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PREFACE

As a Software Engineer, I always get curious about the latest trends in the IT field, may it be about the new programming language, paradigms, or tools. And nowadays, AI is a hot topic with so much hype around it. So I asked myself, "Beyond Boston housing data and MNIST exercises that I did, how can AI help me in my daily work?".

Over the years, my work tasks are mostly related to UI, from Visual Basic to Visual C++, QT, HTML5, now Swift, and I am about to start learning SwiftUI. UI automated testing already brings a lot of challenges, much more on the localization. If AI is already solving complex problems today, for sure it can improve my productivity, how about automating the localization testing?

The research topic blends my interest and work experience. This will not be possible without the support of my manager and colleagues at work.

To my wife, my son Gio Raphael and soon to be born daughter, thank you for allowing me to spend time writing this thesis. Those were precious times that I should have spent with you.

Dedicated to my mother and father, my first teachers. Nanay and Tatay, we have come a long way.

Espoo, 07.04.2020
Jose Cezar S. Ynion
Localization testing is seldom addressed in the scientific literature, especially on the mobile app domain. This thesis focused on the practical implementation of an automated localization testing system for an iOS mobile app.

I work as a Software Engineer in an international company that has mobile and desktop apps as main products. Each app is localized into multiple languages. Testing that each User Interface (UI) displays the right content per language is the most time-consuming part of the software development lifecycle. Due to the visual nature of the tests, this is done manually and repeatedly in different devices with various Operating Systems and screen resolutions.

Effectively testing the localized app is always a challenge for Quality Engineers because they are not language experts. The scope of the tests is somewhat limited to finding bugs like wrong layout, overlapping, untranslated texts, and wrongly represented characters.

The prototype system called NEAR is the outcome of this thesis. It was designed to automate most of the tasks in testing UI Localization. It integrates pre-trained cloud-based Artificial Intelligence models of Natural Language Processing (NLP) and Computer Vision from service providers like Google to add visual context to a test. As a result, the time required to run the regression test is less. The scope of the testing now includes finding bugs that need linguistic skills like mistranslation, text truncations, and locale violations.
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# List of Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AI</td>
<td>Artificial Intelligence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>Continuous Integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>Computer Vision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL</td>
<td>Deep Learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOM</td>
<td>Document Object Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I18n</td>
<td>Internationalization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L10n</td>
<td>Localization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBT</td>
<td>Model-Based Testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML</td>
<td>Machine Learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLP</td>
<td>Natural Language Processing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCR</td>
<td>Optical Character Recognition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS</td>
<td>Operating System like Android, iOS, Windows, Linux and OSX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDK</td>
<td>Software Development Kit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA</td>
<td>Test Automation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UI</td>
<td>User Interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UX</td>
<td>User Experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QA</td>
<td>Quality Assurance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QE</td>
<td>Quality Engineers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YOLO</td>
<td>You Only Look Once</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1 Introduction

1.1 Background

Every mobile app developer wants to make their app a global success. The first step to gain a wider audience is by making the app available in all App stores' supported countries or regions. However, top online store locations that have a significant market share aside from the US are non-native English speakers. With millions of apps to choose from, one common strategy that software companies use to stand out is to make their product display the content according to the local market.

This process of adapting an app’s User Interface (UI) to a different language and region so that it can be understood on a local market is called localization. It typically involves translating all the texts, replacing icons and images, and presenting the correct date/time format in the target language and culture. UI is an integral part of the User Experience (UX). UX is defined as “user’s perceptions and responses that result from the use and/or anticipated use of a system, product or service” (ISO9241-210, 2019). UX can affect the app's success. It determines whether the potential customer will use or discard the app (Applitools, 2019).

Localization process usually starts with exporting the resource like texts, then sending those to an external Localization service provider. Localized resources are then imported back, and the app is built and tested afterward. Exporting and importing the resources are typically automated. This process is part of the Continuous Integration (CI) of the app development cycle. However, there is still a lack of automation in testing.

Developers make the app ready for localization, then Quality Engineers (QE) validate it for correctness. However, QEs are not language experts. They can detect bugs such as untranslated texts, texts that are overlapping due to lack of space, and misaligned UI elements. However, bugs that are hard to detect, like mistranslation or wrong context, truncation, wrong date/ time format, requires linguistic expertise. (Carmi, 2019)

Quality Engineers repeat this time-consuming task for all supported languages. They are doing the same tests on different devices with various screen sizes, resolutions, and also with several OS versions. QEs resort to semi-manual testing due to the visual nature of
the tests, where most tools cannot adequately find bugs. This research is about finding ways to minimize the manual work, speed up the testing time, and effectively find bugs in UI Localization testing.

1.2 Motivation and Research Problem

In a nutshell, every element in the User Interface can be categorized into groups such as buttons, labels, and icons. Various platform-specific tools already exist to extract data from these elements. Finding ways to analyze this localized data for correctness without human interaction is a challenge. Artificial Intelligence (AI) is one field that might solve this challenge because data is where the AI shines.

Recent advancement of AI in the fields of Computer Vision, Natural Language Processing (NLP), is auspicious. One of its practical usages might be to augment the linguistic and visual skills required to do localization testing. Big companies like Google, Microsoft, and Amazon are investing heavily to forward these fields of sciences and already provide platforms for developers to integrate it with their products (Kukushkina, 2019).

This thesis have two primary goals. The first goal is to expand the scope of localization testing that Quality Engineers are manually doing in the case company. The second goal is to reduce the time allotted for testing.

It was not known during the writing of this thesis, whether AI could help to expand the scope of the test. Moreover, the question is if AI has subfields that can provide the visual contexts to the test and add linguistic skills needed.

It is proven that test automation can reduce the time allocated for testing, along with other benefits. However, localization testing is rarely addressed in the scientific literature, thus finding existing tools, especially for the mobile domain, is a challenge. Likewise, there is a risk that none of the manual testing tasks can be automated.

Those two goals formed the following research question:

Can AI be used to improve UI Localization testing?
The outcome of this research is a proof-of-concept automated system to test UI Localization of a mobile app. The scope of this research is to investigate the existing UI automation tools, evaluate and integrate the pre-trained AI models from service providers like Google and Microsoft, and test the system with at least 2 example iOS apps that are localized in 1 language aside from English.

