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Abstract

The purpose of this thesis was to define the applicability of pulp and paper wastewater in anaerobic digestion process to produce biogas. The implementation was done in a pilot scale with a mobile plant that is built into a sea container. The anaerobic digestion was executed with two reactors in a mesophilic temperature (37 °C). There were laboratory-scale implementation executed simultaneously in Kuopio which was done with the same wastewater source.

Forest industry sludge has unbalanced nutrient content which was countered with extra additive nutrients but without significant results in pilot-scale. With balanced nutrient content the process produces more biogas with higher quality. Unbalanced nutrient content showed high volumes hydrogen sulphide that limits the biogas production. Variation in the quality of the wastewater also caused problems throughout the project making the anaerobic process going overfed and underfed many times.

The gained results are relatively low with piloting implementation approx. 50 – 150 m³ CH₄/tVS. The laboratory-scale produced over 300 m³ CH₄/tVS with the same wastewater source. The laboratory-scale used a batch of wastewater eliminating variation in wastewater quality that indicates that with a feed tank for wastewater this pilot could easily had higher production results.
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ABBREVIATIONS AND USED SYMBOLS

°C (Celsius) Unit of temperature.

AD Anaerobic digestion is a microbiological process of decomposition in absence of oxygen. This process is used to biogas production.

Biogas Gas mixture created from AD. Consists of methane and carbon dioxide.

Carbon dioxide Chemical formula CO$_2$. Common gas in the air. Also a common product in burning process.

CHP Combined heat and power plant. Unit that produces electricity and heat.

DM Dry matter including ash and organic dry matter. Synonym for TS.

GWh Giga watt hour is one billion watt hours. Unit of energy.

HRT Hydraulic retention time. This term measures the average length of time in days that a soluble compound remains in a constructor bioreactor.

Hydrogen sulphide Chemical formula H$_2$S. Colorless gas with odor similar to rotten eggs. In large proportions it’s poisonous, corrosive, flammable and explosive gas.

Inhibition Inhibition is a chemical state where the rate of chemical reactivity is slowed done or halted.

kg Kilogram is one thousand grams. Metric unit describing weight.

l Liter is metric unit describing volume. Thousand liters is one cubic meter.

Nm$^3$ Normal cubic meter in standard pressure and temperature. Pressure in standard is 1,01325 bar and temperature 20 °C.

Nm$^3$ CH$_4$/tVS Normal cubic meters of methane per 1000 kilograms of volatile solids. This term is widely used in comparing different substrates for the production of biogas.

Methane Chemical formula CH$_4$. Flammable gas with high energy content. Also known as natural gas.

MJ Mega joule is one million joules. Unit of energy.

mg Milligram is equal to one thousandth ($10^{-3}$) of a gram. Metric unit describing weight.

pH Logarithmic pH-scale from 0 to 14 describes the acidity of an aqueous solution. Values below 7 are said to be acidic and over 7 are alkaline. Pure water is considered neutral and has pH close to 7.

ppm Parts per million. Describes small quantities of dissolved substance in gas or liquid.

oDM Organic dry matter that can easily transform to gas. Synonym for VS.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TS</td>
<td>Total solids including ash and organic dry matter. Synonym for DM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFA</td>
<td>Volatile fatty acids with a carbon chain of six or fewer. This term is used particularly with carboxylic acids that are created through fermentation or digestion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS</td>
<td>Volatile solids are organic solid mass that can easily transform to gas. Synonym for oDM.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1 INTRODUCTION

This thesis report is a part of ‘METLI’ project (Innovative Services for Forest Industry Sludge Management) which aim is to find solution for forest industry’s sludge treatment in the future. The project has several partners, but for this study the most significant parties are Savonia University of Applied Sciences, Stora Enso Varkaus and University of Eastern Finland. Sludge treatment has to improve in 2016 when new legislation takes effect. One potential treatment method is anaerobic digestion that is tested in this study for an alternative for sludge treatment.

