, 2013). There have been many efforts on developing new volatile agents like sevoflurane or desflurane that will show less incidence however studies show no significant difference compared to old volatile agents like cyclopropane (Kovak, 2000; Tate & Cook, 1996). Moreover, when inhalation agents are eliminated completely, PONV incidence does not reduce (Kovak, 2000).

Many studies suggest that the usage of opioids during surgery or as pre-medication stimulates vomiting center (Collins, 2011; Hambrige, 2013; Kovak, 2000; Tate & Cook, 1996). The same type of drug used in postoperative pain multiples per two the risk of suffering nausea and vomiting (Hambrige, 2013). Opioids mechanism stimulates the 5HT3 neurotransmitter and vasopressin hormone release, both identified as responsible for emetic stimuli, it also slows gastric motility delaying the emptying of the stomach, sensitize the emetic arc reflex, and increase sensitivity in at vestibular apparatus. (Tate & Cook, 1996, Kovak, 2000). PONV tend to decrease after 6 hours of opioids administration (Kovak, 2000).

Depending on the type of anesthesia to be induced, the anesthetics agents and procedure will be different. Studies has shown that general anesthesia has 11 times more risk to provoke PONV than regional anesthesia, (Collins, 2011; Hambrige, 2013). Factors such intubation and intravenous induction agents like thiopentone, which has 12% higher incidence rate than propofol, increase the possibilities of PONV (Hambrige, 2013; Tate & Cook, 1996).
Usage of muscle relaxants itself does not increase the incidence of PONV (Kovak, 2000; Tate & Cook, 1996) however the usage of antagonist to reverse muscle relaxation shows the opposite (Kovak, 2000).

Long surgeries increase the incidence of PONV (Hambrige, 2013; Kovak, 2000; Tate & Cook, 1996). It is estimated that every 30 minutes of anesthesia the risk increase 59% (Hambrige, 2013). The etiology of this condition relays on the nature of the anesthetic drugs, longer exposure will accumulate higher amounts of drug making it more difficult to the body to eliminate (Hambrige, 2013; Kovak, 2000; Tate & Cook, 1996).

Pain is common after surgical procedures, the risk of PONV increases when the pain is originated in the pelvis or visceral area. The usage of the opioids to release the pain doesn’t help to decrease the PONV incidence (Hambrige, 2013; Kovak, 2000).

A patient who under anesthesia decreases his systolic pressure more than 35% is more probe to suffer from PONV (Collins, 2011). Orthostatic hypotension can be caused by the long fasting periods or poor fluids administration during surgery (Kovak, 2000; Tate & Cook, 1996).

During surgery organs are touch, disturbed and manipulated by instruments, these actions stimulate the vomiting center via the parasympathetic system (Collins, 2011). Surgical factors related include the area that has been gone thought surgery; Surgeries on abdominal area, gynecological, obstetric, ENT (ear nose and throat), eyes, neurosurgery, laparoscopy, oral, plastic are showing higher risk than other surgical sites. (Hambrige, 2013; Kovak, 2000; Tate & Cook, 1996).

**Assessment & Impacts of PONV**

There are two tools, the “Apfel risk score” and the “Koivuranta risk score”, that have been developed to measure the risk of suffering PONV. These tools only provide an estimate and not an accurate measurement. However, the estimate allows physicians to proactively consider administration of an antiemetic therapy. The Apfel
score is based in four main factors: female gender, past PONV/motion sickness, non-smoking and post-operative opioids. The Koivuranta score uses five factors: female gender, past PONV, history of motion sickness, non-smoking and surgery longer than one hour. Each positive factor gets one point in the score and one point score is between 18% to 22% likelihood to develop nausea and vomiting after surgery (Gan, Diemunsch, Habib, Kovac, Kranke, Meyer, Watcha, Chung, Angus, Apfel, Bergese, Candiotti, Chan, Davis, Hooper, Lagoo-Deenadayalan, Myles, Nezat, Philip & Tramèr. 2014; Hambrige, 2013).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>% Incidence of PONV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female gender</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18% to 22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past PONV/motion sickness</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-smoking</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18% to 22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>post-operative opioids</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18% to 22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Score</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>54% to 66%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2 Apfel Risk Score tool.

