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ABSTRACT

In this age of information, the means and mediums of exchanging information are adapting digital technologies that are developing at very rapid pace. Digital Afterlife can be understood as maintaining or transforming digital content of users present in the web-space according to users will in case of users deceased or incapability of operating their personal accounts which are considered to be a virtual representation of users in the web platforms. The user generated content can be termed as digital footprints in the cyberspace, the process also generates mass of internet users and wide range of issues of legalizing and transforming content right of users under social medias and web-based services.

The thesis conceptualizes Digital Afterlife by stating Social Media and Web-based Services in the web space and their importance, legal issues concerning user, service providers and governing bodies and to seeking any alternative solution on the legal base to regulate Digital Afterlife. The scope of social media and web-based platform widens with increasing users population. The way of finding any alternative solution is done through a comparison of service providers applied terms and conditions for its usage and governing acts and policies related with Information and Communication Technology (ICT) in the region like United States and Europe. To produce more relevant output the thesis is conducted on recent publication regarding the thesis topic, studies and findings made on internet and professionals and intellectuals published materials.

Since the Digital Afterlife is still in primitive stage a definite solution towards managing Digital Afterlife could not be obtained although a mutual initiative of all parties involved can implement the possibilities. Establishment of Digital Data Bank reforming the acts and regulations of ICT in co-operation of governing institutions was one of the alternatives that can be practiced in future to meet the objective of managing Digital Afterlife.
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1 INTRODUCTION TO THE TOPIC

“There are three kinds of death in this world. There’s heart death, there’s brain death, and there’s being off the network.”
- Guy Almes,
  Director of the Academy for Advanced Telecommunications and Learning Technologies,
  Texas A&M University.

(Gaelle Faure, 2009)

As Guy Almes mentioned above, our present social life is shaping up to be of a different nature than few decades ago in terms of technology, availability, accessibility, portability and time which we are accepting and being part of it in our day to day living. Present years have been more influenced by the different means and mediums of exchanging information preferred and adapted by the human society among which internet or cyberspace can be mentioned as the top of the priorities. Collaterally the amount of internet users has grown rapidly with the time-saving, economic and user friendly services provided by many service providers in the internet.

Recent years have been witnessing rapid developing patterns in the information and communication process. It seems that our everyday life is now a part of an information cosmos connected through various means and devices of communication and conquering majority of human population. The service oriented companies originated from Information and Communication Technology have been successfully enhancing, modifying, developing and enlarging themselves to an unpredictable shape and size due to the adaptation of transforming market demand or in other words user demand, making the content of the information cosmos more accessible and allowing users to be participate conveniently.

If we further give a thought about Guy Almes quote mentioned above and the participation of growing human population in the digital world it can be taken into consideration that all the digital identity and environment created in the cyber-space can be made valid, preserved and transferable according to the ownership of content after the inability of user to utilize the content. This scenario or condition can be termed as Digital Afterlife.
1.1 Background Information

A decade earlier important documents were hardly convenient to transfer or share through emails such as images, videos, presentations etc. due to its file size limits. Comparatively today we have different means of sharing and our daily life can be completely embedded in the web space leaving behind the prints of our activities. These prints are often considered as digital footprints. Digital footprints are the contents that fill up a digital life particularly in an individual user and their personal content on the internet.

Digital footprints have wide variety of data which can be of delicate, private, confidential, normal, non-confidential or less priority. There can be many ways how users leave behind their digital footprints. It can be the content a user leaves about them and the content that others leave about the user in the web. The user generated data and content includes blogs, comments left on public sites, photo’s or a profile up-loaded and content a user creates on a social networking site. The content left by other is the move from a user as a single individual to that user being part of the social group.

Another possibility can also be explicit data from the interactions a user has with the web. This is where users activities is captured, the types of details captured include web pages viewed, the frequency of visits along with the intervals between them, clicks, the time spent on each webpage, interactions with forms, landing pages, and downloadable content. In reality every click of mouse, keystroke and interaction with the web (from a PC or mobile) can be captured and stored. Implicit data or implied data such as IP address, ISP, location (physical and derived), reputation, context, call records, routes and routines, liking, friending, burst data, behaviour, and linking this (meta) data to other data.

Digital footprints should be treated as highly sensitive personal and private information and should be strictly protected by the laws to the user.
(Fish Tony, posterous.com, 2010)

Today’s means of communication has given human society portable and technologically advance means of access in broad and core level of the human society. This access is in social, professional and geographical environment among individual and beyond due to the change in
processing and exchanging information possible with the rapid improvisation and development of new mediums in information and communication technology used in internet, social networks, blogs, career building sites etc. These services have been so efficient, friendly and widely accepted for sharing personal information to the users but yet the range of trust among users is always different. So the different service provider also handles with massive personal details and other delicate information.

In present an individual is highly likely of making virtual identity in the cyber-space instantly while accessing the internet/web of communication for various purposes. These purposes may be social, economic, job-oriented, educational, health etc. The growth of social media has eclipsed the traditional method of commerce, life-style and many trends of human life making the digital entity almost legitimate or real representation of an individual comparatively to their physical entities. With the massive growth of users which is human population in internet it has also been felt to have a strong, durable and acceptable means of governing the digital identity of those users assigned to the cyber-space for obvious reasons.

1.2 Topic Insight

‘Digital Afterlife’ refers to the virtual life originally created by the living human user which is able to create an unique identity in the social media environment or cyberspace during life-span of physical existence but all the inputs remain in the cyberspace even after the person is deceased as his digital assets. Here the possibility of continuation, imitation, cloning or perishing of the virtual character by technological intelligence and mechanism cannot be denied that can result in both positive and negative. Thus in the best interest of user, it is in a user’s will to determine the handling of personal digital assets and belongings according to their will.