The research is done in Stora Enso’s wastewater treatment plant in Varkaus with a pilot-scale mobile biogas plant. The role of the author in the study is to operate the biogas production and analyze the forthcoming results. The aim of the study is to determine the production potential and to test different sorts of ways to improve the anaerobic digestion process. Alongside this study there is continuous laboratory-scale experiment with the same sludge to support this study.

The research was carried out with anaerobic digestion of wastewater from industrial plants of Stora Enso Varkaus. The digestion was done in a mesophilic temperature range (approx. 37 °C). Evaluation of this study focuses on volumes and quality of biogas. Also experiments on improving volumes and quality of biogas were executed and the results are evaluated.

This thesis can be divided roughly to theoretical and experimental section. Theoretical part of the study contains description of ‘METLI’ project and the pilot-scale mobile biogas plant. Biogas and anaerobic digestion process is also reviewed. The experimental section introduces progress of the experimentation and gained results with evaluation.
2 THEORETICAL BASIS

2.1 ‘METLI’ project

The project is carried out by Savonia University of Applied Sciences and University of Eastern Finland. Some of the research was outsourced to sub-contracting partner Ostfalia University of Applied Sciences. The aim of the project is to create pellets through HTC process, producing biogas through AD process or using both methods using forest industry sludge. The treatment methods have to be able to correspond to new strict legislation and bring all necessary parties together.

Savonia University of Applied Sciences has the responsibility for experimental study with different sludge fractions in anaerobic digestion. The aim is to define biogas production potential, to analyze the residue after anaerobic digestion and to refine anaerobic process as productive as possible. The experimentation is executed in laboratory-scale and pilot-scale.

In this project Eastern University of Finland is defining all the current ways to treat forest industry sludge and the volumes that forest industry are producing with energy potential. Also other properties of the wastewater are reviewed which have impact to the concept for dealing forest industry sludge. The university also monitors the biogas process, develops better gas measurement analytics and evaluates energy balance.

The main focus of the research by Ostfalia University of Applied Sciences is on testing of HTC (Hydro Thermal Carbonization) process. The process is evaluated as a means to treat forest industry sludge. In wastewater treatment HTC process produces carbonaceous pellets that can be burned in power plant or used for soil improvement. The project also studies the possibilities to combine anaerobic digestion and HTC process.

2.2 Biogas as an energy resource

Biogas is renewable and environmentally safe energy source that can be used to produce electricity, heat and mechanical energy with relatively low investments. The technology for producing biogas hasn’t been optimized to the same level with other commercial fuels. With biogas a country can improve its energy balance and make a huge contribution to the preservation of the natural resources and to protection of environment. Biogas is considered to be carbon neutral which means that it has net zero

Biogas is one of the most upfront technologies for upgrading waste to valuable fertilizer. Biogas is also very versatile energy source that can produce heat, steam, electricity and vehicle fuel. Biogas can also be upgraded to biomethane that can be fed to natural gas grid. Biogas has been very successful over the last 20 years as an energy source. Roughly 10 000 biogas plants are in operation in agriculture, industry and waste water treatment in Europe. The most of the European plants are located in Germany. (European Biogas Association, 2013)

Biogas is formed from biomass which is actually a living storage of solar energy through photosynthesis. The biomass can be also from animals or anywhere from carbon based food chain, but it basically wouldn’t be possible without photosynthesis. Biogas is comparable to natural gas only having lower quality with having much lower content of methane. But biogas has advantage of being renewable as natural gas is a fossil fuel and it takes thousands of years to form. (Al Seadi, 2008. p. 11; Manu, 2011. p. 9)

2.2.1 Composition and main properties

Biogas is colorless and almost odorless gas mixture. The density of biogas is roughly 1.22 kg/Nm³. Biogas can spontaneously combust at temperature of 650 – 750 °C. The lower heating value varies from 14,4 to 21,6 MJ/Nm³. One normal cubic meter produces 4-6 kWh of energy and only combustion products are carbon dioxide and water vapor. (Alakangas, 2000. pp. 144-146)

