Bachelor's thesis
Information Technology
Embedded Software
2012

Lukas Kern

USING AN ACCELEROMETER TO INCREASE REMOTE CONTROL SAFETY
This thesis deals with the usage of an accelerometer in order to determine the status of a remote which is used to control vehicles such as, for instance, excavators. While using the remote the user may drop it or stumble which may lead to unpredictable input that shall not be transmitted to the machine. The situations that produce such input were analyzed in order to be capable of detecting them and reacting accordingly.

Although not every possible scenario was discussed or implemented, it has been shown that accelerometers are suitable for increasing the safety of remote controls.
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**LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS (OR) SYMBOLS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Remote</td>
<td>short for “remote control”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>refers to the control over the controlled machine; not to be confused with “remote”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU</td>
<td>Central processing unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIFO</td>
<td>First In First Out (data structure, equivalent to a queue)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISR</td>
<td>Interrupt service routine, interrupt handler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EABI</td>
<td>Embedded-application binary interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>Gravity of Earth (9.81 m/s^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTOS</td>
<td>Real Time Operating System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDA / SCL</td>
<td>Serial data signal / serial clock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMOS</td>
<td>Complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPIO</td>
<td>General Purpose Input/Output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODR</td>
<td>Output Data Rate of the ADXL345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC / DC</td>
<td>Alternating Current / Direct Current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I^2C</td>
<td>Inter-Integrated Circuit (serial bus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNU Project</td>
<td>A free software, mass collaboration project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toolchain</td>
<td>A set of programming tools such as compiler and linker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R/W</td>
<td>Read/Write</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LED</td>
<td>light-emitting diode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hz</td>
<td>Hertz, unit of frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D[number]</td>
<td>to be detected (functional requirement)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Meaning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND[number]</td>
<td>to be not detected (functional requirement)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT</td>
<td>to be detected (technical requirement)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND[number]T</td>
<td>to be not detected (technical requirement)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D[number]I</td>
<td>to be detected (implementation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND[number]I</td>
<td>to be not detected (implementation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DV</td>
<td>to be detected (validation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND[number]V</td>
<td>to be not detected (validation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ms</td>
<td>Millisecond(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ns</td>
<td>Nanosecond(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ìs</td>
<td>Microsecond(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1 INTRODUCTION

When the goal of an application is to provide safety, this requires never-ending analysis of scenarios that can go wrong. It is difficult to estimate how many ways there are to use a machine inadequately and if a machine is certified to comply with modern safety standards, this doesn’t guarantee safety. Instead, this increases the risk that people feel protected and therefore act carelessly. This shows that safety can only be increased but never guaranteed.

Timo Jääskeläinen introduced me to Technion Ltd., subsequently referenced as Technion, where he worked. After an interview about my level of knowledge I was offered a project for my bachelor thesis and I accepted. Technion is studying accelerometers in order to find out whether they’re suitable to increase remote control safety. Accelerometers measure acceleration. They’re used, for instance, in biology, engineering and everywhere in our daily life, including gaming and mobile phones. [13]

The remote is used to control vehicles as, for instance, excavators. Due to inadequate usage or accidents, it may receive uncontrolled input and the controlled machine could injure nearby humans or damage the environment. Therefore, the accelerometer is used to observe the status of the remote and detect abnormal behavior which requires stopping certain commands from being transmitted to the machine. While increasing safety during remote control usage, best possible usability should be preserved, i.e. normal behavior such as standing, walking and sitting with the remote should not interrupt the transmission of commands.

The goal of the project is to build up a knowledge base related to the usage of accelerometers and their role in the detection of above mentioned behavior. Furthermore, code which provides the functionality for the above has to be produced.

The resulting code is not ready to be used in the final remote product. Its main purpose is to demonstrate what is possible with an accelerometer and to examine whether it suits the requirements.
Chapter 2 gives an overview of the used hardware and software. Chapter 3 discusses the requirements. Chapter 4 describes the implementation of the solution, points out difficulties and gives reasons for the decisions that have been made during the work process. Chapter 5 discusses whether the requirements have been met, validates the applicability of the solution for the final product and proposes improvements for the future.

2 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

This chapter describes the hardware and software that has been used and gives an overview over existing similar work (see 2.4). Note that only the most important background information is described. For details, see the ADXL345 data sheet and the application notes by Analog Devices. [2][3][4]

2.1 Hardware

2.1.1 The remote control

The remote control is designed by Technion and includes an IO expander\(^1\) in combination with a microprocessor and a radio controller to communicate with a machine. The IO expander is used to support, for instance, joysticks, LEDs and buttons. For development and testing, a prototype was used which doesn’t include a radio controller or peripherals because the focus was not the communication with a machine but testing whether the ADXL345 is suitable to gather status information. Picture 1 shows the real remote and the prototype that was used [11].