“The average social media user will create hundreds of thousands of pieces of content in their lifetime. Already, this is changing the way we remember our loved ones and creating a legacy that is much different than that of any previous generation. At the same time, technology’s ability to understand vast amounts of data is expanding exponentially, and in the long run, enabling the possibility of leveraging our social media footprint to create a version of us that can live on long after we’re gone.”

(Ostrow Adam, 2011, Editor in Chief, Mashable)
The technological ability of understanding huge amount of various data mentioned by Adam Ostrow above is also a factor to be taken into account. This ability may be developed into such advance level that technology will be able to segment and provide instant results about anything searched related with the user’s digital identity. A very interesting point will be the digital content the user will be willing to share on private and public level.

With the user’s population already hitting over a billion counts and still counting, it is very much needed to organize, regulate, maintain and develop the social media and web based service’s massive cluster of information so that the whole information eco-system is almost impeccable.

(Wauters Robin, 2011, techcrunch.com)

This bachelor thesis is inspired by the ideas and logical thoughts presented by Evan Carroll and John Romano in their book Your Digital Afterlife, 2011. Apart of it various relevant factors in this report digital entity of users combined with the service providers’ platforms and present trends of marketing mix and effected scenarios are being discussed. This report is entitled to provide general insight in growing effect of Social Media (SM) and Web-based Services (WBS) to majority of world population in concern with digital input in such platforms and importance of users’ right to their digital environment, responsibilities of SM and WBS. The concerned issue will be existing reality about rights of users’ digital content, possibilities of maintaining a benchmark of the preservation and transformation of digital content combined with the different parties involved and other related issues are being focused in the thesis. Another objective of this report is finding an environment of systematic, efficient and regulated digital information cycle equipped with improvised legal grounds in SM and WBS environment to conceptualize Digital Afterlife into reality.

Research basis will be based on analysis which is focused on several relevant articles, blogs, books and articles issued by academicians and professionals mostly in electronic media related with ICT topics.
2 SOCIAL MEDIA (SM) / WEB-BASED SERVICES (WBS) AND USER

Social Media (SM) and Web Based Services (WBS) are very crucial as users are increasingly involved with these platforms in the web to create their identity digitally and then pile up the content in respective digital environment. Rise of social media like Facebook, Twitter, Flicker, Microsoft Skydrive, YouTube, LinkedIn, Picasa, Google, Dropbox etc. is an interesting study in itself giving a lot of fields to study and discover. Among this one can be users’ online behaviour. However it is always assumed that the user profiles created are expected to be genuine and users’ history and on-line activities are being monitored and controlled by SM and WBS themselves.

User-friendly Interface provided by most of the SM and widely available internet service is one prime reason for the surge and popularity. The population of SM also contains the commercial, non-commercial organizations and several groups but the user is an individual who can have affiliation or non-affiliation with these kinds of organizations inside SM. The availability of applications related with pictures, games, locations and many other features are the reasons of growing users in SM.

2.1 Revolution and Growth of SM and WBS

Prior to popular SM like Facebook, Twitter, MySpace, Orkut etc., SM existed but with less user-friendly features and coverage. An example can be Hi5.com. After the introduction of Facebook and Twitter, the introduction and development period took a while but since 2005 onwards these services have started a foundation which gradually developed till the present form.

Developed countries, developing countries or under-developed countries none of them are untouchables now with the effect of SM. Recent effect on global politics, environmental, legal and political issues and all other major concerns which change the course of the global future in regional, national and international scenarios are credited as a success to SM. Here the time speed of sharing crucial information is important in any of the above mentioned events. Viral influence of social media has also helped in broadcasting events update in absence of a proper
media in many events and location. As SM has been integrated in portable devices like mobile phones and touch pads now, it is easily accessible and users spending time on SM has grown rapidly.

“The cumulative effects of this technological change are creating a seismic shift in our culture.”

(Carroll E and Romano J, Your Digital Afterlife, 2011, Page 22)

Freedom of expression, selection and sharing information is among the key elements where global users prioritize SM. The growth of SM can be also evaluated through the following figures related with Facebook platform.

![Facebook Map](image)

**FIGURE 1** (Syganiak Kris, 2012)

The growth of SM particularly Facebook can be shown in Figure 2 as predicted by iCrossings, a web based digital marketing agency the population of Facebook alone will surpass one billion mark by September 2012.
2.2 Usage of SM & WBS by business organizations

With the massive population SM especially and WBS holds, it is also obviously that the commercial and non-commercial organizations have already started to take particularly SM as a strong marketing platform. The technology used by SM also helps to trace individuals’ internet browsing history through semantic web and other methods. As an outcome they are applying demographic distribution of the users and potential clients amongst the users.

The demographics are constructed on different basis. Creation of more groups and affiliations regarding certain topic, product, event etc. by the users has further enhanced companies and organizations to be specific about their online marketing policies and targeted markets/customers. They might further segment the data under group profiling, feature profiles, life-style, behavioural analysis, profiling, targeting, personal information impact from one user to another and vice versa. This works as building different segmentation constructed according
to business preferences. This trend has been seen as a lethal means of marketing for many companies and organizations at the present.