Major impacts related to PONV can be classified in; Medical-surgical, psychological and economic. (Hambrige, 2013; Kovak, 2000).

Medical-surgical complications include but not limited to: dehydration, electrolytes imbalance, hypotension, delay on fluid and food intake, impossibility to administer oral drugs, wound tear, wound bleeding, hematoma, increase intracranial pressure, tachycardia, increased intraocular pressure, esophagus distress, risk of aspiration pneumonia (Hambrige, 2013).
Psychological complications consider patients feelings, including shame, embarrassing, undignified. PONV ranks first than pain in patient fears surgery related surveys. It also linked to low patient satisfaction (Hambrige, 2013).

PONV causes longer hospitalization periods and unexpected hospitalizations for those who were elected for day surgery but need to stay overnight, therefor the economic impact is clear. The economic reasons not also consider hospitalization but all the resources like personnel, drugs and instruments. (Hambrige, 2013; Kovak, 2000).

2.1 Non-Pharmacological treatment

We consider as non-pharmacological treatment any action that does not involve chemical drug intake in any kind of administration route.

It is a common practice to prescribe prophylaxis anti-emetie drugs even if patient is in lower risk factors. These drugs are very expensive, and they lack efficiency, drugs are made of histamines, benzamides, anticholinergics, which are known to have strong sides effects. In fact, various studies show that these drugs are more harmful than beneficial; they are link to ECG abnormalities, such as QT prolonged intervals or fatal arrhythmias, headache, neuroleptic syndrome, constipation and agitation. Moreover in US these drugs have been marked with the black box waring according to US Food and Administration organism (Trueman, 2011; Hickman, Bell & Preston, 2005) Nowadays there are a new developed set of drugs that includes corticosteroids, dopamine antagonist serotonin blockers, they have been showing better results but the mechanism how they work is unknown therefore there is lack of knowledge on side effects together with lack of more detail research (Trueman, 2011)

In this literature review we consider as non-pharmacological treatment any action, any experience that the patient had and helped to reduce his/hers PONV symptoms conducted by his/her nurse. This treatment doesn't not consider the common anti-
emetic drugs, they can be actions, like open the window, drinking ginger tea, aromatherapy and others.

3 Aim purpose and research questions

The aim of this study is to conduct a literature review on studies that suggest non-pharmacological interventions for PONV based on nurses experiences. The purpose of this study is to bring awareness to the nurses, about possibilities of treatment to the patient with no side effects and less invasive.

The research question is: What kind of non-pharmacological interventions for PONV can be used by nurses?

4 Methodology

4.1 Literature Review

Literature review it is a type of research were data of different empirical researches on a given topic are used, combined and analyzed (Booth, Rees & Beecroft, 2013; Rew, 2010; Knalf & Whittemore, 2005). Benefits of this research type include: an easy view of compiled large amounts of information in one article. Comparison of different studies in one paper. Reduced bias by proper selection of the studies. Conclusions are more trustable. (Booth et.al., 2013).

For this thesis next steps have been followed in order to conduct a reliable literature research: Defined a research protocol including: aims, research question, inclusion (PICO) criteria, search terms, relevant database. Conducted the search and select relevant studies according to search protocol. Evaluated the quality of the articles. Selected the key information, Summarized and wrote the findings (Review (Booth, Rees & Beecroft, 2013; Rew, 2010)
4.2 Literature Search Protocol

The articles used to conduct this literature review have been selected from two databases; Cinahl Plus full-text (Ebsco) and Medline Library. For the research a PICO table (see figure 3) was used. PICO table is a tool for inclusion criteria that helps to find relevant evidence-based literature. This thesis focused in recent studies from 2008 to actual date with Nursing interventions or strategies or best practices or treatment or therapy for ponv or post-operative nausea vomiting. After the results the articles have been filtered by relevant title; excluding any article that suggest pharmacological interventions and excluding articles that are not an empirical research or clinical research (See Figure 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>post-operative or post-operative or postoperative or post-surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenomena of Interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing interventions or strategies or best practices or treatment or therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Context</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ponv or post-operative nausea vomiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Types of studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer reviewed, English, Spanish, 2008-to actual date</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3 Inclusion criteria