\(^1\) IO expanders increase the amount of available IO pins.
2.1.2 ADXL345 accelerometer

The ADXL345 is a 3-axis accelerometer by Analog Devices which can measure acceleration of up to ±16 times gravity of Earth which is expressed in g, whereat 1 g equals approximately 9.81 m/s² varying slightly depending on altitude, latitude and other factors in relation to the position of measurement [10]. The part has an output resolution of up to 13 bit and measures both dynamic acceleration resulting from movement or shock and static acceleration from gravity. The latter can be used to measure inclination whereat changes of less than 1.0° can be resolved. But it isn’t limited to pure acceleration data output. Instead, it offers special functions that can serve very specific applications (see paragraph Functions).

The acceleration data can be read from the part’s data registers (see paragraph Data format and retrieval) and the I²C transmission protocol was used to communicate with the part (see 2.3 I²C).

Theory of operation

In order to measure acceleration, an accelerometer applies Newton’s second law of motion, \( \vec{F} = m\vec{a} \). It measures the force that acts on an object with a
known mass, called a proof mass. The most common way to measure this force is by measuring the dislocation of the proof mass. [12]

Figure 1 displays the axes of acceleration sensitivity where at the corresponding output voltage increases when accelerated along the sensitive axis. For instance, consider the case where the part is lying flat on an even surface. Depending on which side of the part is up and which is down, the z-axis is in the ±1-g field of gravity and reads ±1 g and the x- and y-axis are in the 0-g field of gravity and hence read 0 g. This can be seen in Figure 2. It also shows how to position the part so that the x- and y-axis are in the 1-g field. If the accelerometer remains in one position and isn’t moved, each axis experiences a magnitude of acceleration between 0 and 1 g depending on its alignment with the earth’s field of gravity.

![Figure 1: Axes of Acceleration](image)

Figure 1: Axes of Acceleration [2]
Data format and retrieval

The ADXL345 continuously updates its data registers with a user-specified output data rate up to 3200 Hz. The format of acceleration data is twos complement and for each axis, it is separated in two 8-bit registers; one holding the lower bits and the other one holding the higher bits and the sign bits. The amount of sign bits depends on the selected output resolution which can be up to 13 bit. For post-processing, the register values need to be assembled after reading. It is recommended to read the data registers with a multiple-byte read so that their content isn’t updated between reading operations. Figure 3 shows the data format in full-resolution mode which has been used during this project. Configured with resolution of 13 bit and a range of ±16 g, the z-axis should read a value of 256 when in 1-g field (see Theory of operation).

![Data format and retrieval diagram](image)

Figure 3: Format of data registers in 13-bit mode [4]
Functions

While acceleration can be read directly from the data registers, it is also possible to use 8 different interrupts (see paragraph Interrupts) that provide advanced state information as well as a self-test feature (see paragraph Self-test), a FIFO (see paragraph FIFO) and the possibility to calibrate the offsets of the axes of acceleration (see paragraph Offset calibration).

Interrupts

The ADXL345 provides 8 interrupts that can be used in different ways to suit specific user needs. Each of them participates in the Interrupt Source register only if the respective bit is enabled in the Interrupt Enable register. For more details on interrupt usage and parameters, see [2] and 4.3 Parameters. Subsequently, the main characteristics of these interrupts are described.

Free Fall

During a free fall, an object experiences weightlessness. In accelerometers, this state is represented by an acceleration of 0 g on all axes. Despite its name, the free fall interrupt can be used to indicate falls in general, not necessarily free falls [3]. There are two parameters that must be set in order to use the free fall interrupt: an acceleration threshold and a time threshold. The part will generate an interrupt when the current acceleration has been below the threshold for more time than specified in the time parameter.

Activity

It is possible to enable or disable each one of the x-, y- and z-axis to participate in the detection of activity. When the magnitude of acceleration on any participating axis exceeds a specified threshold, an Activity interrupt occurs. The activity interrupt can, for instance, be used in combination with the inactivity interrupt whereat a small acceleration threshold is used to indicate activity. Another application is with a bigger threshold to recognize impacts. This
interrupt can be used in AC- or DC-coupled mode. By default, the DC-coupled mode is used, i.e. the measured acceleration is compared to the specified threshold. This mode is used when the magnitude of acceleration is relevant, e.g. in case of an impact. In AC-coupled mode, again, interrupts are generated based on the magnitude of the change in acceleration [8]. For instance, this can be useful if a watch is used to detect constant wrist movement.

**Inactivity**

The Inactivity interrupt works similar to the Activity interrupt. It occurs when acceleration is less than the specified threshold for longer than specified by the time threshold. As with the Activity interrupt, the participating axes can be configured. This makes it more adjustable than the Free Fall interrupt. Additionally, AC- or DC-coupled mode can be chosen which works the same way as for Activity.

In AC-coupled mode, a reference value is taken at the beginning of inactivity detection and compared to the current acceleration. An interrupt is generated if the difference is less than the inactivity threshold for the time specified in the time parameter. The result is that the magnitude of the change in acceleration matters (and not the magnitude of acceleration).