The comparative pie chart in the following diagram comparing two SM services popular at present provides more insight into the info graphic of the user behaviour useful for the business organizations in shaping their marketing and promotion policies. The comparison of volume of Facebook users in Figure 2 and Figure 3 also demonstrates the surging users.
FIGURE 3  (digitalsurgeons.com, 2010)
The following striking facts demonstrates the popularity of SM amongst the business organizations which was revealed in June 2011 in United States of America,

- 23.1 million Population discover new brands or products through SM (up 22% from 2010)
- 22.5 million Population use SM to learn about unfamiliar brands or products (up 9%)
- 17.8 million Population are strongly influenced in their purchase decisions by opinions in SM (up 19%)
- 15.1 million refer to SM before making purchase decisions (up 29%)

(Business Wire, 2011)

The above facts can be compared to the following quote which best describes to where the future of SM is leading,

“Social media is hot. And for business it represents a marketing opportunity that transcends the traditional middle men and connects companies directly with customers. This is why nearly every business on the planet from giants like Starbucks and IBM to the local ice cream shop- are exploring social media marketing initiatives”

(Stelzner Michal A., 2010)

2.3. Nature of Information shared in SM and WBS

Varying upon the nature of information shared in SM in general we can categorize them in different ways. They can be personal updates, videos, blogs, music, press release, services, privacy issues, personal information, general information, notices, reviews, etc. on user’s preferences they can be also further categorize in terms of importance, value and time period.

Based on the preferences of sharing and engagement to the internet and SM the artificial intelligence or advanced technology can determine your environment, personality, behavior or priorities in daily and personal life. So the information shared may also carry out a significant value of commercial and non-commercial purposes.
2.4 Importance of shared information in SM & WBS

The vitality of the digital information shared in SM has already been mentioned in 2.1 and 2.2 regarding commercial, geographical, political, health, social etc. It is through the interaction of the elements of SM, human society and human environment is shaping up its own future.

The value of shared information in any form can be of different relations and importance from user’s perspective, SM perspective and business institutions perspective. As user flows the stream of sharing in their environment different analysis are made by observers related to personality, attitude etc.

A huge proportion of human being relies heavily on internet for information. It is also a very prime means of sharing information nowadays. These information available in SM concerning from an individual’s personal information to determining of lifestyle are crucial to marketing sectors of different companies to promote, research and develop their products in order to penetrate the target market which is done on the analysis of Data Mining and Information Eco-system.

Data Mining:

“Generally, data mining (sometimes called data or knowledge discovery) is the process of analyzing data from different perspectives and summarizing it into useful information - information that can be used to increase revenue, cuts costs, or both. Data mining software is one of a number of analytical tools for analyzing data. It allows users to analyze data from many different dimensions or angles, categorize it, and summarize the relationships identified. Technically, data mining is the process of finding correlations or patterns among dozens of fields in large relational databases.

Data mining is primarily used today by companies with a strong consumer focus - retail, financial, communication, and marketing organizations. It enables these companies to determine relationships among "internal" factors such as price, product positioning, or staff skills, and "external" factors such as economic indicators, competition, and customer demographics. And, it enables them to determine the impact on sales, customer satisfaction, and corporate profits. Finally, it enables them to "drill down" into summary information to view detail transactional data.”
According to the above overview the remarkable point is that data are used as a subject of analysis through various processes in order to drive benefit for certain group through accumulated data. This also states that user data and user behavior are very much of interest to the service providers of SM and equally to the commercials companies engaged with SM.

**Information Eco-system:**

Information eco-system collectively is an organized non-stop function of receiving, relaying and creating information which is important at various levels. A comparative assumption of information eco-system can be made with the biological eco-system. It is essential in SM as it can be considered as an input providing information to the end user and having the multiple and diverse response.

(Mace Michael, 2007)

Information regarded as the most valuable form of asset human society possess in the twenty-first century with the assistance of modern technology has evolved as a lethal tool to simplify human life and understanding of society. The information society and exchange process has been developed beyond the traditional way of using email to latest synchronization of all electric devices that can exchange, transfer and generate different nature of information (data).

Modern information eco-system has also been able to reduce all the unnecessary middling of different agents that were increasing the cost and less time consuming. Production and consumption of information of present days can be illustrated in the following figure.
Michael Mace, CEO of Cera Technology in his blog compares the Information eco-system ancient model and present model in February 2007 mentioning the content of sharing the most important element in the whole eco-system rather than the companies such as Apple and Microsoft involved behind the technology.

So the information (data) created and shared in the SM and other social platforms where data input is in digital form can be used as an input for processing and distribution of analyzed output varying to different interests.

(Mace Michael, 2007)
3. PRACTICAL LIMITATIONS OF TERMS & CONDITIONS OF SM AND WBS

This section particularly points out the limitations or terms and conditions a web based social media and other services offers in general. There can be many areas in which SM and individual users might feel the necessity of addressing proper issues in mutual understanding or a third party guarantee between the service providers and users that can be based on neutral justification. This issues or agendas can be discussed in this report by analyzing different points included in the website of different SM. Practically in most cases users may be more inclined towards the usage of the service provided by SM rather than confronting or having perception about the safety and future circumstances about their digital content citing SM policies based on privacy issues and related data issues.

Clear and specific policies that give a standardization of user’s control and right to their content lacks and various service providers of SM policies differ with each other. The terms and conditions to sign up and operating an account in almost every conditions appears to be unilateral. We take a look at the policies of some SM companies offer as published about the digital content

3.1 Terms and Conditions of service providers and its practical limitations

Adapted statements from few SMs are mentioned further indicating their different stance regarding digital content and private information of the users.

Google,

The search engine Google claimed recently made changes in March 2012 eliminating lengthy and messy privacy policies were to make user experience easy and simple. Thus following content is extracted from the new changes.

Google’s privacy policies explain how we treat your personal data and protect your privacy when you use our Services. By using our Services, you agree that Google can use such data in accordance with our privacy policies.
We respond to notices of alleged copyright infringement and terminate accounts of repeat infringers according to the process set out in the U.S. Digital Millennium Copyright Act.

(Your Content in our Services)

Some of our Services allow you to submit content. You retain ownership of any intellectual property rights that you hold in that content. In short, what belongs to you stays yours.