This research aims to find ways to improve the process of UI Localization testing by a) cutting the amount of time it requires from QE to test, b) the possibility to achieve 60% automation, and c) expand the testing scope.

1.3 Research Process, Method and Material

This research follows the Design Science approach to create an innovative solution. This subchapter describes the research process from data gathering up to the evaluation of the proposed solution.

1.3.1 Research Process

This research was conducted in stages (Figure 1). The first stage was finding the current state by gathering metrics like time spent, average bugs found, and types of issues. Likewise, a preliminary study was done to familiarize with the tools used in UI testing. Requirements were narrowed down, and at the same time, the areas for improvement in the existing process were identified. Information and data came from the interviews with Quality Engineers and internal documentation from the case company.

The second stage was getting acquainted with the theoretical background. Previously published research about localization testing, automation, and UI testing were examined, and compared with each other. Next was evaluating the suitable pre-trained models of NLP and Computer Vision from AI platform service providers like Google, Microsoft, IBM, and Amazon.

The third stage was building a solution. Trial and error were conducted with the chosen tools and technologies, then selecting the best ones that were easy to integrate, covered the test cases that QEs require, and faster to execute.

In the last stage, the prototype system was demoed, and results were evaluated.
Figure 1. Research plan adapted from Henver et al. (Hevner, et al., 2001)
1.3.2 Method and Material

A qualitative method was used to gather the information that defines the scope of the research question. Requirements for the solution came from observations from the current test process and system, interviews with the Quality Engineers, and project lead. Internal documents and bug tickets were examined to know the types of bugs found and missed during testing, and likewise know the corresponding severity for prioritization.

The solution was evaluated using the quantitative method. The test runs determined the test time reduction, the number of bugs found, bugs missed, and the number of false-positives.

1.4 Organization of the Thesis

This thesis is divided into five chapters. Chapter 1 introduces the goals, motivation and expected outcome of this research. Chapter 2 presents the theoretical background of the existing work done in a field of UI Localization testing, AI fields of Computer Vision, NLP, and major service providers for these technologies. Chapter 3 discusses the requirements and steps in building the proof-of-concept UI Localization testing system. Chapter 4 then discusses the validation of the results. Finally, the last chapter is the summary and the conclusion of the thesis.
2 Theoretical Background

This chapter presents the core concept of the localization process. It explores the various industry-standard practices and strategies in testing localized software, including previous research that focuses on automating it. Moreover, to come up with an answer to the research question, information is analyzed and compared from publications, journals, websites, and books about Automated UI Testing and AI usage in UI Automated Testing. Specific subfields of AI, such as Computer Vision and NLP, are also discussed. The knowledge presented here lays the ground for understanding, scoping, and designing the Automated UI Localization Testing System that this thesis aims to implement.

2.1 App Internationalization and Localization

There are two main steps to design an app for a global audience. The first step is to internationalize the app, and the second is to localize it. Internationalization and Localization are sometimes written as i18n and l10n, respectively, where 18 and 10 are the number of letters between the first and last character of each word (w3c, 2005). Internationalization and localization are sometimes referred to as globalization (Hardy, et al., 2012).

![Figure 2. Localization process (Apple, 2015)](image)
2.1.1 Internationalization Process

Internationalization is the process of preparing the app to adapt to different languages, regions, and cultures (Apple, 2015). It means that it should be able to display text, numbers, and currency in appropriate locales. A locale is a combination of language and region (Android, 2019). It represents cultural conventions (Flanagan, 2002).

Internationalization is a pre-requisite for localization. The following are the typical activities involved in internationalization.

**Auto Layout.** Adjusting or resizing view layouts to accommodate longer strings. UI components that display text must not have a fix width or height. Some languages have a longer localized text, and this may be truncated if the control’s width or height is not flexible.

**Externalize Resources.** Putting the user-facing content into resource files. Separating the localizable element from the code, such as text, images, and videos.

```plaintext
/*
Localizable.strings (Finnish)
*/
hello_world" = "Hyvää huomenta!";

/*
Localizable.strings (English)
*/
hello_world" = "Good morning!";

let greetingsId = "hello_world"
let goodMorning = NSLocalizedString(greetingsId, comment:"

print(goodMorning)
```

*Listing 1. Load and print the string according to the system’s language. This will print ‘Good morning!’ if the system’s language is English. Otherwise, it will print ‘Hyvää huomenta!’ if it is in Finnish.*

**System-Provided Formatting Methods.** Changing the code to adhere to locale formats when displaying data such as date, time, numbers, personal names, and forms of address. Confusion will arise if this is not done. Awwad and Slany’s mentioned in their
research that “typical problems are ‘././....’ date formats between the US and European
date formats, where it is unclear whether 10/2/2016 is the 2nd of October (US) or the
10th of February (most European countries) 2016” (Awwad & Slany, 2016).

```javascript
let epoch: TimeInterval = 1582890404
let date = Date(timeIntervalSince1970: epoch)

let formatter = DateFormatter()
formatter.dateFormat = .short
formatter.timeStyle = .short
formatter.timeZone = TimeZone.ReferenceType.default

print(formatter.string(from: date))
```

Listing 2. Format a date value according to the system’s region. This will print ‘2/28/20, 1:46’ PM if the
region is the US, and ‘28.2.2020 13.46’, if the system’s region is Finland.

User Interface Mirroring. For right-to-left languages, mirror the user interface and change
the text direction as right-aligned. The reading order for the speakers of bi-directional
languages is from right to left.

![Figure 3. Example of right to left UI (Awwad & Slany, 2016)](image)

However, according to Apple Developer Guide, some elements must not flip, these are:
• “Video controls and timeline indicators
• Images, unless they communicate a sense of direction, such as arrows
• Clocks
• Music notes and sheet music
• Graphs (x– and y–axes always appear in the same orientation)” (Apple, 2015)

2.1.2 Localization Process

Localization is a process of translating an app into different languages. Resources such as text, audio, and images are exported and then submitted to translators. When translations are ready, they are then imported back to the app. Exporting and importing varies depending on the platform. It can be as simple as copying the files or using platform-specific developer tools like XCode, as illustrated in the figure below.