In DC-coupled mode, the magnitude of acceleration is compared directly to the specified threshold (see Activity).

**Other interrupts**

The ADXL345 also provides additional interrupts that have not been used in this project. One of them occurs when a new sample of output data is available (Data Ready interrupt). Another one indicates that acceleration greater than a threshold occurred for less time than a time parameter (Single Tap interrupt). The Double Tap interrupt includes two single taps separated by a user-specified time. Tap detection can be useful for applications where it is desired to sense tilts, for instance motion enabled video games or cell phones.
The Watermark and Overrun interrupts are primarily useful in combination with FIFO and are not discussed here since FIFO is not used in this project.

**Self-test**

The self-test feature provides the possibility to test the accelerometer by moving the mechanical parts in the same way as acceleration does. Self-test should be used before a new accelerometer is used for the first time in order to assure that it is functioning correctly. This test is conducted by measuring two sets of acceleration data; the first measurement is done with the self-test feature disabled and afterwards compared to the data measured with self-test enabled. If the difference is within a threshold, the test is considered successful. The thresholds depend on supply voltage, temperature, output resolution and output range.

**FIFO**

A FIFO, short for First In – First Out, is a data structure that works like a queue.

By default, the FIFO is not operational. If the FIFO is in use, new data is placed in the FIFO but it’s still accessed via the data registers. A possible FIFO application is to reduce host processor burden by setting the part to sleep mode until the FIFO has filled to a user-specified extent and then waking up and reading the cumulated samples in a burst.

The FIFO holds up to 32 samples of data for each axis and can be used in three different modes. These modes are compared in Table 1.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of operation</th>
<th>Key characteristic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FIFO mode</td>
<td>The FIFO collects data until it is full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream mode</td>
<td>Continues to collect data when full, keeping the latest samples and discarding the oldest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trigger mode</td>
<td>First holds only latest samples (stream mode). After a trigger event it operates in FIFO mode. Trigger mode can be used if axis data right before an event/interrupt is of interest.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: FIFO modes of operation

**Offset calibration**

Before the ADXL345 will return correct values of acceleration, offsets must be calibrated for its axes. This is due to mechanical stresses that can occur when the part is physically installed in (i.e. soldered to) a system. Offset calibration can be done by measuring acceleration while the part is lying flat on a surface (see Theory of operation). After the calculated offsets have been written to the offset registers, the part automatically compensates for any offset. Still, the measured acceleration should be verified with the expected values which depend on the part’s sensitivity. [2]

**Initialization**

In order to function correctly, the chip requires a minimal sequence of initialization which is shown in Figure 4.
2.2 Software

2.2.1 FreeRTOS

FreeRTOS is a free professional RTOS that supports a variety of microcontrollers. This project’s functionality was developed and tested in a FreeRTOS task which will be used in the final product. Subsequently the features that have been most important for this project are described.

In FreeRTOS, time is expressed in ticks. The functions vTaskDelay() and vTaskDelayUntil() are the two options to block a task so that the CPU will be available to the task with the highest priority among the tasks that are ready to run. vTaskDelay() blocks the task for a specified amount of time while vTaskDelayUntil() blocks the task until an absolute point in time is reached. For time measurement, e.g. in terms of response time analysis (see 5.2), the xGetTickCount() function was used.

Tasks are created using function xTaskCreate(). The task is assigned a priority which needn’t be unique. However, the idle task has priority 0. FreeRTOS allows for both preemptive and co-operative scheduling. [14]
2.2.2 Compiler: Sourcery G++ Lite: ARM EABI

This is CodeSourcery’s version of the GNU toolchain. It includes compilers, assemblers, linkers and libraries. The version used in this project is 2009q1-161.

2.2.3 Serial communication: PuTTY

PuTTY is an SSH and telnet client that has been used for serial communication with the microprocessor, especially for logging of axis data.

2.3 I²C serial bus protocol

I²C (Inter-integrated circuit) is a multi-master single-ended serial bus standard that uses only 2 wires between master and slave: SDA (serial data signal) is used by the host processor (master) for reading and writing and SCL (serial clock) is set by the processor. It supports 7-bit as well as 10-bit addressing. [2]

Figure 5: I²C connection diagram [2]

Transmission protocol

Each transmission starts with a start signal and ends with the stop signal by the master. The start command is followed by a byte with the 7-bit slave address and the R/W bit which indicates whether the master wants to read or to write. Next, the slave transmits an acknowledge bit to signal the transmitter (master) that a byte was successfully received. In case of a reading operation, the acknowledge bit is directly followed by a data byte from the slave. If it is a
writing operation, the master transmits a data byte. As long as the master doesn’t transmit the stop condition, further bytes of data can be transmitted. [5]

The process of writing and reading via I²C is depicted in Figure 6 and Figure 7.

The LPC1768 microcontroller is interconnected with the ADXL345 via I²C whereat the microcontroller functions as the master and the accelerometer as the slave. (see 2.3 I²C). The used I²C operating speed is 100 kHz.