When you upload or otherwise submit content to our Services, you give Google (and those we work with) a worldwide license to use, host, store, reproduce, modify, create derivative works (such as those resulting from translations, adaptations or other changes we make so that your content works better with our Services), communicate, publish, publicly perform, publicly display and distribute such content. The rights you grant in this license are for the limited purpose of operating, promoting, and improving our Services, and to develop new ones. This license continues even if you stop using our Services (for example, for a business listing you have added to Google Maps). Some Services may offer you ways to access and remove content that has been provided to that Service. Also, in some of our Services, there are terms or settings that narrow the scope of our use of the content submitted in those Services. Make sure you have the necessary rights to grant us this license for any content that you submit to our Services.

(Google, Policies and Principles, Terms of Service)

Facebook

The following texts are extracted from the Facebook webpage under Terms icon appearing at the lower bottom corner of the page.

Statement of Rights and Responsibilities

Sharing Your Content and Information
You own all of the content and information you post on Facebook, and you can control how it is shared through your privacy and application settings. In addition:

1. For content that is covered by intellectual property rights, like photos and videos (IP content), you specifically give us the following permission, subject to your privacy and application settings: you grant us a non-exclusive, transferable, sub- licensable, royalty-free, worldwide license to use any IP content that you post on or in connection with Facebook (IP License). This IP License ends when you delete your IP content or your account unless your content has been shared with others, and they have not deleted it.

2. When you delete IP content, it is deleted in a manner similar to emptying the recycle bin on a computer. However, you understand that removed content may persist in backup copies for a reasonable period of time (but will not be available to others).

3. When you publish content or information using the Public setting, it means that you are allowing everyone, including people off of Facebook, to access and use that information, and to associate it with you (i.e., your name and profile picture)

(Facebook, Data Use Policy)

Flickr

Flickr is one of the photo-sharing media hugely popular among photographers community. It is one of Yahoo’s services and has following terms and conditions.

This appears on the homepage of Flickr.

Your photos are yours.
Feel comfortable sharing your photos with flexible privacy controls that make
sharing your images simple and secure. (Flickr, The Tour)

In order to sign up for Flickr user has to sign up through Yahoo. Yahoo page contains this terms and conditions in its homepage.

INFORMATION COLLECTION AND USE

Yahoo! collects personally identifiable information when you register for a Yahoo! account, when you use certain Yahoo! products or services, when you enter promotions or sweepstakes and when you visit Yahoo! pages or the pages of certain Yahoo! partners outside the branded Yahoo! network of websites. Yahoo! may combine information (including personally identifiable information) about you that we have with information we obtain from business partners or other companies.

When you register with Yahoo!, we ask for personally identifiable information such as your name, email address, birth date, gender, post code, occupation, industry, and personal interests.

Yahoo! collects information about your transactions with us and with some of our business partners, including information about your use of financial products and services that we offer.

Yahoo! also automatically receives and records information on our server logs from your browser including your IP address, Yahoo! cookie information, software and hardware attributes, and the page you requested. Once you register with Yahoo! and sign in to our services you are no longer anonymous to us and your usage data will be connected to your account.

Yahoo! uses information (including anonymous and pseudonymous information, as well as personally identifiable information) for the following general purposes: to personalise the advertising and content you see, fulfil your requests for products and services, improve our services, contact you, conduct research, and provide anonymous reporting for internal and external clients.
LICENCE FROM YOU TO YAHOO!

- You retain copyright and any other rights you already hold in User Content that you submit, or make available through, the Yahoo! Services. When you submit or make available User Content on publicly accessible areas (described below) of the Yahoo! Services, you give to Yahoo! the following licence(s):

- For photos, graphics, audio or video you submit or make available on publicly accessible areas of the Yahoo! Services, you give to Yahoo! the worldwide, royalty-free and non-exclusive licence to use, distribute, reproduce, adapt, publish, translate, create derivative works from, publicly perform and publicly display the User Content on the Yahoo! Services:

  - for the purposes for which that User Content was submitted; and
  - for the purpose of promoting the Yahoo! property to which the User Content was submitted or the Yahoo! Services anywhere on the Yahoo! network or in connection with any distribution or syndication arrangement with other organisations or individuals or their sites.

(Yahoo, Terms of Services)

Apparently there seems to be a complexity for user to use any of Yahoo services as Flickr page mentions its services to be of flexible privacy policy but to utilize the services one will be redirected to Yahoo pages which has a long list of Terms and Conditions within user content policy and privacy policy.

Dropbox

Dropbox a popular file sharing site operating on cloud storage declares to maintain a more secure stance to the users. In contrary private data handling remains dubious in the ground of services and commercial purpose.

Your Stuff & Your Privacy

By using our Services you provide us with information, files, and folders that you submit to Dropbox (together, “your stuff”). You retain full ownership to your stuff. We don’t claim
any ownership to any of it. These Terms do not grant us any rights to your stuff or intellectual property except for the limited rights that are needed to run the Services.

You give us the permissions we need to do those things solely to provide the Services. This permission also extends to trusted third parties we work with to provide the Services, for example Amazon, which provides our storage space (again, only to provide the Services).

Your responsibility

Files and other content in the Services may be protected by intellectual property rights of others. Please do not copy, upload, download, or share files unless you have the right to do so. You, not Dropbox, will be fully responsible and liable for what you copy, share, upload, download or otherwise use while using the Services. You must not upload spyware or any other malicious software to the Service.

(Dropbox, Terms and Conditions)

3.2 Users Right/ Service Providers to the privacy and content

Details mentioned in 3.1 of some SM which has specific natures and identical comparative to their counterpart policies that somehow contradicts within themselves and each other’s policies. Facebook, Google, Flickr etc. policies to the user are based on the facts that SM can utilize the personal data as well as behavioral study of any individual user for commercial purposes (2.4).