![Image of localization process]

*Figure 4. Exporting and Importing of string resources for iOS or OS X app. (Apple, 2015)*

Translation step is commonly outsourced to a third-party localization service provider, or inhouse if there are language experts. Google even integrated an App Translation
Service in its Google Play Console. According to the manager that was interviewed during this research, the translation process includes a validation round inside the vendor that provides translation. If screenshots are available, review rounds are used to validate further the string in projects. He also pointed out the common issues during translation step such as:

- Difficulties in translation due to poor internationalization of the product or the resource file.
- Unclear or poor English combined with sentences that were split.
- Unclear variables and configuration information in the resource file.
- Inflexible UI layout design causes the majority of issues.
- Typos and mistranslations due to lack of context.
- Highly specialized and new terminology.

2.2 Localization Testing

A wrongly worded or grammatically wrong text can ruin the User Experience of an app despite its sophisticated features. The quality of the app depends on the localization level, and it cannot be stressed enough the importance of localization testing in quality assurance of a localized app (Zhao, et al., 2010).

2.2.1 Testing Strategies

Test strategies can vary at each stage of the globalization process. Nevertheless, the pre-requisite is the test environment. It must be properly set up to uncover issues specific to culture, language, date and time format, and bi-directional language. Test environment can be either an Emulator or a physical device. Emulators simulate a mobile device on a laptop or PC (Haller, 2013). A test device's locale must be set to the target language and region to test a localized app. Android Developer Guide (Android, 2019) also suggests creating a custom locale that is not supported by the system to test how the app runs. It must display the default resource.

Pseudo-localization is a common technique to test the app during the internationalization stage of app development. “The pseudo-localization process replaces the characters in a given source string (such as in an English language string) with characters from a target set (such as Unicode) and changes the size of the string by adding extra
characters to it” (Gundepuneni, et al., 2012). This method reveals whether elements in the UI can resize properly with string length variations, and adapt to different language fonts. If the UI displays un-pseudolocalized text, then it means that there are untranslatable messages in your source code (Android Developer Guide, 2019). It is a technique to test the readiness of the app while waiting for the localization.

The following are common issues with a localized app:

- Non-localized strings. Hardcoded strings are not sent to translation.
- Long texts that can break the UI layout. Label or text elements might overlap.
- Wrong person’s title or postal address format.
- Wrong currency, number, date or time format.
- Right-to-left layout if elements are not mirrored.

Android Developer Guide summarizes the best practices to test the app.

- “Where possible, always use native-language speakers to test your localization.
- On each test device, set the language or locale in Settings. Install and launch the app and then navigate through all of the UI flows, dialogs, and user interactions. Enter text in inputs.
- Look out for clipped text or text that overlaps the edge of UI elements or the screen.
- Verify that text is line wrapped appropriately.
- Check for incorrect word breaks or punctuation.
- Validate alphabetical sorting to ensure the order is as expected.
- Make sure all layouts and text directions are correct.
- Watch for untranslated text; check that the resources directory is marked with the correct language qualifier.
- Test for default resources.” (Android Developer Guide, 2019)

2.2.2 Previous Work on Automated Localization Testing

Searching for keywords such as “localization”, “localisation”, “globalization” together with “automated testing” from Metropolia’s digital libraries and resources yields a minimal result. Ramler and Hoschek also pointed out that there is very little scientific literature focusing on localization (Ramler & Hoschek, 2017). However, localization testing is the
candidate for automation because it involves many repetitive tasks. As an example, Archana et al. (Archana, et al., 2013) enumerated the following issues that the automation system can detect from a web-based app:

- **Inconsistent font usage.** Small font can result in unreadable text or text that can appear garbled.

- **Character corruption.** Presence of mojibake (garbage characters), tofu (hollow boxes) due to wrong encoding or missing glyph for that character from the chosen font, respectively.

![Figure 5. Character corruption (Archana, et al., 2013)](image)

- **Hardcoded texts.** Texts that are not translated according to the locale.

![Figure 6. Hardcoded strings (Archana, et al., 2013)](image)

- **Over translations.** Strings that should not be translated are not presented according to the value from the app resource. These are default strings like product name and versions.
Automated localization testing can find not only cosmetic issues but also critical bugs. A simple truncation issue can lead to a misleading situation. As an example “110V” voltage value is shown as “10 V” in a right aligned text where there is not enough space to accommodate the number value. (Ramler & Hoschek, 2017)

GWALI (Global Web Applications’ Layout Inspector) has likewise proven that a presentation failure of web apps can be detected by automation. GWALI is a prototype for detecting distortion in a web page’s appearance caused by internationalization. It can narrow down the HTML elements or text that is causing the problem. This tool identified 91% of defects based on their test results and has a running time of 9.75 seconds per web page. Their approach was to build Layout Graphs and comparing these graphs to identify the distorted appearance of a webpage after localization. (Alameer, et al., 2016)

![Figure 7. Part of a webpage and its localized version (Alameer, et al., 2016)](image7)

![Figure 8. Text overlapping with a button after translation (Alameer, et al., 2016)](image8)

There are not that many research papers related to automated localization testing for mobile apps, especially for iOS.

2.3 Automated UI Testing

The artifact of this research is a prototype of an automated localization testing system. The test cases for this system are variants of UI tests because localized resources, such as strings and images, are presented in the UI through elements like buttons, text labels,
and icons. Therefore, verifying that localized data are displayed correctly is considered as a UI testing task.

It is essential to confirm that the UI meets functional requirements and consistent in style. However, manually testing it is time-consuming, tedious, and error-prone. Automating user action is an efficient way to test the app (Android, 2019).

An automated UI test case is a coded test that generates user actions or events such as typing in a text field, swiping views, and tapping buttons. It then validates the changes in the user interface or functionality of the app according to the expected outcome of the action. Automated tests are fast and repeatable. Aside from testing the app flow, automated UI tests can check visual consistencies of UI elements properties; this includes but not limited to: colors, icons, fonts types, and font sizes.

According to Microsoft, “Automated tests that drive your application through its user interface (UI) are known as coded UI tests (CUITs)” (Microsoft, 2016). The app is first tested manually, and then this scenario will be automated. The figure below illustrates the different use cases of coded tests depending on the functionality being tested.