Biogas is combustible gas which energy content is bounded in methane. The higher the methane content, the higher the energy content. Used production methods and decomposing material have significant effect on the composition and properties of biogas. Methane content is normally from 50 up to 75 percent. When methane content of biogas is raised higher than 95 percent, term biomethane is used. Table 1 contains average biogas composition values. (Al Seadi, 2008. pp. 41, 47)
Table 1. Composition of biogas (Al Seadi, 2008, p. 41)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Chemical symbol</th>
<th>Content (Vol.-%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methane</td>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>50-75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon dioxide</td>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>25-45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water vapour</td>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>2 (20°C) – 7 (40°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen</td>
<td>O₂</td>
<td>less than 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen</td>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>less than 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia</td>
<td>NH₃</td>
<td>less than 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>less than 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen sulphide</td>
<td>H₂S</td>
<td>less than 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.2 Main applications for biogas

Energy utilization of biogas is very flexible. Usage depends on local demand. If natural gas grid is available, the biogas can be upgraded to biomethane and inserted to the grid. Biomethane can also be used as vehicle fuel. Cruder biogas can be burned to obtain heat, electricity or both via combined heat and power (CHP).

When biogas is used as a vehicle fuel it is upgraded to match the properties of natural gas. In practice the carbon dioxide and sulfur compounds are removed and the upgraded gas is dried and pressurized to 200 – 300 bar pressure. Upgraded biogas is compatible with modern petrol engines but its use as a vehicle fuel hasn’t become popular in Finland. The reason for unsuccessful popularity is partly because of scattered distribution network and an installation of an extra fuel container. (Latvala 2009, p. 47)

If biogas is used to produce only heat the plant requires a facility that utilizes the produced heat throughout the year. Investments for heat production are low and it is very reliable option. In practice only the water vapor is separated from the biogas and then the gas can be burned in gas burner unit. The total efficiency of heat production is usually very high. Up to 95% of energy can harvested and only a little amount of energy is wasted. (Latvala 2009, pp. 44-45)

With combined heat and power production can achieve up to 90% efficiency. After separating water vapor the biogas is directed to gas motor or micro turbine. Gas motors are typically 0.1 – 2 MW and micro turbines less than 1 MW. However micro turbines can achieve same power when they are overlapped. Micro turbines are more expensive investment but their operating and maintenance costs are lower compared to gas motors. (Latvala 2009, pp. 44-45)
The energy production is renewable and using biogas reduces greenhouse gas emissions because methane is more effective greenhouse gas than carbon dioxide. Biogas production provides environmentally safe recycling of manure and other organic wastes. Biogas plants can offer economical benefits to farmers and provide improved fertilization and veterinary safety through sanitation of digestate.

2.3 Biogas production

The production of biogas is divided to farm-scale biogas plants, municipal and industrial wastewater treatment plants, landfill gas recovery plants and municipal solid wastes treating biogas plants in Finland. Chart 1 shows that landfill gas recovery is the most significant biogas utilizer at the time. (Huttunen, 2013. p. 3)

![Chart 1. Biogas production in Finland divided by biogas plant types in 2012. (Huttunen, 2013. p. 18)]

In the year 2012 the amount of produced biogas was 55.9 million m³. The energy production of thermal, electrical and mechanical energy was in total 256.2 GWh. The energy production has been growing progressively that can be seen in chart 2. (Huttunen, 2013. p. 3)
2.3.1 Anaerobic digestion (AD)

AD is a microbiological process of decomposition in absence of oxygen. In the process part of organic matter transforms to methane that is the desirable product of the process. The decomposed mass that is left after the process is called digestate. AD is common process to natural environments such as stomach digestion of ruminants and peat bogs.

AD can be divided in four linked process steps: hydrolysis, acidogenesis, acetogenesis and metanogenesis. In the process the material is continuously broken down to smaller parts. In each process step specific micro-organisms decompose the products of previous process step. Final product gas contains primarily methane and also carbon-dioxide while optimal circumstances are in effect. Anaerobic digestion process by stages is presented in diagram 1. (Al Seadi, 2008. pp. 16-21)
**Hydrolysis**