While reviewing the policies and terms and conditions issued by SM and WBS responsibilities regarding safety measures, limited activities and copyright/legal issues, there are no specific policies for the content created by users. Presently a big necessity has been felt in order to balance the massive digital content piling up in the SM and WBS. The service providers have been able to avoid the responsibility of users’ content as mentioned above (3.1).

3.3 Degree of responsibility of Service Providers and Users

Since SM role is enlarging various activities of human social lives, it has also been utilized as a tool for organizing events, social invitations, measuring people’s opinions and various other
countless useful purposes which can be considered as important achievements in a digital society integrating all the involve parties. As the digital society is hugely getting populated each passing moment Undeclared etiquette plays a vital role in managing and smooth operation of the data input, output, streaming and flow in day to day operation and its parameters has to be controlled by the so called visible and invisible etiquette followed by SM providers, users and relevant parties.

The responsibility of using SM is not strictly mentioned but is translated through the clauses that is allowed and prohibition on certain activities in the terms and conditions agreement while using services of SM. Similarities can be found in the terms and conditions related with users undefined responsibilities while service providers allowing themselves to be apart from the commercial usage of users personal and digital data.

### 3.4 Real life cases

Alarming incidents are occurring every day in digital world where users (human) interactions make up several groups, network and environment. The uncertainty of human life can always raise a question about the consistency of the user as human beings are very vulnerable to natural causes like death or permanent disability that can halt the consistency although their distinctive and personal identity can overrun their real existence.

The case of Leslie Harpold who started creating websites like www.smug.com and www.harpold.com made input of her several creative works which was of relevant importance to the other users in her network. Unfortunately her unexpected demise in 2006 at age 40 left a vacuum inside the digital environment she constructed and the users in the domain wanted to resurrect the environment as it was during Leslie’s physical life. This could never happened because webpage and among the members of the network whom her work was very important because all her creation were legally transferred to her family members who were not with the same mindset as of the groups in her digital environment. This issue always raises an issue what really would Leslie have wanted to do with her digital asset. Things would have been a lot easier to sort out if a pre-declared digital will of her existed.

(Carroll E and Romano J, Page 56 & 57)
In 2005, Justin M. Ellsworth, a U.S. Marine soldier died in Iraq while his parents wanted to retrieve all his email content based in Yahoo after his demise. This took them to fight a legal battle in the court before finally they were allowed to retrieve the content in a CD but not all from Yahoo. The privacy issue and Yahoo’s policy were the factor listed to transfer the account to Justin’s parent’s custody. This issue was just a beginning how complicated issues a digital content and digital (virtual) assets can arise if not handled in prior.

(Tines Charles V., 2005,)
4. EMERGING ORGANIZATIONS WITH DIGITAL AFTERLIFE CONCEPTS

Users often tend to preserve their digital asset which may be important, valuable or meaningful to them or certain group of people in their network. In order to bring the framework of Digital Afterlife it was also necessary to build up the proper database or eco-system of Digital Identity which had enough credibility of being utilized as a digital legacy. Thus this might help users to transfer their digital legacy to their important heirs or to the people inside a family, friend or professional network considering the limited existence or time span of human life.

Based on the anticipation of possible user perspective there are organizations that are launching their services based on the assurance of safe-guarding the digital legacy of users. These organizations are providing legality options to the digital assets by collecting, preserving and handling them to the declared heir by the users. Users declared a will and storage of digital content including the individuals information eco-system to be safeguarded expecting a smooth transformation of their digital assets to the heir.

Conceptualization of the digital environment, measuring the pros and cons of the future and shaping up the possibilities are some of the major activities of these organizations.

The effort to establish a digital identity as a back-up for every human identity engaged in a virtual world has already been an on-going practice by National Strategy for Trusted Identities in Cyberspace (NSTIC) since it was drafted in June 2010 by U.S. Government.

“The Identity Ecosystem is a user-centric online environment, a set of technologies, policies, and agreed upon standards that securely support transactions ranging from anonymous to fully authenticate and from low to high value.”

(NSTIC, U.S.A)
4.1 Concept of personal data as Digital Legacy /Will

Data holds significant value. Personal data in a digital format can also be treated as digital estate/asset. It can be different nature regarding importance, value and time. Data are being treated as digital asset and it has ranges of value. The user might also have interest in transferring their digital asset to the appointed individual after their decease or inability to use their content. In broader aspects digital assets can be treated as a legacy where one can appoint an heir. To determine the safe future either for preservation or continuation of an individual’s digital assets located in their personal device or SM it is sensible to treat the digital belongings as a legacy. Digital Legacy can also be a must require tool for the near future as the surging population in SM and other digital environment continues to occupy the major world of internet.

In an electronic article, a report published by Wakefield Research and Carbonite it is mentioned that in America itself thirty-eight per cent of American people were willing to lose their wedding ring instead of their digital data stored in their electronic storages. The cloud based solutions are also hinted out to be one of the solutions for the safe keeping of the data.

(Raby Mark, 2011, tgdaily.com)

4.2 Organizations and their services for Digital Content

Organizations which have tried to foresee this issue have started capitalizing the opportunity by taking responsibility for the digital legacy. Witnessing the populating human engagement with the digital world, companies with various issues and strategies are offering services to users.

Seeking opportunities of business to repair flaws in the existing Information and Communication (ICT) sector and particularly with the SM’s promising future many companies have dived into a role of a trustee themselves. Some of the companies engaged in this sector with various concepts of managing the digital environment are mentioned in the following part.
National Strategy for Trusted Identities in Cyberspace (NSTIC), U.S.A

The National Strategy for Trusted Identities in Cyberspace (NSTIC) is a White House initiative to work collaboratively with the private sector, advocacy groups, public sector agencies, and other organizations to improve the privacy, security, and convenience of sensitive online transactions.