2.4 Existing work

2.4.1 Application Note 1023: Fall detection

Analog Devices provides application notes for the ADXL345, among those is an essay where the part is belt-wired (AN 1023). The application is based on the experience that, for senior citizens, the biggest risk about falling is the delay or
absence of help after the accident. It has been used and adapted in this project (see 4.2 The algorithm).

The authors determined four characteristic elements of a fall. A valid fall situation is only diagnosed if all of these have occurred. Graph 1 shows the belonging output data.

Graph 1: Acceleration change curves during the process of falling [3]

Here, weightlessness means that the acceleration on all axes goes towards 0 g (graph 1, phase 1). It is followed by an impact with the ground (phase 2) and then by motionlessness after the fall (phase 3). The fall involves that the test person turns over so the acceleration before and after the fall is different (compare phase 3 and 4).
The algorithm uses 3 interrupts: Activity, Inactivity and Free Fall. Further, it uses detection statuses to indicate for instance weightlessness, motionlessness or impact with the ground. Hence, it can be compared to a state machine. Figure 8 shows the flow chart and Figure 9 the code structure of the original algorithm.

Figure 8: Flow chart of the original algorithm [3]
The application receives an interrupt either from a timer or externally from the interrupt pin of the ADXL345 as can be seen above. The interval for the timer interrupt is 20 ms and if it occurs and, for instance, weightlessness has been detected, a timer variable is incremented to wait for an activity interrupt that signals impact with the ground. If this interrupt is not detected in time, this indicates an invalid status and the algorithm starts over. This process is displayed in Figure 10.

**Inclination / position check**

After weightlessness, impact with the ground and stability have been detected, the algorithm compares the difference in acceleration on each axis to the initial status. If the difference exceeds a certain threshold, this means the user has turned over and the fall is valid. This is the only opportunity where acceleration
data is processed by the application. Every other function uses only the part’s interrupts.

2.4.2 Competitor solution

HBC-radiomatic has implemented similar remote control safety features. [15]

3 REQUIREMENTS

Consecutively, the requirements for this project are listed.

3.1 Functional requirements

The functional requirements defined the following use cases as abnormal situations which is why they should cause detection:

D0 The operator can fall down to any side (left, right, front, back). A fall is only valid when the operator ends up lying on the ground.
D1 The remote control can be dropped.
D2 The remote may be left unused.
D3 The remote may receive a shock.
D4 The remote may be in a position that doesn't allow for adequate usage.
D5 The operator may lose his balance, e.g. due to a slippery surface or because he steps into a hole.

The following situations were to be distinguished from the above cases and must not cause detection. The reason is that in the final application, the user must be able to move around freely while using the remote to control a machine.

ND0 Jumping down small heights (30 cm) and walking in stairs. These shall not be misinterpreted as falling or stumbling or the remote being dropped.
ND1  The operator is standing still or sitting with the remote on his lap. This shall not be confused with the remote being left unused.

3.2 Technical requirements

This section examines what is technically required in order to meet the above requirements. This has been done by looking at the patterns of acceleration of an event. The patterns were derived by sampling the output data while executing the use cases of the functional requirements. Section 4.2 discusses the algorithm that implements the required functions.

Note that a belt was used for the prototype which didn't prevent it from bouncing up and down. The result is a bit of “bouncing-noise” that coupled with the output data. Every case was tested both with and without holding the remote still and no significant difference was found in the characteristics. Only the overall acceleration magnitude with bouncing is higher in some cases. Also, the prototype is lighter so that it is accelerated even faster than the real remote.

D0T  Operator falls: This case has been solved in AN 1023 and is discussed in 2.4.1.

D1T  The remote was dropped from 30 cm height into a cardboard box padded out with foam. Graph 2 shows the significant elements that are already known from D0T. The only difference is that in this case the remote doesn't turn over which can be seen when comparing the acceleration pattern at the beginning and end of the graph.
Graph 2: Acceleration change curves of remote control being dropped

D2T The remote may be left unused: This means it must be detected that the acceleration on each axis doesn’t change.

D3T Remote may receive a shock: A sudden high rise in acceleration is to be detected.

D4T The remote may be in an invalid position: This requires detection of how much the acceleration for each axis differs from the initial state (see Inclination / position check).

D5T Losing balance: Depending on the direction and intensity of stumbling or slipping, the data for this case can have various shapes. However, in general a loss of balance starts with a sudden increase in acceleration (the cause of the stumbling, e.g. stepping...
in a hole or collision with an object) followed by a loss of balance. If the operator ends up falling, this is detected by D0T).

Below are examined the technical requirements for the situations that shall not cause detection.

ND0T The graph below shows the operator jumping down from a height of 30 cm, holding the remote still to prevent it from bouncing. The similarity to dropping the remote (D1T) is visible, so a characteristic has to be found in order to distinguish them.