In the first stage hydrolytic micro-organisms break carbohydrates, fats and proteins into sugars, fatty acids and amino acids. In other words long polymers are split to smaller parts such as glucose, glycerol, purine and pyridine. There are various micro-organisms in this step because of digestion matter is also versatile. Various molecules require different enzymes to digest and each micro-organism has its own enzymes that are used to brake molecules to smaller products. The process is very slow compared to other process steps and presence of longer slowly degradable molecules such as cellulose and lignin limits the digestion efficiency. (Al Seadi, 2008. p. 22)
Acidogenesis

Acidogenesis continues from hydrolysis stage. Sugars, fatty acids and amino acids are degraded into carbon acids, alcohols, carbon dioxide, ammonia and hydrogen. Volatile fatty acids (VFA) and alcohols are also produced. The main product for this step is carboxylic acid (CH₃COOH). In addition propionic acid, formic acid, lactic acid, butyric acid and succinic acid are produced. The alcohols and ketones that normally are produced are ethanol, methanol, glycerol and acetone. (Al Seadi, 2008. p. 22)

Acetogenesis

In the third phase AD the acetogenes split VFA and alcohols to smaller parts such as acetate, hydrogen and carbon dioxide. Other products from acidogenesis can be converted to methane without acetogenesis. Acetogenesis and metanogenesis are usually in symbiosis with each other. A low hydrogen content is required to prevent the inhibition of growth of the micro-organisms. The hydrogen that acetogens produce is consumed by methanogens. There is a balance between producers and consumers of hydrogen. If acetogenens is halted, it will leave methanogens without substrate and produced gas will be mostly carbon dioxide. (Al Seadi, 2008. pp. 22-23; Lund, 2010. pp. 10-13)

A part of hydrogen produced hydrogen is consumed by sulphate reducing bacteria and thus they are competing with methanogens. Sulphate reducing bacteria are dominant over methanogens when acetate content is low and vice versa when acetate content is high. Sulphate reducing bacteria produce hydrogen sulphide that can cause inhibition to the AD process. (Lund, 2010. pp. 10-13)

Metanogenesis

Metanogenesis utilizes products from acidogenesis and acetogenesis. This is the slowest process step in AD. The production of methane is also very sensitive and requires specific surrounding conditions. Temperature, pH, composition of feedstock and feeding rate are the most common factors influencing the methane production. Methanogens are unique life forms that can produce methane. Methanogens can be divided to hydrogenotrophic methanogens and acetrophic methanogens. (Al Seadi, 2008. p. 23)
2.3.2 Legislation related to biogas production in Finland

Finland is a part of EU (European Union) so European directives commissioned by EU are introduced to Finnish legislation. Despite European directives every country has its own legislation so differences between EU members are common. European and Finnish legislation have made progress benefitting activity around biogas production. The increasingly stringent treatment requirements have brought new parties and increased business. On the other hand strict legislation can cause unprofitability and continuously changing legislation brings uncertainty to the investors.

EU directive on the promotion of the use of energy from renewable sources also known as RES-directive (Renewable Energy Sources) promotes the use of renewable energy in traffic and final energy consumption. The final objective is to produce 10 % of traffic fuels and 20 % of total energy with renewable energy solutions. The objective has been divided between member countries and in Finland the objective is to produce 38 % of final energy consumption by renewable energy sources. (2009/28/EC)

European directive will limit the amount of organic matter in landfills in Europe (2008/98/EC). Finnish government decree determines that on 1\textsuperscript{st} of January 2016 waste or organic matter cannot be placed to landfills if TOC (Total Organic Carbon) or LOI (Loss on Ignition) is over 10 per cent. The new legislation will require more advanced treatment for the wastes from forest industry in the near future. (Jermakka 2012, p. 20)

Finnish legislation controls biogas production and every biogas plant must have approvals (MRL 1999/132). Building a biogas plant requires planning permission from local town or city. Also an environmental permit is also required. Environmental law also demands to use best available technology (BAT) where a danger of environmental pollution can be found (YSL 2000/86).
2.3.3 Optimal production circumstances

The production of biogas is influenced by various factors. Temperature needs to be stable for the bacteria perform optimally in the process. Temperature can be divided in three separate ranges: psycrophilic (below 25° C), mesophilic (25-45° C) and thermophilic (45-55° C). Each step has its advantages and disadvantages, but roughly the production of methane is higher with hotter temperature. Most common temperature stage is mesophilic that is usually the most economical solution. Production is not though linear with temperature that shows in chart 3 below. (Al Seadi, 2008. p. 23)