![Figure 9. Typical flow and approaches of test development. (Microsoft, 2016)](image)

**2.3.1 Test Case Generation**

Quality Engineers or developers create a set of test cases to exercise the functionality of the app. Test Automation Framework will automate the execution of these tests. One of the challenges is to achieve high coverage due to a large number of execution paths,
which means engineers need to create a lot of test cases. Most UI test tools provide a “record” feature that allows humans to manually explore the app and later generates a code to “replay” their actions.

A test automation framework is a set of concept and tools to create tests and perform automated software testing (Archana, et al., 2013). UI Test Automation Frameworks address two requirements for automated testing (Microsoft, 2019):

1. **Locate a specific view.** This is performed through queries. A test case must be able to query for a view or element from the screen. The framework should be able to return this view object so that actions can be done to it.
2. **Interact with a view.** APIs to perform actions on a view such as tapping, entering text, or swiping.

Google and Apple provide UI test frameworks tailored for their respective platform, XUITest for iOS and Espresso for Android. Test cases created for these frameworks must be written in a programming language specific to their particular platform. Example codes are listed below.

```swift
func testRefresh() {
    let app = XCUIApplication()
    app.launch()

    XCTContext.runActivity(named: "Select Refresh") { _ in
        app.navigationBar.buttons["refresh"].tap()
    }

    XCTContext.runActivity(named: "Check the # of items") { _ in
        let cells = app.tables.cells
        XCTAssertEqual(cells.count, 4)
    }
}
```

*Listing 3. Test case for iOS app written in Swift.*
public void testEspresso() {
    // Check if view with the text 'Hello.' is shown
    onView(withText("Hello.")).check(matches(isDisplayed()));
    // R class ID identifier for 'Sign in' - and click it
    onView(withId(getInstrumentation().getTargetContext().getResources()
            .getIdentifier("com.twitter.android:id/sign_in", null, null))).perform(click());

Listing 4. Espresso sample test code snippet. (Bezmolna, Victoria, 2019)

These frameworks are stable and no cost for setup as it is usually bundled together with
the app development tools. Cross-platform frameworks also exist like Appium that can
run a test script for either Android or iOS. Its advantage is that it supports many
programming languages to write a test case and run parallel Android UI tests (Bezmolna,
Victoria, 2019). However, it is complex to setup initially, test runs are slow and can have
compatibility issues with every update of platform tools like XCode (Mischinger, Sarah,
2019).

def test_should_send_keys_to_inputs(self, driver):
    text_field_el = driver.find_element_by_id('TextField1')
    assert text_field_el.get_attribute('value') is None
    text_field_el.send_keys('Hello World!')
    assert 'Hello World!' == text_field_el.get_attribute('value')

Listing 5. Appium sample test case written in python. (Appium, 2019)

Another approach to automated testing is Model-based Testing (MBT). This approach is
about automating test case generation. It requires a model as input in order to generate
test cases. Morgado and Paiva highlights two main issues of MBT, those are: “1) the
necessity of an input model from which test cases are generated and whose manual
construction is a time consuming and error prone process and 2) the combinatorial
explosion of generated test cases” (Morgado & Paiva, 2015). Their paper presents a
solution through reverse engineering implementation. It identifies the UI Patterns and
based on that, applies a similar test strategy from their catalog of patterns, and continue
exploring the app. Another issue pointed by Arnatovich et al., is that the existing model-
based testing tools for Android generates trivial or non-sensible input, or sometimes it
requires the user to provide such data during app testing (Arnatovich, et al., 2016).
2.3.2 Test Case Execution

In the Continuous Integration (CI) process, developers commit their code changes to a central source repository several times per day. This event triggers an action to run the automated tests. CI runs the automated test to verify that new code changes do not break existing features or introduce new bugs, so the software remains deployment ready at all times (Atlassian, 2020).

UI Tests can be executed either in real devices or in virtual devices such as simulators and emulators. An emulator is a virtual representation of the entire device, including the low-level system calls. On the other hand, a simulator runs a version of mobile OS implementation in the host machine's kernel. These virtual devices are software programs, and tests that are performed on it will not uncover device-specific bugs. Customers' use cases can only be performed on a real device such as network change events, phone calls, push notifications, audio input/ output, and among others. However, procuring real device is expensive, and needs to be updated as new devices come to the market very often. (SauceLabs, 2018)
These environments may either reside on-premise or on the cloud. Cloud-based test labs enable customers to use a set of devices based on the subscription plan. The advantage of using service from cloud is that there is no need to maintain and purchase the latest devices. (Garg, 2016)

Cloud-based test infrastructure allows running of tests in parallel against a massive collection of physical devices. Facebook (Facebook, 2016) mentioned that they require 2000 mobile devices to cover all combinations of device hardware, operating systems and network connections. Cloud Testing Service providers also provide better analytics and reporting features, they also solve complicated signing issues with Apple's app security model, and the infrastructure is scalable (SauceLabs, 2018). AWS Device Farms (Amazon, 2020) even allow debugging to reproduce issues and can interact with a device via a web browser.
2.4 AI in Automated Testing

To answer the research question of whether AI can be used to improve UI localization testing, it is fundamental to understand what AI is and how it is providing useful solutions to software test automation domain.

Testing approaches evolved over the years, from testers acting as product users, interacting with the application to coding test scripts for automation. In the future, test automation techniques would involve predictive analysis, self-remediation, cognitive automation, and machine learning according to 38% - 42% of the organizations surveyed in World Quality Report 2017 (Sogeti, Capgemini, Micro Focus, 2017).

World Quality Report 2019-2020 (Capgemini, Sogeti, 2019) recommends building a smart, connected test platform with intelligent analytics. According to the same report, Artificial Intelligence (AI) can make testing smarter. However, the test team needs AI-related skillset, like data science, statistics, and mathematics. Integrating AI in Software testing is a natural progression (Testim, 2018).
2.4.1 AI Basic Concepts

Researchers have no exact definition of AI. However, a system with AI has two key attributes. First is autonomy, which means it must be able to perform tasks in complex environments without constant guidance from the user. Second is adaptability or the ability to improve by learning from experience. (Reaktor, University of Helsinki, 2018)

Although AI covers various theories and technologies, the two main classifications are Machine learning and Deep learning (Taulli, 2019). Machine learning (ML) is a subfield of AI and defined as "Systems that improve their performance in a given task with more and more experience or data" (Reaktor, University of Helsinki, 2018). ML deals with constructing a system where the focus is on learning from available data or reactions of the environment. One of the subfields of ML is Deep learning (DL). It "refers to certain kinds of machine learning techniques where several "layers" of simple processing units are connected in a network so that the input to the system is passed through each one of them in turn" (Reaktor, University of Helsinki, 2018).