Establishment of an Identity Ecosystem would allow individuals to validate their identities securely when they're doing sensitive transactions (like banking or viewing health records) and let them stay anonymous when they're not (like blogging or surfing the Web). The Identity Ecosystem would protect the privacy of individuals by reducing the need for individuals to share personally identifiable information (PII) in order to identify themselves at multiple web sites and by establishing consistent policies about how organizations use and manage PII in the Identity Ecosystem.

(LSTIC, U.S.A)

Legacylocker.com

Legacylocker.com is a web based company that operates as an executor providing access to the username and passwords of deceased ones to access the deceased’s account. It is based on San Francisco, California. They charge a certain sum of money for maintaining their services to the user.

Their services are based on access of username and password to useful accounts only.  
(Legacy Locker/About Us)

Bcelebrated.com

This web based service allows user to create digital environment pursuing it to be made as a reflection of their identical physical life so that when they pass away it could be used as a memorial page of user. The implied logic of this kind of services is to promote online memorials of the deceased ones saving time, resources and money.  
(Joy Debra, 2009, Bcelebrated.com)
It is a cloud based online backup services for day to day utilization providing user to collaborate upto 5 Gigabytes of space in the cloud and sync their important files and folders remotely.

SugarSync is a free service that enables you to access, sync and share your files across all your computers and devices. We enable you to backup, sync and share all of your documents, photos, music and movies so that you can access them from your PC, Mac, iPhone, iPad, Android, BlackBerry, or any other device. (SugarSync, 2012)

The SM policies also vary according to different service providers with their terms and conditions (3.1). There are many companies coming up with different measures to secure and benefit individual or organizational data.

4.3 Future and Importance of Data Protection

Data needs to be protected or digital afterlife transformation should be made through a convincing means in order to protect a legacy existing in the future. Adapting the quickly changing technological trend not only has allowed the personal belongings in the digital format portable and easy to access and keep secure but also has created a serious issue about managing individuals digital content which are in the cyberspace as a cluster. Beside that as digital asset is increasing its dimensions (shapes and sizes) there are always countless issues to be taken care of.

Digital content (data cluster) being treated as digital asset needs more developed and scientific measures to regulate afterlife policies. Previous mentioned cases of Leslie Harold and Justin M. Ellsworth (3.4) can be a tip of iceberg to the massive and unexposed waves of forthcoming problems.

Digital data can be valuable for legal, researches, scientific, commercial and non-commercial purposes and many other important sectors of nature/human environment. As Information Age
leaps towards sophisticated module of social human life, the clusters of data needs protection providing systematic information base for future generations.

Digital afterlife concept is also getting popular in growing economies like India as well where a businessman is being reported making a digital will.

(NNLRJ INDIA, November 2010)
5. INFORMATIONS AND COMMUNICATION ACTS AND REGULATIONS

The rules and regulations related with ICT vary to comply with rules within United Sates of America and European Union often mentioned as Ireland or UK based policy in the content. Narrowing down to SM there is unclear and lack of standard benchmarks as previously mentioned. As a result of this the policies might differ of various organizations engaged in SM.

SM handles and regulates a major proportion of human user’s information in the web and this user number is constantly growing. Existing ICT rules and regulations might try to update and overcome with the solutions but almost day to day growth of technology always generates frequent problems as no methods and measures are error proof.

Policies and legislation regarding personal data in the internet and web space are defined in EU and U.S.A. Thus we will look at some of its features. The regulations, policies and acts may vary in EU and U.S.A. Data Protection Legislation needs to be reviewed and redefined from time to time as new trends and practices are being followed.

Recent change of human behavior in social, commercial, health and different other aspects of life collaborating with Information and Communication Technology (ICT) has driven national and international governing bodies to introduce new reforms in its policies. Governing bodies have been an influencing factor to many policies regarding ICT and these policies have a great impact in the services offered by SM and WBS.

5.1 Data Protection Directives in European Union (EU)

Since its establishment in 1995 EU has been very vocal about its citizens right and their personal data protection. Personal Data Protection Act in digital and other formats. Introducing, enhancing and developing different policies, regulations and acts related with data protection that safeguards and regulates the whole information eco-system. EU declares itself committed to user’s privacy.

(European Union, 2012)
EU could not remain untouched with the former policies and regulations as recent technology revolutionized the definition of personal data protection act and EU reported to be overhauling a massive Personal Data Directive lately on January 2012. All these changes hints to be a result of the public census obtained through a survey made on SM and WBS by Eurobarometer under European Commission measuring the user behaviour and attitude towards revealing their personal information, lifestyle and social connection in SM and WBS. The survey results are followed by two table figures below.

(BBCNews, 23.01.2012, Technology)

A socio-demographic breakdown – again - shows a similar general pattern of more frequent agreement amongst younger respondents – those in the 15-24 (41%) and 25-39 (38%) age groups -, respondents who had been in education longest (33%) and students (44%).
QB3.3 For each of the following statements, please tell me whether you totally agree, tend to agree, tend to disagree or totally disagree.

You feel obliged to disclose personal information on the Internet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total 'Agree'</th>
<th>Total 'Disagree'</th>
<th>Not applicable (SP.)</th>
<th>Don't Know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EU27</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15-24</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-39</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-54</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55+</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education (End of)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15-</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-19</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20+</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Still studying</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondent occupation scale</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Self-employed</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managers</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other white collars</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual workers</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House persons</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use of the Internet</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Everyday</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Often/ Sometimes</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Base: Whole sample

FIGURE 5  (SPECIAL EUROBAROMETER 359, June 2011, PDF Pg 37)
QB3. For each of the following statements, please tell me whether you totally agree, tend to agree, tend to disagree or totally disagree.