In order to ensure a good quality of the research, every article has been evaluated using the assessment score from Hawker & Payne of his article Appraising the Evidence: Reviewing Disparate Data Systematically (Hawker & Payne, 2002). The maximum score that can be achieved is 36 points, this will place the article as “good quality, to minimize bias only articles that score above 30 points have been selected.
From the total of articles that have been through the assessment score process the maximum score was 36 the minimum 14 and an average of 28,3 score. Five articles were excluded for being literature review, three articles required financial access, one was a pharmacological intervention and one was a study conducted only in one patient. Additionally, to my assessment score review another researcher conducted
the same process with the next results; maximum score 36, minimum 28 and an average of 32 score.

4.3 Data analysis

The data extracted have been analyzed using a content analysis method, this method allows a comprehensive review from empirical articles from experimental and non-experimental research, it also allows to give a new perspective from the researches and collect them in once single article (Knalf & Whittemore, 2005).

The data have been sorted, categorized, coded and synthetized. Data extracted are read and relevant information selected. These data have been combined together in a matrix and reduced using main groups and subgroups. The categorization (Figure 7) was done in two main groups “Subjective treatment” and Objective treatment” Inside subjective treatment another set of subcategories was done; improve Patient satisfaction or comfort, build nurse-patient trust and implement nurse beliefs. Inside the objective treatment set of subcategories was: GRS (Go-rei-San) herbal compound, fluid therapy, aromatherapy, acupressure, TEA P6 and Chewing Gum, each of them where again subcategorized in how to apply, when to apply.

![Figure 5 Data Analysis Categories](image)
Next step was to analyze the extractions and synthetize the content. Finally, the results have been written and concluded. (Knalf & Whittemore, 2005).

5 Results

Once conducted the literature review research, results suggested there are many actions that a nurse can do to mitigate PONV and these actions do not limit only to technical skills but also holistic factors. More details can be found in Annex 1

5.1 Subjective Treatment

Subjective treatment includes actions to improve patient satisfaction and comfort, build trust with patient and implement nurse beliefs. One study suggests that just with mere presence of the nurse in the room, the healing touch and holding wrists patient while telling him this will reduce nausea may have a positive effect because of their trust-based relationship (Gilbert, Farish, Bergland, Conaway, Hance, Ketcham & Spry, 2017). Other study supports the idea that nurses believe that just by not asking the patient about nausea will help them to ignore the fact, so therefore they think is not appropriate to asses PONV (Hofmann, Murray, Beck & Homann, 2017). Patients satisfaction and comfort can be achieved by applying aromatherapy gauzes (Kiberd et. al., 2016), apply an acupressure wrist band (Gilbert et.al., 2017; Yusheng, Qiuian, Cansheng, Yihuan, Ying, Liangcheng & Yanqing, 2015) and chew chewing gum (Darvall, J. N., Handscombe, M., & Leslie, K, 2017).

5.2 Objective Treatment

Next possible objective treatments have been found: GRS (Go-rei-San) herbal compound, fluid therapy, aromatherapy, acupressure, TEA P6 and Chewing Gum.

GRS is an herbal compound with five herbal ingredients, it is normally used for motion sickness but according to the study from Kori, Oikawa, Odaguchi, Omoto, Hanawa & Minami, 2013. GRS reduces safely PONV as well as frequency in vomiting.
GRS was administered with 7.5 grams one day before surgery to patients undergoing general anesthesia.

Fluid Therapy or IV fluid supplementation; there are many studies that support that early post-operative hydration and late post-operative hydration reduces PONV. All of them agree on using colloids or crystalloids, as there is no evidence of one being superior to the other one. (Ali, Taguchi, Holtmann & Kurz A, 2003; Cook, Anderson, Riseborough, & Blogg, 1990; Magner, McCaul, Carton, Gardiner, Buggy, 2004). The study from Chaudhary, Sethi, Motiani & Adatia, 2008 showed significant low values on incidence of PONV, the intervention was to use ringer lactate (crystalloid) 12 ml/kg -15 mins or 4.5% hydroxyethylstarch Hetastarch(colloid) 15 mins prior Anesthesia in female patients with general anesthesia undergoing open cholecystectomy.