Graph 3: Jumping down from chair

ND1T Standing still and sitting with the remote control on the lap are basically equivalent. Since the remote is in use, this situation
should not be detected as abnormal. The graph below shows that there is no significant element except for a constant tremor in the acceleration. Since the magnitude of the tremor is rather small, it may be difficult to determine that the remote is not left unused.

Graph 4: Standing still with remote

4 REALIZATION

An existing project in C language which uses FreeRTOS (see FreeRTOS) was used as the underlying code base and an ADXL345 driver was designed that uses an underlying I²C driver. Throughout the project, the ADXL345 was used with an output data rate of 100 Hz, ±16 g range and 13 bit output resolution (see Data format and retrieval), and appropriate values for the offset registers were determined and are written to the registers every time the device is powered on (see Offset calibration). They need to be determined only once after an ADXL has been installed on a system.

A console program was written to facilitate communication with the device and is described in 4.1.
A fall detection algorithm from an application note which is described in 2.4.1 turned out to provide a good basis for the detection of abnormal behavior. It shows how the ADXL345 interrupts can be used to obtain the desired status information about the part. In most cases, their usage makes it needless for the application program to process acceleration data directly. The adaptation of the algorithm is described in 4.2 and the relevant parameters to adjust it in the future are explained in 4.3.

4.1 Console program

To facilitate the process of development, a console program was written which can be used in order to read and write the registers of the accelerometer. Furthermore, it can sample acceleration data and print it to the console. As a help to test the algorithm, the console program can display a log of the latest detection statuses which are discussed in 4.2.2.

4.2 The algorithm

4.2.1 gives an introduction to the algorithm and 4.2.2 explains in detail how it was done using a state machine with detection statuses.

4.2.1 Overview

The result is based on the algorithm described in Application Note 1023 which has the shape of a state machine (see 2.4.1) and [3]. The technical requirements (see 3.2) are realized through the accelerometer’s interrupts (see section Interrupts) whereat the Activity, Inactivity and Free Fall interrupts were used.

While the original application only raises an alert after a valid sequence of events was detected (see Figure 14); in the current project, a reaction must occur as soon as possible. The reason for this is that no more commands shall be sent to the machine in case of an abnormal situation. Although the goal of this project was only the detection of abnormal behavior but not the implementation of appropriate reactions, the places for a later reaction in the
product-ready application are indicated by the functions enableCtl() and disableCtl(). They set LEDs and buzzers on the remote in order to indicate the reason for the reaction (in this case the reaction is enabling or disabling of the control). The only requirement for an implementation of appropriate reactions is that each situation has a unique characteristic on the base of which it can be distinguished from others. 4.2.2 explains how this is done.

However, for the final product, further decisions about how to react will be necessary. As one example, due to the requirement to detect all abnormal events, it is difficult to avoid normal events from occasionally leading to detection. In these cases, the control should only be disabled temporarily and recover automatically since having to re-enable the control manually is troublesome for the user if it must be done frequently. On the other hand, it is obvious to require a manual reset after the operator has fallen, when the remote is left unused, when it senses a hard impact as well as when continuous weightlessness is detected (which indicates that the device is falling from a tall height). These events are not supposed to occur during normal usage and can be considered critical.

Different from the original algorithm, this one has to detect additional events besides falls while assuring as little overlap with normal behavior as possible (see 3.1 Functional requirements). However, in order for the detection to be reliable, every single abnormal situation must be detected. This requires sufficiently high sensitivity which may cause even slight, harmless movements to trigger an interrupt. Since safety cannot be less important than usability, it must be accepted that there are movements that will temporarily disable the control. For instance, when walking downstairs, weightlessness may be detected occasionally. In this case the algorithm will start over and re-enable the control when the expected strike after weightlessness does not occur, i.e. the respective timer times out.
4.2.2 Design

The detection of abnormal behavior is implemented as a function `detect_behavior()` that is called by a FreeRTOS task.

The microprocessor doesn’t receive interrupts directly from the interrupt pin of the ADXL. Instead, polling was used to determine whether this pin was high or low. The reason is that if an interrupt handler was used, the part could generate an interrupt flood which would prevent other functions from running. Polling grants better control over the CPU time that is consumed by the accelerometer.

Figure 11 shows the derived function which uses polling.

In the original algorithm, a timer interrupt occurs every 20 ms and the values of time windows are calculated based on this interval. Since no interrupt handler is used in the current application, the same time interval was used both as the task delay and in the algorithm parameters to calculate the time windows. The interconnection between algorithm logic and task delay might seem like a disadvantage. However, since the task delay is constant, it’s more suitable than using, for instance, time stamps in `detect_behavior()`. Figure 12 shows how the time windows for the algorithm depend on the delay and Figure 13 shows how the detection function is used in the context of a FreeRTOS task.
```c
void detect_behavior()
{
    // Task delay has passed since the last time that we were here
    // So increment timer variable
    // What is the DetectionStatus?
    // React accordingly...
    if (int1)
    {
        adxl345_read_reg(XL345_INT_SOURCE,
            &ADXL345Registers[XL345_INT_SOURCE], 1);
        // What type of interrupt has occurred?
        // What is the DetectionStatus?
        // React accordingly...
    }
}
```