You feel obliged to disclose personal information on the Internet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total 'Agree'</th>
<th>Total 'Disagree'</th>
<th>Not applicable (SP.)</th>
<th>Don't Know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Share pictures, videos, movies</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use a social networking site</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase goods or services</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>online</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bases: Social networking site users (40% of whole sample) and online shoppers (39% of whole sample)

FIGURE 6  (SPECIAL EUROBAROMETER 359, June 2011, PDF, Pg 38)

The above details were the result of online survey made on November/December 2010.

EU also is aware of the fact of possibility of user’s mind-set and attitude towards the growing personal data information which needs to be revealed or submitted in internet to obtain different services.

Attitudes towards data protection

- Just over a quarter of social network users (26%) and even fewer online shoppers (18%) feel in complete control of their personal data.
- 74% of Europeans see disclosing personal information as an increasing part of modern life.
- 43% of Internet users say they have been asked for more personal information than necessary.
- Only one-third of Europeans are aware of the existence of a national public authority responsible for data protection (33%).
- 90% of Europeans want the same data protection rights across the EU.

(Special Eurobarometer 359
Attitudes on Data Protection and Electronic Identity in the European Union, June 2011)
European Commission’s action of overhauling a massive change in Personal Data Directive focuses on reinforcing individual’s right, strengthening EU internal market, ensuring high level of data protection in all areas including police and criminal justice co-operation, ensuring proper enforcement of the rules and setting global data protection standards.

European Union’s reform on Personal Data Protection is coming up with the following changes referring to an article published on 25 January, 2012.

- A ‘right to be forgotten’ will help people better manage data-protection risks online. When they no longer want their data to be processed and there are no legitimate grounds for retaining it, the data will be deleted.

- Whenever consent is required for data processing, it will have to be given explicitly, rather than be assumed.

- Easier access to one’s own data and the right of data portability, i.e. easier transfer of personal data from one service provider to another.

- Companies and organisations will have to notify serious data breaches without undue delay, where feasible within 24 hours.

- A single set of rules on data protection, valid across the EU.

- Companies will only have to deal with a single national data protection authority – in the EU country where they have their main establishment.

- Individuals will have the right to refer all cases to their home national data protection authority, even when their personal data is processed outside their home country.
- EU rules will apply to companies not established in the EU, if they offer goods or services in the EU or monitor the online behaviour of citizens.

- Increased responsibility and accountability for those processing personal data.

- Unnecessary administrative burdens such as notification requirements for companies processing personal data will be removed.

- National data protection authorities will be strengthened so they can better enforce the EU rules at home.

  (European Commission, Justice, 2012)

From Users perspective,

The proposed changes will give you more control over your personal data, make it easier to access, and improve the quality of information you get about what happens to your data once you decide to share it. These proposals are designed to make sure that your personal information is protected – no matter where it is sent or stored – even outside the EU, as may often be the case on the Internet. Individuals can be confident that they can go online and take advantage of new technologies regardless of where they come from, whether it’s shopping for a better deal, or sharing information with friends around the globe. This reinforced trust will also help businesses grow and allow them to serve consumers throughout Europe with adequate safeguards for personal data, and with lower costs. This will help stimulate the internal market, boost growth, create jobs and foster innovation.

Furthermore, persons or organisations which collect and manage your personal information must protect it from misuse and must respect certain rights of the data owners which are guaranteed by EU law.

  (European Commission, Justice, 2012)

European Commission’s new initiative has been influenced by the growing impact of SM and WBS where the personal information can be used apart from the core purposes. There are
5.2 Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA), U.S.

This act was introduced in October 1998. It is considered to be a popular act but still is believed to be controversial. The act is divided into five titles. The acts related with digital content of users in web are mentioned partially in Title II: Online Copyright Infringement Liability Limitation where data transmission issues are specifically mentioned. Under this act Internet Service Providers are bought into restrictions or limited frameworks as they are meant to function only as an ambassador, messenger, care-taker etc. for the user generated content in the internet specified for a certain destination. Any known interception to the user generated content will be regarded as infringement.

**Limitation for Transitory Communications**

*In general terms, section 512(a) limits the liability of service providers in circumstances where the provider merely acts as a data conduit, transmitting digital information from one point on a network to another at someone else’s request. This limitation covers acts of transmission, routing, or providing connections for the information, as well as the intermediate and transient copies that are made automatically in the operation of a network.*

In order to qualify for this limitation, the service provider’s activities must meet the following conditions:

- The transmission must be initiated by a person other than the provider.
- The transmission, routing, provision of connections, or copying must be carried out by an automatic technical process without selection of material by the service provider.
- The service provider must not determine the recipients of the material.
- Any intermediate copies must not ordinarily be accessible to anyone other than
anticipated recipients, and must not be retained for longer than reasonably necessary.

- The material must be transmitted with no modification to its content.

**Limitation for Information Residing on Systems or Networks at the Direction of Users**

Section 512(c) limits the liability of service providers for infringing material on websites (or other information repositories) hosted on their systems. It applies to storage at the direction of a user. In order to be eligible for the limitation, the following conditions must be met:

- The provider must not have the requisite level of knowledge of the infringing activity, as described below.

- If the provider has the right and ability to control the infringing activity, it must not receive a financial benefit directly attributable to the infringing activity.

- Upon receiving proper notification of claimed infringement, the provider must expeditiously take down or block access to the material.