Aromatherapy, while the study from Kiberd et. al., 2016 found Aromatherapy not relevant to treat PONV, the study from Karaman, Karaman, Tapar, Dogru & Suren, 2019 found that lavender and ginger oil reduce PONV and incidence of vomiting. These two oils were administrated to patients undergoing general anesthesia. Two drops of either ginger or lavender oil in a gauze of 5X5 cm were given to patient to inhale in intervals of 5 mins, 15 mins and 40 mins after they arrived to post recovery room.

Acupressure and TEA P6 are two of the most researched methods for PONV. Both methods involve the stimulation of the acupuncture point P6 Neiguan situated 3 fingers from the wrist in a transverse plan. Acupressure according to study from Hofmann, Murray, Beck & Homann, 2017, lowers the incidence of PONV during all postoperative phases. The study was conducted in ambulatory patient in high risk of PONV. Acupressure patches were administrated prior the induction to general anesthesia for 30 to 60 minutes. Another study conducted in women with a thyroidectomy surgery under general anesthesia showed that application of acupressure writs band for 30 minutes before the anesthesia relives PONV but not vomiting and retching. (Jung-Hee, Yeonghee, & Hee-Soon, 2016.) TEA treatment differs from acupressure by using electrical stimulation in P6 Neiguan acupuncture.
point rather than pressure. TEA showed positive results on reducing PONV on all postoperative phases. The application was on female patients with general anesthesia undergoing gynecological laparoscopy for 30 mins before anesthesia with a disperse frequency of 2/10 Hz and intensity of 6–9mA. (Yusheng, Qiuyan, Cansheng, Yihuan, Ying, Liangcheng & Yanqing, 2015)

Chewing gum; the simple act of chewing a gum showed non-inferior effects as pharmaceutical treatment with ondansetron for PONV. Female patients with general anesthesia undergoing gynecological laparoscopy or breast surgery were given a peppermint flavor chewing gum to chew for 15 minutes at the post anesthesia care unit (Darvall, Handscombe & Leslie, 2017.)

6 Ethics & Discussion

6.1 Ethics

Literature reviews, as they gather information, do not need consent of participants (Vergnes, J-N., Marchal-sixou, C., Nabet, C., Maret D., Hamel, O. 2010). However, the selected articles have been exhaustively appraised using the assessment score from Hawker & Payne of his article Appraising the Evidence: Reviewing Disparate Data Systematically (Hawker & Payne, 2002), only articles scoring above 30 points have been selected being the maximum 36. In order to ensure that the source of the information is reliable only evidence-based databases have been used and only empirical quantitative studies have been selected moreover special emphasis have been done during the appraisal in checking if they gather the data in an ethical manner and summarizing it in a table (Vergnes et.al., 2010)

Plagiarism have been avoided by presenting the results without changing or misinterpreting the findings, this thesis has been also sent to Urkund. In order to minimize bias, all articles have followed strictly the research protocol and each step has been clearly documented.
This thesis has also encountered some limitations we had to discard some articles because of language restrictions and also because some articles required financial support.

6.2 Discussion

It is positive to see that, nowadays that many efforts have been done to research in non-pharmaceutical methods to treat PONV and that there are already several possibilities to treat. However, it is very difficult to research on that topic as there are many aspects to consider such as; type of surgery, type of patient, type of anesthesia, length of the study.

One of the most researched topic is acupuncture with many studies that support different scenarios, it seems to be in my opinion one of the most reliable non-pharmacological treatments. Acupuncture it is also cheap, accessible to everybody, easy to administer and without the possible side effects of a pharmacological treatment.

Inside the nursing frame this research brings benefits to all of the four points; clinical practice, education, research, administration. Inside the clinical practice it opens new possibilities to treat patients safely, in frame of administration, non-pharmacological treatment are often cheaper and when succeed the discharge of the patient is earlier and has less complications, for education it opens new possibilities to teach, and at last for research it is still a lot of open fields to research, it would be good to have big scale research in that topic.