![Diagram of Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, and Deep Learning](image)

*Figure 14. “High-level look at the main components of the AI world”. (Taulli, 2019)*

The book *Artificial Intelligence Basic: A Non-Technical Introduction* (Taulli, 2019) illustrates better the distinction between deep learning and machine learning through its example of finding a picture of a horse from thousands of animal pictures. In machine learning, the model must be trained by using labeled photos of animals as its training data. It can also employ feature extraction, a process of analyzing the pixel patterns of the images itself to develop the characteristics of a horse. On the other hand, the Deep
Machine learning approach analyzes all the data to find the relationships between pixels. It will use a neural network, just like the human brain. (Taulli, 2019)

Machine learning is already applied in many applications. Some examples (illustrated below) are **Predictive maintenance** - to forecast when equipment will fail. **Customer experience** - to leverage the data to gain customer insights on what really works. **Finance** - to detect discrepancies in billing. (Taulli, 2019)

![Figure 15. Applications for machine learning. (Taulli, 2019)](image)

The AI infrastructure requires a lot of computing power to train the neural network. It also requires a lot of data for algorithm to perform better. These two are the major stumbling blocks for smaller companies to build, implement, or adopt AI in their business. Tech giants companies like Amazon, Google, IBM, and Microsoft are addressing these challenges by providing cloud-based AI services. IBM referred to this as a “cognitive-as-a-service”, and according to their study, this setup is the preferred way by most early adopters in developing and delivering AI-infused solutions (IBM, 2016).
Figure 16. Preferred way to access and use AI capabilities (IBM, 2016)

Aside from the lower cost compared to building non-cloud infrastructure, cloud-based AI service also has other benefits. Risk reduction is one of the benefits, which means if the product is not successful, a company can terminate the service without worrying about the expensive hardware equipment or the data scientists that they do not need anymore. Similarly, if the product is a success, a company can expand or scale their infrastructure on demand. Another advantage is access to bleeding-edge technologies. Major cloud vendors have a large scale investment in research and development. Technologies can become obsolete fast, and these major vendors can roll out new capabilities regularly. (V2Soft, 2018)

As mentioned in the introduction section, this thesis aims to use multiple cloud-based AI service. Listed below are services that are considered relevant to this research.

- **Google Cloud Vision.** It offers pre-trained machine learning models through REST APIs. It can classify and assign labels to images. Likewise, it detects objects and faces and reads printed and handwritten text from images.

- **Google Natural Language Processing.** It “uses machine learning to reveal the structure and meaning of the text” (Google, ei pvm). The pre-trained models can extract information, understand sentiments, and parse intent from customer conversations.

- **Google Translation.** “Dynamically translate between languages using Google’s pre-trained or custom machine learning models” (Google, ei pvm).

- **Amazon Rekognition.** It uses deep learning technology. It “can identify objects, people, text, scenes, and activities in images and videos, as well as detect any
inappropriate content” (Amazon, 2020). It also provides facial analysis and facial search capabilities.

- **Amazon Textract.** It is a document text detection and analysis service using deep-learning technology. Its API can “detect text in a variety of documents, including financial reports, medical records, and tax forms” (Amazon, 2020). It can also extract forms and tables for documents with structured data.

- **IBM Watson Natural Language Processing.** It uses deep learning-based NLP models like named entity recognition, sentiment analysis, keyword extraction, part-of-speech tagging, topic modeling to analyze text to extract metadata from the content.

- **Microsoft Azure Cognitive Services.** A comprehensive portfolio of domain-specific AI capabilities with a set of APIs for vision, language, speech and search capabilities.

2.4.2 Computer Vision in Automated Testing

“Computer vision allows machines to identify people, places, and things in images with accuracy at or above human levels with much greater speed and efficiency. Often built with deep learning models, it automates extraction, analysis, classification and understanding of useful information from a single image or a sequence of images. The image data can take many forms, such as single images, video sequences, views from multiple cameras, or three-dimensional data” (Amazon, 2020). Computer vision (CV) typically imitate the visual perception of humans, intending to interpret natural scenes of images (Peters, 2017).

The computer vision process typically starts with acquiring a large set of images from real-time video or photos. It then uses deep learning to process the image using the models that were trained by feeding pre-identified images. The last step is to interpret and show results by identifying or classifying the objects. (Sas, 2019)

Sas enumerated some of the use cases of Computer Vision:

- “Image segmentation partitions an image into multiple regions or pieces to be examined separately.

- Object detection identifies a specific object in an image. Advanced object detection recognizes many objects in a single image: a football field, an offensive
player, a defensive player, a ball and so on. These models use an X,Y coordinate to create a bounding box and identify everything inside the box.

- Pattern detection is a process of recognizing repeated shapes, colors and other visual indicators in images.” (Sas, 2019)

Object detection is one of the use cases that is relevant to this research. It is a technology also related to image processing to locate and identify objects such as humans, vehicles, and animals, from either images or videos (Jiao, et al., 2019). This technology can classify just one or diverse objects from an image. YOLO (you only look once) is one of the fastest object detectors. YOLO divides the image into a cell, predicts if the object is enclosed in a cell, then classifies the object if there is any, and this is done in one go (Redmon, et al., 2016).

Figure 17. Image Classification and Segmentation (Venables, 2019)

Figure 18. YOLO Detection System. (Redmon, et al., 2016)
The practical usage of object detection in the scope of this thesis is to locate elements that display potentially localizable data. Traditional test frameworks can enumerate these elements, but they needed to access the app's elements tree. UI test frameworks such as Appium and XCUI Tests use element ID or XPath to locate an item in the UI. These identifiers are hardcoded in the test. The test must first find the element to perform actions such as tapping a button or sending keys to a text field. However, UI constantly changes to adhere to new UX guidelines, or new features are being added. As an example, XPaths can change by reordering view hierarchy, which means test scripts must be updated. This is just one of the reasons that make UI test automation hard to maintain and fragile, especially during active app development.