Feeding rate and pH affect each other in AD. Methane can be produced in very narrow pH range from 5,5 to 8,5. Optimal pH is between 6,8 and 7,2 for mesophilic digestion. AD process is notably inhibited if pH is decreases below 6,0 or rises over 8,3. Overfeeding usually causes pH to drop producing excessively fatty acids. A significant property considering pH changes is alkalinity. Alkalinity describes the buffer capacity to prevent changes in pH levels. If alkalinity is high the increase of acids doesn't cause violent changes in pH level. However acids will consume alkalinity. (Lund, 2010. pp. 13-21)

Sludge, biowaste or other organic matter is used as a feedstock to AD process has to stay in the reactor for a certain time. Anaerobic micro-organisms reproduce slowly and it takes a lot of time to digest the feedstock and the organisms get only little energy from digesting. Delay of the input feed in the AD process has to as long as the slowest micro-organisms regenerate. For a hygenisation and reducing organic matter the delay is
usually doubled compared to regeneration of methanogens. Hydraulic retention time (HRT) is used to calculate the time needed for the feedstock to stay in reactors. HRT is calculated according to the following equation. (Lund 2010, pp. 13-21; Janhunen 2012, p. 30)

\[
HRT = \frac{V_R}{V}
\]  (1),

where

- HRT is hydraulic retention time (days)
- \(V_R\) is digester volume (m\(^3\))
- \(V\) is volume of substrate fed per day (m\(^3\)/d)

Stirring is also widely used method to improve the vitality of the bacteria in AD. Approximately 90 percent of biogas plants use mechanical stirring equipment. Other way of stirring is done by hydraulic, pneumatic or passive solutions. Without stirring the reactors would form swimming layers, the up-flow of gas bubbles would be slower and micro-organisms would be more passive. (Al Seadi, 2008. p. 80)

To optimize the feeding according to Kuittinen’s lecture the stirring should be stopped for a moment and let the sludge form sediments and firstly remove the input amount of sludge from the bottom of the reactor. This enables that the output has less fresh input mixed in it. The bottom sediment is also the least likely to produce biogas. After the output the feeding of the fresh input and stirring can continue. The feeding should be done from the upper part of the reactor to make it less likely to the input to mix with output as shown in picture 1. (Kuittinen, 2013)
2.3.4 Volatile fatty acids, alkalinity and pH in biogas production

Volatile fatty acids (VFA) are compounds produced during acidogenesis. VFA molecules have a carbon chain up to 6 atoms. Alkalinity can be described as a buffer that prevents quick change pH. Acidity of a solution is measured with pH values from 0 to 14. The pH 7 is defined as a neutral value. Below pH 7 is acid and over pH 7 is alkaline. (Al Seadi, 2008. p.26)

In biogas production the acid-forming bacteria occurs above pH 5,0 but methane-forming bacteria does not occur below pH 6,2. Ideal pH values are between 6,8 and 7,2 in anaerobic digestion. The pH decreases with the production of VFA, but the methane-forming bacteria consumes VFA and converts them to methane and carbon dioxide. With low alkalinity the pH can decrease below 6,2 and then methane production is inhibited. (Gerardi, pp.99-103)
The process stability is achieved with high alkalinity concentration. Large concentration of VFA requires high alkalinity or the decrease in pH value is unavoidable. A decrease in alkalinity can be detected when there are rapid changes in pH. The alkalinity is dependent of feed material. For example cow manure has surplus in alkalinity and the AD process can produce lots of VFA before it affects pH. (Gerardi, pp.99-103)

In conclusion VFA concentration alone can’t be recommended as process monitoring parameter because VFA, alkalinity and pH are linked properties in biogas production. The ratio between VFA and alkalinity is a very common and reliable way to view the state of an AD process. The pH values are more easily monitored but with low alkalinity the value can change rapidly. (Gerardi, pp.99-103)