As a conclusion; there are several possibilities to treat nausea and vomiting without pharmacological interventions supported by many studies, acupuncture shows the best results, but we cannot forget about nurse-patient trust role.
Appendices

Appendix 1 details on subjective treatment

Details on how to use non-pharmacological interventions for PONV on subjective treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WHAT</th>
<th>HOW</th>
<th>WHEN</th>
<th>FINDINGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improve patient satisfaction / comfort</td>
<td>Aromatherapy gauze</td>
<td>Nurse decision</td>
<td>Aromatherapy had unmeasured effect on patient comfort. This effect may be reduction in anxiety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apply accupressure wrist band</td>
<td>Nurse decision</td>
<td>Merely wearing the band could cause a placebo antiemetic effect. Minimum risk and low cost. Patient satisfaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apply TiGAS</td>
<td>Nurse decision</td>
<td>Familiar to patient, easy to administer, and complete acceptance by the patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Build nurse-patient trust</td>
<td>Holding a patient’s wrist and telling him or her that applying pressure may soothe nausea</td>
<td>Nurse decision</td>
<td>May be a resulting effect because the patient trusts the nurse and believes what he or she says</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Move presence of the nurse</td>
<td>Nurse decision</td>
<td>May lead to a calming and therapeutic effect in and of itself</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Healing touch</td>
<td>Nurse decision</td>
<td>May be a resulting effect because the patient trusts the nurse and believes what he or she says</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implement nurse beliefs</td>
<td>Not assessing PONV. Not saying the word “nausea”</td>
<td>Anytime</td>
<td>Perhaps patients would not complain or by mentioning it would cause the phenomenon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix 2 details on objective treatment

Details on how to use non-pharmacological interventions for PONV on objective treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WHAT</th>
<th>HOW</th>
<th>WHEN</th>
<th>FINDING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GRS (So-raj-San) Herbal Compound</td>
<td>Administer 7.5g before the day of surgery</td>
<td>Female Patients with general Anesthesia undergoing gynecological laparoscopy</td>
<td>GRS inhibits PONV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluid therapy</td>
<td>Administer fluid supplementation - Ginger (instant) 12 ml/kg, 25 minutes prior Anesthesia</td>
<td>Female Patients with general Anesthesia undergoing open cholescystectomy</td>
<td>Crystalized and colloidal results in significantly decreased incidence of PONV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Administer fluid supplementation 4.55 hydroxyethyl starch (lattarbach) (bolus): 25 minutes prior Anesthesia</td>
<td>Female Patients with general Anesthesia undergoing open cholescystectomy</td>
<td>Crystalized and colloidal results in significantly decreased incidence of PONV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aromatherapy</td>
<td>Drop two drops of ginger, lavender in 2 x 5 cm</td>
<td>Patients with general Anesthesia</td>
<td>Ginger and lavender oil treatments reduced the PONV scores and vomiting incidences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>impregnated gauze pad. Give it to patient, ask for inhale at 5 min, 15 min and 40 min. Treatment is done at post operative recovery nurse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acupressure</td>
<td>Acupressure with branded in 5 Chinese medicine point 30 to 60 minutes before induction of general anesthetic</td>
<td>Patients in ambulatory surgical patients at high risk for PONV</td>
<td>Acupressure patches lowered PONV scores in all three phases (Phase 1 (PACU), Phase 1 (post discharge), and Phase II (24 hours post discharge))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apply wristband acupressure for 90 minutes before Anesthesia</td>
<td>Female Patients with general Anesthesia undergoing thyroidectomy</td>
<td>P6 acupressure has the short term effect of relieving nausea but not vomiting and retching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIA-PE</td>
<td>Application of TIA at 36 minutes can decrease spasm frequency of 2/30 heart rate intervals of 6-9 mA. 30 mins before surgery. Apply TIA in four points of acupuncture (ST11, ST42, ST50, ST62, ST64, ST6), and Scalps and SPAS</td>
<td>Female Patients with general Anesthesia undergoing gynecological laparoscopy</td>
<td>TIA reduces the incidence of general anesthesia induced side effects, such as dizziness, nausea, and vomiting, shortens the duration of PACU stay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chewing Gums</td>
<td>In Pacc, give patients a gum chewing, (Wrigley’s Extra Spearmint Flavour) Chew for 15 mins</td>
<td>Female Patients with general Anesthesia undergoing laparoscopic or breast surgery</td>
<td>Chewing gum was not inferior to ondansetron in the treatment of PONV in</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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