Integrating computer vision technology to the test framework can help solve this issue. CV detects objects such as UI controls and elements on the image, thus eliminates the requirement of the test framework to know the UI view hierarchy to locate a control. TechBeacon employed the same technique when they faced difficulty in implementing tests in one of their clients. Since they cannot access the element tree of the app, they use CV to detect controls on pages. They took the screenshots manually, labeled the image, and generated a metadata XML file containing the element category and their respective coordinates. These files were used to train their network for 4 hours. (TechBeacon, 2018)
User Interfaces can look different depending on the device’s orientation, screen resolutions, and operating system version. The traditional UI test automation framework alone cannot validate the visual aesthetics of the app. It requires humans to inspect visually. *Applitools Eyes* is addressing this issue by using Cognitive Vision Technology. It first establishes the baseline appearance of the app per environment, and then on the next run, it will compare the differences between the screenshot and the baseline image. It uses AI-powered computer vision algorithms to detect and report only the differences that are obvious to the users. (Applitools, 2019)

Inconsistencies between the UI design and implementation is another defect that the test automation framework cannot detect. However, the research conducted by Chen et al. proved that the computer vision method could be used to identify inconsistencies in the layout, such as positions, sizes. It can also verify the presentation characteristics such as colors and fonts. Their solution - UI X-Ray achieved a “99.03% true-positive rate, which significantly surpassed the 20.92% true-positive rate obtained via manual analysis” (Chen, et al., 2017).

### 2.4.3 Natural Language Processing in Automated Testing

“Natural language processing (NLP) is one area of artificial intelligence using computational linguistics that provides parsing and semantic interpretation of text, which allows systems to learn, analyze, and understand human language” (IBM, ei pvm).

NLP practical applications are already used in our daily lives. Alexa, Siri, Google Translate, the Spam filtering in our mailboxes, or just by typing into the web browser’s search bar and many others. The table below lists a few applications of NLP.
An NLP processing system is often referred to as a pipeline because it usually involves several stages of processing where natural language flows in one end, and the processed output flows out the other (Hapke, et al., 2019). The two main processing steps are: “preprocessing the text and using AI to understand and generate language” (Taulli, 2019).

Cleaning and preprocessing involve tokenization, stemming, and lemmatization. During tokenization, texts are parsed and segmented in various parts. Texts are also normalized to simplify the analysis, like converting to upper or lower cases and removing punctuations. Stemming, on the other hand, is a process of removing prefixes and suffixes to extract the root word. Lemmatization then finds the similar meaning of the root word, “better” is lemmatize to “good” as an example. (Taulli, 2019)
John ate four cupcakes.

Figure 20. Example of tokenization (Taulli, 2019)

Consulting
Consultant
Consultants
Consult

Figure 21. Example of stemming (Taulli, 2019)

am
are
is
be

Figure 22. Example of lemmatization (Taulli, 2019)
To understand or extract information or knowledge from natural language text, researchers commonly use the following approaches:

- **Named entities and relations recognition.** A typical sentence may contain several named entities such as location, organizations, people, dates, times, and events. This is a process of identifying words that represent the mentioned entities. (Hapke, et al., 2019)

- **Part-of-speech (POS) tagging.** A process of recognizing what parts of speech do the word belong, such as verbs, adverbs, nouns, etc.

- **Topic modelling.** A process of finding hidden patterns and cluster. (Tauli, 2019)

- **Chunking.** Processing text in phrases. (Tauli, 2019)

Entity extraction is one of the useful features of NLP for UI localization testing. The ability to recognize names, dates, times, and locations have many use cases for localization. These are localizable data, thus if the test framework can extract those, then definitely it can validate that the extracted data adhere to the expected locale format.

Translation service providers have many uses of NLP. As an example, Jonker enumerated some of the applications of NLP for localizer such as (a) extracting all names before translation to make sure they are handled correctly afterward, (b) extracting key terms for glossaries, and (c) highlighting locations' geopolitical names for localization (Jonkers, 2018).

NLP is also now finding its way in Scriptless Test Automation. Scriptless or less coding approach in testing abstracts the underlying test code intended for manual testers that lack programming skills or stakeholders that have no technical expertise. Tools like Testsigma use NLP for test case creation and have AI at its core to allow writing of test in plain natural language, which can easily be understood (Testsigma, 2020). The example test is shown below (Lavanya, 2019).

"Go to https://testsigma.com",  
“Enter Name in the Username field”,  
“Verify that the page displays text Testsigma” (Lavanya, 2019)
3 Solution Building

The knowledge presented in the theoretical background section is the building block for the proposed solution presented in this chapter. First, the requirements are enumerated based on the current testing practices of Quality Engineers in the company that this research was conducted. Then, based on the requirements, design decisions, and implementation details of a prototype system called NEAR (Navigate, Extract, Analyze and Report) are presented.

3.1 Localization Testing Requirements

Before answering the research question about the usage of AI in automated localization testing, the author, together with the Quality Engineers, evaluated if there are any steps in localization testing that are worth automating. A set of questionnaires were sent to two QE leads, two members of localization teams, one manager, and three senior quality engineers. The questions are listed in the table below:

Table 2. Questionnaires

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What kind of issues do you look for when testing localization?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How often do you test localization? How much time do you allocate per test run?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should this be automated or manual testing is enough?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you have a TA system to test localization? If yes, what framework/tools do you use?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If there is a prototype system to do this, are you willing to pilot to do a few test runs?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following conclusions were made based on the gathered answers.

- Localization testing should be semi-automated.
- Manual verification by a person that knows the context should still be done to check the findings reported by the automation process.
- On average, one day is allocated every release for localization testing.
- Technologies that they are familiar with:
  - Python language
  - Selenium
  - Appium
  - Alchemy Catalyst
  - UIAutomator

Based on the discussion afterward, the following are the steps that the automated system should accomplish.

- Generate screenshots. Store these as artifacts, also draw a bounding rectangle for offending lines or words that are found in the image.
- Detect non-localized string. These can be hardcoded or placeholder texts.
- Detect overlapping strings.
- Detect truncated strings.
- Find wrong spellings.
- Find corrupted characters.
- Find dates, currencies and numbers that are not formatted according to the locale.