2.4 Forest industry sludge

In the year 2012 the forest industry produced 10.7 million tons paper and paperboard and 10.2 million tons pulp in Finland. The forest industry requires large amounts of water and the water can circulate up to 15 times in the process before it is treated and released to water system. The amount of wastewater produced was 32.77 m$^3$ per produced ton. (Metsäteollisuus ry, 2013. pp. 6-14)

The composition of forest industry sludge can’t be defined accurately, but table 2 shows typical composition of primary sludge and secondary sludge. The wastewater treatment in forest industry produces several sludge fractions in the treatment process. Primary sludge is formed in primary treatment and contains mostly tree fibers and bark. Secondary treatment is formed in secondary treatment and it is mainly dead microbes and cell mass. (Ojanen, 2001. p. 10)
Table 2. Composition of typical primary and secondary sludge in forest industry. (Ojanen, 2001. p. 10)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Primary sludge</th>
<th>Secondary sludge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ash (%)</td>
<td>5 – 60</td>
<td>15 – 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibers (%)</td>
<td>40 – 65</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal (%)</td>
<td>25 – 45</td>
<td>45 – 47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen (%)</td>
<td>3 – 5,5</td>
<td>5-4 – 6-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen (%)</td>
<td>15 – 35</td>
<td>25 – 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen (%)</td>
<td>1,2 – 4,5</td>
<td>1,5 – 4,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphur (%)</td>
<td>&lt; 0,5</td>
<td>1,2 – 3,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium (%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0,4 – 1,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus (%)</td>
<td>&lt; 0,1</td>
<td>0,3 – 0,8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stora Enso Varkaus produces forest industry sludge currently approximately 30 000-40 000 m³ per day. Sludge consists of waste water from pulp and paper plants which content vary daily basis. The sludge consists of only industry sludge. Municipal waste water is treated in another external wastewater treatment plant. AD process point of view the sludge lacks many vital trace elements. The high amount of sulphur causes problems in the sludge treatment and requires chemicals to avoid high hydrogen sulphide contents near the sludge compression.

2.4.1 Current treatment of the sludge in Varkaus

Currently sludge is dried roughly to 40% of total solids and transported to landfill as filling material. Diagram 2 shows the sludge treatment alternatives that can be used with current equipment in Varkaus. In addition to diagram 2 the drying process uses polymers to help to thicken the sludge. Without the polymers the sludge is harder to press drier.

Normally the sludge was treated with a gravity table. After that it was dried with a screw drying unit. The water removed from the sludge in the drying process is returned to primary treatment. There were two belt press dryers that are in use when the gravity table and the screw dryer are out of order. Usually the capacity of the gravity table and the screw dryer was sufficient alone. Picture 2 shows the typical process quality after gravity separation.
Picture 2. Sludge coming out of the gravity table and dropping to screw dryer.

Alternatively as the diagram 2 shows the dried sludge after the screw drying unit could be burned in Stora Enso’s power plant in Varkaus. Burning the dried sludge has been trialed in Varkaus, but it is not currently in use because of low heating value, high moisture and high ash content.

Diagram 2. The treatment options of the mixed sludge in Stora Enso Varkaus.
Current treatment unfortunately doesn’t make use of sludge’s full potential as an energy source and all nutrient content is wasted. Anaerobic digestion with post-processing facilities could potentially harvest energy as biogas and produce nutrient rich fertilizer.

2.5 Description of destination infrastructure

Stora Enso Varkaus mills locates very close to the city of Varkaus. A public traffic road passes between pulp factory and lime kiln. Varkaus is a small city in eastern Finland with approximately 22,000 inhabitants and Stora Enso is still major employer with 260 employees and its power plant’s chimney stacks are clear landmarks for the city.