Figure 11: Structure of the function derived from the original interrupt handler
Figure 12: Correlation between task delay and time windows

```
portTASK_FUNCTION(vAbnormalTask, pvParameters)
{
    detect_behavior();
    vTaskDelayUntil(&xLastWakeTime, DELAY_XL);
}
```

Figure 13: DELAY_XL is used both as the task delay and to calculate correct values for the time intervals of the algorithm

**The state machine**

Figure 14 shows the states of the original algorithm which were used for the solution. State transitions with red letters indicate a reaction to abnormal behavior which wasn’t implemented such as disabling the remote (possible reactions are examined in 4.2.1). Green letters indicate a restart of the algorithm (0xF0), i.e. the control is re-enabled. The resulting state machine is depicted in Figure 15 where bold green connectors indicate transitions that were added.
Figure 14: The relevant states of the original algorithm
When testing the implementation, the detection statuses that can be seen in the state machine above are logged for later evaluation on the computer. Additionally, they're indicated at run time using LEDs and buzzers which provide immediate feedback so that the data doesn't need to be transmitted to the PC after every test.

Subsequently, the above state machine and how it meets the technical requirements (3.2) is described in detail.

**D0I (Operator falling down)**

An operator fall is detected in the same way as in the original algorithm which is described in 2.4.1.
**D1I (Remote being dropped)**

If the use case "operator jumping down" is compared to "operator falling", the difference is that the operator turns over during a fall resulting in a status that is different from the initial status. However, this criterion doesn't differ between "operator jumping down" and "remote being dropped" (compare 3.2 D1T and ND0T) so that the following sequence of detection statuses is representative for both:

1. Weightlessness (status 0xF1)
2. Impact with ground (0xF2)
3. Stability (0xF3)
4. Start over since the position equals the initial position (0xF0)

A restart (4.) is appropriate after jumping down but not after the remote was dropped. In order to tell the events apart it is necessary to look at what happens afterwards. The following is an idea how these cases can be differentiated. It hasn't been implemented because it's an advanced feature and the goal was not a product-ready application.

Lying on the ground, the remote will most likely remain completely motionless which leads to detection as "left unused" sooner or later depending on the specified inactivity time. However, since the remote is not in hand it is desirable to have the control disabled as soon as possible. Therefore, the following actions are reasonable after contact with the ground has been detected:

- The time parameter for the Inactivity interrupt is decreased so that an unused remote is detected sooner. It is increased again after a change of state.
- At the same time, the acceleration threshold is decreased so that a user who is moving on or standing still after jumping down doesn't cause the Inactivity interrupt (only the remote on the ground shall cause it)
- Additionally, a timer is necessary while waiting for motionlessness. If it times out, there has been movement after the fall and it is known that the operator has jumped down. In this case the algorithm proceeds with the initial status.
- That means the sequence \( \{F1 \rightarrow F2 \rightarrow F3 \rightarrow F0\} \) is split up into 
\( \{F1 \rightarrow F2 \rightarrow F3 \rightarrow \text{Inactivity} \rightarrow \text{final state}\} \) for a remote fall and 
\( \{F1 \rightarrow F2 \rightarrow F3 \rightarrow [\text{timeout while waiting for inactivity}] \rightarrow F0\} \) if the carrier jumped down.

When dropping the remote from taller heights the following sequence should be detected. Different from the above sequence, this one is acceptable because both jumping down and falling from high places are dangerous and must therefore lead to detection.

1. Weightlessness (status 0xF1)
2. Continuous free fall (critical, 0xFF)

**D2I (Remote left unused)**

This situation is detected by the Inactivity interrupt. The parameters are the inactivity time and the acceleration threshold.

It may seem obvious to exit the state left unused when activity is detected, just like it was entered due to the detection of inactivity. However, an activity interrupt may not come from the operator but from the environment and must not lead to re-activation. Therefore, left unused is a final state that may require manual reset of the control.

**D3I (Remote receiving a shock)**

The detection of this event starts with the Activity interrupt which leads to state 0xF6. A shock against the remote is not only detected as an impact after a fall but also within the initial state. Still, the detection process is the same as during a fall after weightlessness has been detected and includes the position comparison at the end. The reason for separating Strike after weightlessness (0xF2) and Strike/Shock (0xF6) is that the activity acceleration thresholds are different in both cases. The reason for separating the successive stable statuses (0xF3 and 0xF7) are different thresholds for the inactivity interrupt in
both cases (complete motionlessness after a fall vs. significantly less movement than during a shock/strike)

**D4I (Invalid position)**

This detection uses the position check from the original algorithm. Instead of only using it during a fall event, it now constantly monitors the remote’s position, too. If it is invalid, the control is disabled. If it is valid again, the control is re-enabled.