### 3.2 NEAR System Implementation

The prototype system is called NEAR which stands for Navigate, Extract, Analyze and Report.

After the requirements were narrowed down, a draft of the system's design was drawn. The diagram provides an overview that even a non-technical person can understand, and it also serves as a starting point when discussing ideas with peers. The figure below illustrates how the components interact.
The process starts and ends with Quality assurance, a group of people composed of Quality Engineers, and at least one language expert. They are responsible for creating test scripts and validating the results. These test scripts navigate the app's UI and take screenshots. Likewise, it passes these images for further data extraction to cognitive service, a cloud-based AI platform for running computer vision and NLP algorithms. The predefined rules are then applied to the accumulated data to determine the results. The component diagram is show in the figure below.
3.2.1 Development and Testing Environment

Quality Engineers will be the ones to adopt and develop this prototype further. Therefore it is imperative to align the tools with their existing skills.

**Test creation.** The chosen language was Python, and the automation framework was Appium. The test scripts were written using Visual Studio Code as editor. The set-up is similar to the figure shown below.

![Appium architecture](image)

*Figure 25. Appium architecture (Verma, 2017)*

**Test execution.** Since the system is in prototype level, it was not integrated with Jenkins CI server. Tests were run on a local machine where the mobile devices are connected via USB. To support multi-platform testing, Macbook was used with XCode 11, Android Studio 3.5, and Appium 1.17.0-beta.1 installed.

**Test app.** An iOS app was created as a proof-of-concept. It serves as an example for App Under Test (AUT). It has UI elements that display the typical localizable data such as date, currency, and number values. Although the original intention was to localize the app in Finnish, it is merely not possible because most cloud-based AI services like Google Vision and Amazon Textract do not support this language yet. For research
purposes, the Spanish language was chosen instead. This app was adopted from the article about localization that Malliswamy wrote (Malliswamy, 2018).

The baseline UI is shown in the figure below. During development, the app was modified to introduce various kinds of localization issues in order to verify the test logic.

![Figure 26. Test app in US and Spain locale](image)

3.2.2 Automation Strategies

The automation approach was adapted from Ramler and Hoschek system. The steps are (a) navigating the UI, (b) extracting the UI information, (c) analyzing the extracted
data, and (d) generating a test report (Ramler & Hoschek, 2017). The input and output of each step are shown in the process diagram below.

3.2.2.1 Navigating the UI

It was decided early on to create a test tailored to the app under test instead of adopting a model-based testing approach of generating the test cases automatically. The test navigates to the UIs, but it does not verify the functionality. The element's accessibility identifier is used to locate for a specific control. Using accessibility identifier or XPath makes the test script language-independent, which means it can be reused for each localized variant of the app. The code snippet below was executed while testing both in English and Spanish.
def test_main_ui(self, driver, device_logger):
    screenshot_dir = device_logger.screenshot_dir

    wait = WebDriverWait(driver, 20)
    # wait for a label with 'country' as accessibility
    wait.until(EC.visibility_of_element_located((By.ID, "country")))

    driver.save_screenshot(os.path.join(screenshot_dir, 'initial_screen.png'))

    # scroll down
    driver.execute_script('mobile: scroll', {'direction': 'down'})

    driver.save_screenshot(os.path.join(screenshot_dir, 'after_scrolling_down.png'))

    # enumerate all text elements
    captured_texts = [elem.text for elem in
                      driver.find_elements_by_class_name("XCUITextViewStaticText")]

Listing 6. Code snippet to wait for element with 'country' as id before scrolling and taking screenshot

The test script takes screenshots before executing navigation actions such as tapping the back and next button and scrolling. These screenshots are images in PNG format and are saved in a local folder. Likewise, the test script enumerates all the elements and string values that will be used for comparison later on. Example screenshot is shown below.

![Figure 27. Captured screenshot](image-url)
3.2.2.2 Extracting the UI information

Appium can already extract an element's text value. However, it is not useful when validating if the text is truncated or not. It gives the entire value and not the visually visible part of the text only. This is illustrated in the figure below.

![Figure 28. Visually captured text and Appium’s extracted text](image)

To capture the visually visible texts only, Vision AI was used to extract texts from images. Two cloud-based services were tested, **Google Vision** and **Amazon Textract**. Amazon Textract has a feature to extract key-value pairs, which should be very useful to retain the original context. However, it only supports the English language, as illustrated in the example screenshot below, “Sánchez” was captured as “Sanchez”.

![Figure 29. Amazon Textract key-value pair extraction](image)
On the other hand, Google Vision's Optical Character Recognition (OCR) feature supports multiple languages. However, the blocking of text is somewhat inconsistent, as shown in the figure below. This impediment is slightly irrelevant for localization testing.

The Google computer vision API returns paragraphs texts and vertices for the bounding rectangle. The extracted texts are then analyzed by natural language processing service to identify the entities.

Three natural language processing API was tested, IBM’s Watson Natural Language Understanding, spaCy, and Google Natural Language API. All are using deep learning-powered models. spaCy is handy as it is not a cloud-based service, but rather provides pre-trained models that are available for download and install. It was able to identify most entities from the provided sample text, but it also misinterprets entities in a string like “Superficie 505.990 kilometro cuadrado”, it tag kilometro as a PERSON. Google’s NLP was chosen instead.

Afterward, those texts are translated into English by the cloud translation service. These values are then accumulated and stored in a dictionary data structure. The flow chart is shown below.
Figure 31. Flow chart for data extraction using cloud-based AI services
3.2.2.3 Analyzing the Extracted Data

The table below is tabulated data accumulated from different sources. The data serve as input to the set of rules to verify if specific criteria are met. If one of the rules returns true, it is considered a failed test.