Stora Enso Varkaus is continuing long industrial history that has started in the 19th century. In 1909 Walter Ahlström bought the paper mills in Varkaus and he continued expanding Varkaus mills. Walter Ahlström became very significant actor in Varkaus owning sawmill industry, chemical and mechanical forest industry and workshops. The legacy of Walter Ahlström crumbled little by little and in the year 1987 Enso-Gutzeit bought the forest industry from company currently known as Ahlstrom. Enso-Gutzeit merged with Swedish company Stora and so the company Stora Enso was formed. (Jääskeläinen, 2003. pp. 11-15, 43-44)

2.5.1 Wastewater treatment plant

Stora Enso Varkaus has within its industrial area a wastewater treatment plant for all the industrial sewage it produces. The main features of the wastewater treatment process are introduced in diagram 3. The used sludge for the AD process consists of a mix of primary and secondary sludge.
The sewage is at first neutralized to achieve pH 7. The neutralized sewage goes to primary treatment where the heavy solids settle to the bottom. Primary treatment is a round pool which has a diameter of 55 meters. The picture shows the green rotating skimmer that collects floating solid mass and near the bottom a scraper with a turbine in the middle prevents the bottom sludge from solidifying. After the primary treatment the water from top of the primary treatment goes to the aeration pool where microorganisms digest the sewage. Part of the aeration pool can be seen in the background in picture 3.
The aeration pool has approximately 40 aerators that mix the wastewater so that it has more oxygen for the micro-organisms. The size of aeration pool gives micro-organisms several days to digest wastewater before it continues to secondary treatment where heavy solids settle to the bottom again. In the secondary treatment the bottom sewage (secondary sludge) consists mainly of dead micro-organisms. Secondary treatment is very similar to primary treatment but it is a bit larger pool than in the primary step. Picture 4 shows similar structure of secondary treatment pool, but the green rotator doesn’t have a skimmer attached as in primary treatment.
After the secondary treatment there is still a tertiary treatment where the sewage is treated chemically and antifoam agents are added. The cleared sewage is returned to local water system. The treated water has only small amount of solids, but enough to have a brown color as seen in picture 5. The chemical treatment was rarely used during the experimentation, because the water was usually clearer than authorities require even without chemical treatment. The reason for a rare use of tertiary treatment can be explained with the low flow of wastewater that was most of the time approx. 30 000 – 40 000 m³ per hour through this experiment that is far less than the maximum capacity of 60 000 m³ per hour.
The sludge from primary, secondary and tertiary treatments are dried to 40-45% mass solids. The dried sewage is piled next to the building where it is dried and there it is transported to Stora Enso’s own landfill in Pukkikangas.

2.5.2 Pilot-scale mobile biogas plant

The facility for researching the production of biogas was built in 2009 by Metener. The pilot-scale mobile biogas plant (picture 6) is owned by Savonia and it has been used for several projects and two studies have been written before this current study. It was originally planned for educational and research purposes and it isn't capable for commercial biogas production.
The biogas plant is built into a sea container to make it easy to change its location. The sea container contains two 3 m³ reactors which can be used separately or sequentially. The reactors' temperature can be adjusted therefore the experiments can be done in any desired temperature. Temperature is controlled with a water circulation system where magnetic valves stop the flow inside the reactor when the target temperature is reached. The inner structure of the reactor can be seen in picture 7 where water circulation pipes and stirring screw are clearly visible. The liquid used in water circulation consists 20 percent of glycol to prevent corrosion and freeze-up. The water boiler is heated with biogas burner or with a thermal element if biogas is not available.
The biogas is stored to a 1 m$^3$ container (picture 8) that is placed on top of the plant. The container holds a protuberant bag that puff up when gas flows in there. If there are problems with gas burner, the biogas will flow out of safety valve. Removing biogas out of the container can also be done manually.
The feeding of the reactors can be done through a pipe fitting and with screw conveyors. The liquid input was originally designed to be pumped with a submersible pump from an external storage or source. The plant has individual screw conveyors for both reactors for solid input. The screw conveyors are protected with hatch that can be seen in picture 9. The facility contains also a crushing unit for decreasing the particle size for solid feed.
The automation system for controlling and measuring can be accessed with a computer. The values are stored to log file for analyzing later. The system can be accessed locally via touch screen monitor and keyboard. The system interface can be accessed with only pressing the touch screen that is presented in picture 10. The system is also capable for sending reports and alarms to mobile phone via SMS message. The system monitors the reactor temperatures, methane content of produced biogas, the amount of biogas produced and the temperature of the boiler. The feeding can be also automated to any given time.