Like in the initial state, weightlessness, shock and motionlessness are also detected while the position is invalid. If the part didn’t detect a fall or strike while the position was invalid and it ended up lying on the ground in a valid position, the algorithm would start over with the initial state (see Figure 15). In the product-ready application, this would re-enable the control which could be dangerous because the user might not have the control, e.g. because he lost consciousness due to an accident or because he has dropped the remote. Also, it is no valid option to rely on the detection of motionlessness (left unused) which turns off the control after a while because there would still be a period within which the device could transmit unwanted input to the machine. This is to be avoided in a safety-critical application.

The parameters are the interval when the position is checked and a threshold that controls how much the position can differ in order to be still valid (see 2.4.2).

**D5I (Losing balance)**

This case is covered by D0I because it may lead to a fall which will be detected in time. Again, if the operator regains balance and doesn’t fall, there is no need for a reaction.
ND0I (Operator jumping down)

This case is covered by the sequence of detection statuses mentioned in D1I. It can be considered as successfully "not detected" since the algorithm starts over right after the jump.

ND1I (Operator standing still or sitting with remote)

As pointed out in chapter 3, it is not straightforward to distinguish whether the remote is left unused (D2I) or the operator is sitting or standing still. Due to a lack of time this case was not implemented but it can be done like this:

1. A high value for inactivity time can be used. This increases the probability of detecting minor motions while the operator is sitting or standing.
2. However, if he remains completely motionless, the detection algorithm could be interfaced with remote input (e.g. joysticks / buttons) to determine that the control is still being used.
3. Another option is to set the acceleration threshold of the activity interrupt very low after long time motionlessness has been detected by the inactivity interrupt. If the activity interrupt occurs within a specified amount of time (use a timer), there is still movement and the remote is not left unused. If, however, no activity is detected within the specified time interval, the remote is identified as left unused. A suitable value for the activity threshold can be determined by trial and error.

4.3 Parameters

This section deals with the parameters used to adjust the algorithm.

The main parameters for adjusting the algorithm are the parameters of the three interrupts that it uses: Activity, Inactivity and Free Fall (see paragraph
Interrupts. The next section motivates the values (and for the Activity and Inactivity interrupt the mode of operation) that were used.

**Activity**

As mentioned in Interrupts, the Activity interrupt can be used in AC- or DC-coupled mode. In the initial state as well as after a free fall has been detected, the part is looking for a strike and is therefore used in DC-coupled mode. AC-coupled mode is used to detect movements while waiting for stability after a strike as well as after weightlessness followed by a strike.

**Inactivity**

This interrupt is only used in AC-coupled mode (for stability detection after a fall or strike as well as for detecting whether the remote is left unused). The used value for the acceleration threshold is the same in every case while the time parameter is shorter for the detection of stability (0xF3) than for motionlessness (0xFA).

**Free fall**

The closer the acceleration threshold is to 1 g (which is the acceleration sum during motionlessness), the easier it is to detect a fall. On the other hand, this may cause other movements to mistakenly trigger the interrupt. A value close to 0 g, again, will make it easier to distinguish a fall from other movements. In this case, however, a fall that doesn’t involve complete weightlessness will not be detected.

A suitable acceleration threshold highly depends on the specific application. In the original algorithm, a rather big value of 0.75 g has been used so that any falls can be detected [3]. In this project though, the user moves a lot and the remote bounces up and down occasionally. In this spirit, a smaller threshold would prevent normal movements from being confused with safety-critical movements. On the other hand, the detection of falls would be less reliable with a smaller threshold, i.e. some would not be detected. Therefore, it must be
tolerated that the control is temporarily disabled when bouncing up and down since safety has the highest priority.

5 VALIDATION AND DISCUSSION

Section 5.1 deals with the functional tests and their results and section 5.2 lists the response times for the solution. Section 5.3 reviews the project and experiences from my side and 5.4 from the employer’s point of view. Section 5.5 presents the conclusion and 5.6 hints at possible improvements for the future.

5.1 Test results

The following tests show that the functional requirements have been met. Note that before the application can be used in the remote product, more extensive tests and adjustments are necessary.

D0V (Operator falling down)

A typical sequence of detection statuses looks like the following:

1. Weightlessness
2. Timeout
3. Invalid position
4. Free fall
5. Impact
6. Stability
7. Invalid position

At first, the fall times out while waiting for an impact (1.-2.). Next, an invalid position is detected in the middle of the fall due to inclination (3.) which doesn’t prevent the valid fall sequence from being detected (4.-7.)

This case was tested outside on grass field as well as inside on the floor padded with foam. Due to the risk of injury, falling could only be tested less
severe than it might be in a real situation. Still, the same results as in AN 1023 have been reached while falling to each side and even from small heights (falling from squat position). The result is satisfying, especially in the context of other detections.

D1V (Remote being dropped)

This safety feature was tested by dropping the remote into a cardboard box padded out with foam from different heights.

Either one of the two sequences mentioned in 4.2.2 (D1I) was always detected successfully. When motionlessness is detected (state 0xF3) and the position after the fall is equal to the initial position, the control can be re-enabled. Otherwise the system may shut down.