*Table 3. Tabulated data to be analyzed*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raw texts</td>
<td>Array of elements text values</td>
<td>Appium XCUIElemTypeStaticText values.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraphs</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>Computer Vision API</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph bounds</td>
<td>Vertices of the bounding rectangle per paragraphs</td>
<td>Computer Vision API</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Words</td>
<td>List of words in a paragraph</td>
<td>Computer Vision API</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Words bounds</td>
<td>Vertices of the bounding rectangle per word</td>
<td>Computer Vision API</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entities</td>
<td>NLP entities found in the paragraph</td>
<td>Natural Language Processing API</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Translation</td>
<td>English translation of the paragraph</td>
<td>Translation API</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following basic rules are applied:

**Misspelling.** Each word checked for misspelling using *Aspell*, an open-source spell checker.

**Wrong format.** An entity such as date or number is verified if it follows the locale format for displaying such data.

**Untranslated string.** A paragraph is compared with its English translation. If the value is the same, this means that it is a hardcoded string or invalid value. The translation service also returns the detected source language. This value must match with the current language of the system.

**Truncation.** Ellipsis at the end of the string usually indicates that the text is truncated. However, there might be cases that this is intentional. A list of raw text values is iterated and checked if it starts with the same value as the given paragraph. If it is, but the length is not the same, this can signify that the text is truncated.

Bounds are used to draw a rectangle in an image if a rule criterion is not met. Each rectangle color signifies a type of issue found.

3.2.2.4 Generating a Test Report

The test output is a summary of the test cases and status. If one of the test cases failed, it would be listed together with the corresponding image that has a bounding rectangle drawn on a word or paragraph that failed to satisfy the requirements.

```
$ python run_tests.py -v
================================================================================
Collected 1 tests

test_country_app.py::TestIOSTestLocalization::test_main_ui PASSED [100%]
================================================================================
FSAPPLE3125:thesis ymksz
```

*Figure 32. Example output of a successful test*
Figure 33. Example output of a failed test

A rectangle is drawn into a word if the spelling is wrong. If a paragraph is truncated, untranslated, or contains a number or date that is not properly, then a rectangle is drawn on the paragraph bounds. The following colors are used depending on the type of issue found.

- BLUE. Invalid date or number format.
- RED. Untranslated paragraph.
- YELLOW. Truncated paragraph.
- GREEN. Misspelled word.

Figure 34. Rectangle drawn on the truncated paragraph

Presidente del Gobierno
4 Solution Evaluation

After the development of the prototype system, the research moved to find the apps to use for testing in order to determine the system's strengths and weaknesses. This chapter describes the testing results, observed behaviors, and recommended future enhancements.

4.1 Results

NEAR System evaluated three iOS apps with source codes that were downloaded from the internet and compiled to run on iOS 13. The apps languages were Spanish, German, and Russian. All apps contained a total of 51 localizable data, such as numbers, dates, names, and descriptions. Apps' UI elements included buttons, labels, and images.

The evaluation coverage included detecting misspelling, untranslated or hardcoded string, wrong number or time format, and truncated string. The total running test time for three apps on one platform was around 5 minutes. It averages 6 seconds to evaluate one element.

NEAR found four issues from all of the tested apps, two of those are truncated strings and two invalid number format. There were four false positives, and those are year values that are tagged as both YEAR and NUMBER entities by natural language processing service. In this particular case, two rules were used, such as validating the date format and also the number format. The system expects that 2014 must be written as 2 014 in ru_RU locale. The date rule should override the number rule if both are applicable. Some of the screens with detected issues are illustrated below.
Figure 35. False positives for year and title strings. App under test is AutoParker (Sharp, et al., 2013)

Figure 36. Truncated string and wrong number formatting. App under test is from an article written by Malliswamy (Malliswamy, 2018)
4.2 Limitations

The system has limited language support. NLP entities feature dictates what language the NEAR system will support. As of this writing, Google Cloud Natural Language supports 11 languages.

The system's validation logic is dependent on the tools used. As an example, python's `de_DE` locale does not have a separator for thousand, but in iOS, the separator is a period character. This limitation can cause false positives when validating if a number is formatted correctly.

Another observation is with the `Aspell` spell-checker. It is necessary to exclude or white-list the numbers and names of persons because these can also generate false-positive results. This can be a burden to maintain as more and more entity types needed to be white-listed.

NEAR system uses Appium API to enumerate raw text values for each element. The output list serves as a lookup table when checking if the visually captured text is truncated or not. However, the raw strings can contain line breaks or indentations. This can result in false-positive because vision API can capture the indented text in the new
line as another element causing multiple paragraph blocks instead of just a single paragraph.

4.3 Future work

Addressing false-positives is left for future work. Caching mechanism is also needed to reduce the usage cost of cloud-based cognitive services. For efficiency, it is also recommended to only reprocess the screenshots if the captured images from the previous test run are different from the current one.

5 Summary and Conclusions

There are a few research papers that focus on automated localization testing. This thesis contributes to the practical implementation intended for testing a localized mobile app.

5.1 Summary

This thesis commenced by highlighting the significance of localizing the app. The work continued by gathering the requirements from the case company. It became apparent that the lack of an automated system for testing localization was due to the difficulties and challenges that Quality Engineers are facing while testing it. Then the research focused on finding out from the stakeholders such as Quality Engineers and fellow developers the tasks that they wish to be automated.

The theoretical background chapter then described the core concepts of the app localization process, testing strategies, and previous research conducted to automate the testing process. Due to the lack of tools designed for localization testing, existing UI automation frameworks were evaluated. The research then focused on AI subfields such as Computer Vision and Natural Language Processing, exploring the existing pre-trained models from cloud-based AI service providers such as Google, Amazon, IBM, and Microsoft. Then the prototype system called NEAR was developed. The system was then evaluated with the three iOS apps in multiple languages.
5.2 Conclusion

The prototype system proved to automate 70% of tasks enumerated from the requirements. It just took 5 minutes to test 3 apps in one platform. Considerably faster than manual testing. However, the limited language support of cloud-based NLP and Computer Vision models from service provider hindered the original intention of using the system for testing localization such as Finnish and Swedish. Nevertheless, German language support is already essential because that is one of the huge markets for the products of the case company.

This thesis answered the research question if AI can be used to improve UI localization testing. The prototype proved that it could be used, and it provides a visual context for the test, considerably faster to run and repeatable. However, it is underutilizing the capability of AI, using it only for data extraction. The data analysis was done on the python script with custom made rules. Ideally, machine learning or deep learning models should be designed and used to identify issues without the need to post-process the results. This is the side effect of relying alone on ready-made or pre-trained models from AI service providers. It is recommended to develop and train a model specific for the requirements to have a more specific context.
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