(Digital Millennium Copyright Act 1998, PDF Pg 10&11)

Comparing between European Commissions Data Protection Directive and DMCA (U.S.), EU seems to be more reactive to this issue as it is initiating reforms for their pre-defined directives in order to bring SM and WBS commonly responsible and answerable to the users. EU reform also overcomes the geographical bases and difference in rules and regulations. It believes that when the SM and WBS service is implemented inside EU territory the service providers should abide by the terms and conditions. In contradiction DMCA does not have any particular definition about SM and WBS and focuses much on IPS. At present ACTA (Anti-Counterfeiting Trade Agreement) and SOPA (Stop Online Piracy Act) is the most controversial proposals which yet needs to amendment in the U.S. Parliament.

### 5.3 Importance of user's right to their profile and content

Importance of digital content can be of certain degrees to user and related individuals related in the particular network. As previously mentioned it can be their considered as a legacy which can further be made transferrable to appointed heirs. User content in SM and WBS might include
various kind of creative work which is to be copyright and protected and can be treated as digital asset.

SM and WBS dominating the internet and human means of interacting and communicating in recent years, it was a necessity to bring the whole information (data) into a proper regulation. There can be many relevant issues in the whole cycle but in this context we are dealing with the user right to their content in SM and WBS and this content to be treated as asset in digital form making it possible to be treated as legacy as well. European Commission in their latest reforms has been particular about the users’ right to access, retain and remove the digital content from the SM or WBS according to their will.

5.4 Pros and Cons of present Acts and Regulations

Modifying and updating previous acts and introducing new acts related with ICT are co-related with the overall developments in ICT. The acts and regulations concerned with ICT always try to govern the ICT developments and catch up with the one of the fastest developing technology on national, regional and global basis. The governing policies are always taken into consideration while doing so. With the rise of SM and WBS there have been several different practices conducted by service providers and users that arises legality of those practices.

Acts and regulations practiced in EU and U.S. has been not able to define the right of digital content of user in SM and WBS. Many of the service providers have mentioned that the personal information is also retrieved by the third party if user chooses to use some applications. Transformation of personal data to unwanted parties can be an issue. Further issue can be the intellectual property right of the user generated content in SM and WBS.

Serious concerns have been raised by European Commission compared to its counterpart U.S., in this matter seeking to empower users by introducing reforms that allows user to keep, transfer or destroy the digital data, data accessible from various geographical locations and service providers being able to provide safety to the user data. Proper rules stating the digital content in SM and WBS remain unmentioned. The plan of building a single set of rules on data protection by EU hints towards the standardization of data handling.
6. CONCLUSION

There was few objectives of preparing this report. An insight into the popularity of SM and WBS and kinds of digital input by users was successfully discussed. The rights towards the user generated content and rules imposed in order to obtain the SM and WBS services were also discussed. Commercial aspects of SM and WBS services, terms and conditions of using SM and WBS platform and analysis of user data and digital behavior were mentioned. The main issue was to seek the alternatives to sort out the digital ownership of user generated content and defining contents' right in SM and WBS. Impacts of ICT rules and regulations in U.S. and EU and its flaws with few existing issues (Justin M. Ellsworth and Leslie Harpold) related with digital content was also mentioned.

Another objective to find appropriate solution regarding the topic was always a challenging task and when it comes to define the content’s right in digital environment and particularly on SM and WBS remains a complex issue within the implemented plans and policies concerning the acts and practices followed by all the elements involved. Since the Digital Afterlife is still in primitive stage a definite solution towards managing Digital Afterlife could not be obtained but establishing a refine process under an improvised regulation can be an alternative. Maintaining Digital Afterlife under present circumstances does not look impossible although the ICT Acts and Regulations and service providers’ terms and conditions have to be revised to standardize and introduce a global policy.
7 DISCUSSION

Complexity of Digital Afterlife concept prevails also due to the dual terms and conditions by the service providers as observed in Chapter 3.1 in case of Dropbox, Google or Yahoo are complicated. The general observation about growing interest of governing bodies like EU and U.S. in ICT sectors and their mutual constructive role is expected which can make a lot of difference to all the parties involved. The digital content and surging internet users actively growing up in SM and WBS and their digital content in the web space is piling up. From these clusters the process of data mining, information absorption and information filtration has been mainly used for commercial purposes. Users need to be serious, more concerned and aware about the services they utilize as service providers of SM and WBS are more concerned with generating revenue while providing user friendly services.

Since most of the service providers of SM and WBS are based in U.S., they try to comply with DMCA (U.S.) which may not be as broad as Personal Data Directive Acts of EU. Recent changes made by EU makes new regulation Personal Data Directive Act implies to all the service providers based globally. DMCA has to also come up with positive changes in its acts to make a secure environment for all the elements involved by empowering and making them more responsible. Probability of making one acceptable benchmark policies globally including developing economies in Asia and Africa would help to construct, regulate and improve the needed infrastructure for Digital Afterlife.

Digital environment including the users’ content in SM and WBS can be gradually managed through standardization of terms and policies for all the active and non-active parties involved defining a clear understanding of the rights of the content and establishing a Data Bank where information are categorized, stored, handled and can be transferred like an asset/wealth in commercial banks by creating will and declaring heirs to the digital content. Data Bank should be able to deal with all kind of information processing it under authorized government regulations. Data Bank can operate solely under the state law or under a government body.
Broadness of the topic Digital Afterlife also allows interested individual to carry on research involved in ICT acts on regional/global basis, digital profiling, SM & WBS policies, users’ behavior in SM & WBS etc. to be few mentioned. To sum up, a proper initiative taken by governing bodies, SM & WBS, companies and users will make the web a safe, trusted and valid platform to operate. A clear, free, transparent, responsible and efficient environment is undeniable through mutual cooperation of users, service providers, business firms and governing bodies initiating towards a better digital future.
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