Note that in the current implementation, this case isn’t distinguished from the operator jumping down. However, this can be done as described in 4.2.2 (D1I) and will be necessary before using the application in the final remote where the user may not want to re-activate the system every time after jumping down from somewhere. Dropping the remote, however, may require that it shuts down (see 3.1 Functional requirements).

When the device was assigned a free fall acceleration threshold of 0.3 g, weightlessness was detected from no heights less than 10 cm. With a threshold of 0.6 g, it was detected till 5 cm. [3] uses even 0.75 g which makes the detection very reliable but also increases the chance of confusion with other events as pointed out in 4.2.1.

D2V (Remote left unused)

This case was detected successfully. However, for the end use, it may be necessary to adjust the time after which the remote is classified as unused.

D3V (Remote receiving a shock)

This case was detected successfully.
D4V (Invalid position)

This case was detected successfully.

ND0V (Operator jumping down)

As can be seen in ND0I, this situation results in a restart of the algorithm after the resulting position is found to be equal to the initial position. Therefore, it is successfully not detected as abnormal behavior if the operator jumps down small heights. However, if he/she jumps high or jumps down from a place higher than ca. 40 cm, this is identified as a continuous fall which is a critical event that must require a manual reset. Either way, the requirement of allowing the operator to jump down small heights such as 30 cm has been met.

ND1V (Operator standing still or sitting with remote)

As explained in ND1I (see 4.2.2), this case has not been implemented and is thus misleadingly detected as “remote left unused”.

5.2 Response time analysis

Since the detection has to be sufficiently fast and since it will share CPU time with other tasks, analysis of response times was required. Subsequently, the results are discussed.

Since the rise and fall time of the interrupt pin takes some ns, it’s negligible and the I²C transmission time takes some µs up to a few ms. So only the time for reading and writing the part’s registers must be examined.

The best case is when no interrupt needs to be processed and detect_behavior() returns immediately which takes less than 1 ms. If the function is executed and an interrupt has occurred, the processing time depends on the I²C transmissions. Depending on the state transition, up to 4 registers are written sequentially which takes approximately 23 ms. During a position check, 6 sequential registers are read which takes approximately 34
ms. FreeRTOS function xTaskGetTickCount() was used to measure the times (see 2.2.1 FreeRTOS).

Since the time between the occurrence of an interrupt and the beginning of its processing (which is the start of function detect_behavior) varies between 0 ms and [task delay], the following can be concluded about the time from the occurrence of an interrupt until it has been served:

- The time is \[0, [\text{task delay}]] + \text{processing time of detect_behavior()}\]
- Best case: An interrupt (e.g. the Activity interrupt) occurs right before the task delay ends. The response time is ca. \([0] + 23 \text{ ms} = 23 \text{ ms}\)
- Worst case: The position check timer indicates that it’s time for a position check and additionally, an inactivity interrupt occurs after a strike has been detected (status 0xF2) which leads to another position check. Furthermore, assume that the inactivity interrupt has occurred right after the task was delayed with vTaskDelayUntil(). The response time is ca. \([\text{task delay}] + 2 \times [\text{time for position check}] = [\text{task delay}] + 68 \text{ ms}\)

Note that these are only the response times for the serving of one interrupt. A fall event, for instance, involves multiple sequential interrupts in order to be classified as a fall.

5.3 Personal learning experience

This project was very enriching. On the one hand, it was incredibly valuable to gather an understanding of the commercial context of such a project. It required several iterations during the work process in order to find out which factors are relevant and which are rather a waste of time. On the other hand, it was challenging to abstract from the elements in the work process in order to build a logical thesis text.
5.4 Employer’s feedback

According to Technion, the goals of the project were met and the resulting data samples, code and documentation, as well as this thesis, are useful for future work.

5.5 Conclusion

The required knowledge was gathered and accelerometers, in particular the ADXL345, have proven suitable for the application, and the detection and differentiation has been implemented successfully. Although not every case was implemented, the goal was achieved because it was examined how this can be done (see 5.1).

One drawback is that a complete separation of normal and abnormal situations was not achieved. Due to the required sensitivity, it was not feasible to avoid some false detection in the first place. However, when using the application in the final product, the control can be re-enabled right after a detection has proven to be “false alarm”.

5.6 Future improvements

Due to a lack of time, the present solution does not provide the best possible usability since it was the goal to detect all situations. Before it can be used in the product, the thresholds and times of the algorithm have to be adjusted to increase usability and reliability.

Furthermore, since the ADXL345 offers many specific features that haven’t been the focus of this project, they could be used in the future. For instance, the part’s FIFO can be used in order to reduce host processor burden. In order to save power, low power mode, sleep mode and link mode can be used. In link mode activity is only detected if inactivity has been detected last and vice versa.

[2][16]
Also, it might be good to use a successor model of the ADXL345. The ADXL346 offers some enhancements whereat the main difference is lower power consumption.
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