![Picture 10. User interface with a touch screen.](image)

Above the touch screen there are more traditional controlling features for the most important operational features in picture 11. They can be set to automatic mode or be manually overdriven. More specific information about the structure of the plant can be found in the appendix 1 where the process and instrumentation diagram of this plant is introduced.
Picture 11. Controlling switches above the touch screen.
3 EXPERIMENTATION

Pilot-scale experimentation was done next to Stora Enso Varkaus wastewater treatment plant with a pilot-scale mobile biogas plant. The experimentation started 4th of April 2013 and ended 30th of November 2013. The preliminaries of this chapter describe how things were done eventually. More accurate details of the experimentation are in the chapter 3.2.

3.1 Preliminaries, planning and implementation

For the experimentation the pilot-scale mobile biogas plant was transported to Varkaus. The anaerobically digested cow manure was used as the inoculum to quicken the starting phase of AD process with the sludge from the wastewater treatment plant. The origin of inoculum is Finnish agriculture research center’s (MTT) dairy farm in Maaninka that has biogas plant. The biogas plant treats mainly cow manure but also small amounts of herbaceous biomass.

The experimentation was planned to carry out with a week containing 5 days of active operating on the ground and weekend without active monitoring. The process properties were monitored regularly and the data was written down in a way that can be seen in appendix 2. Originally the amount of feed was calculated estimating that the fed sludge was about same quality. However the quality changes of the fed sludge caused problems with AD process. The estimated values for mixed sludge was 3.7 % TS and for gravity separated sludge 9.2 %. The feed was set to 100 liters mixed sludge and 10 liters of gravity separated sludge per feed. This feeding plan was calculated to give HRT of 21 days and organic load of 1.4 kgVS/m³/d. The gravity separated sludge was replaced with dried sludge half amount (5 liters), when gravity separated sludge wasn’t available.

In the final weeks of the experimentation on calendar week 45 the original feeding plan was changed to a more dynamic feeding plan where the sludge dry matter was analyzed from every feed with a quick drier. This procedure makes HRT a variable property but makes the organic load more constant as the quality of the sludge changes. The organic load was set to 2 kg VS per reactor size (m³) per one feed. The effective reactor volume is estimated 2.25 m³. The rest of the space of the 3 m³ reactor is gas. The amount of VS was estimated to 67.5 % from TS. With these variables the daily amount of dry matter added to reactors is 9.3 kg TS according to following equation.
where \( F_{TS} \) is amount of feed in total solids (kgTS / d)
\( V_R \) is the active reactor size (m\(^3\))
\( O \) is organic load (kg VS / m\(^3\) / d)
\( r \) is estimated VS/TS ratio

\( 7/5 \) is multiplier because the reactor is fed 5 days per week

TS values were measured from the mixed sludge and the sludge after gravity table. At first the reactor was fed with about 150 liters of mixed sludge and then it was calculated how much gravity separated sludge needed to be fed in addition. The amount of gravity separated sludge is calculated according to following equation.

\[
F_{GT} = \frac{F_{TS}}{T_{S_GT}}
\]

where \( F_{GT} \) is amount of gravity separated sludge (liter)
\( F_{TS} \) is amount of feed in total solids (kgTS / d)
\( T_{S_GT} \) is the total solids measured with a quick dryer.

The pumping of the sludge was done with a screw pump that was rarely in other use than for this experiment. The screw pump that is seen in picture 12 was originally used for older of the two belt press dryers in the treatment plant. It was also in use when the newer centrifugal pump was out of service which normally handled all the capacity that the gravity table needed.
The feeding sludge was taken from the sludge treatment process as a side stream. There was no specific feed tank so the quality of the sludge varied daily when sludge drying treatment was in operation. The used sludge for this operation was taken from the process from points A, B and C in the diagram 4. The most common feed is the sludge A that includes small amount of ferrous sulphate. Ferrous sulphate is added to reduce hydrogen sulphide in the air near the drying facilities and to help precipitation in the process. The sludge B was also fed in the reactors which required some physical labor as it had to be carried to the biogas reactors with buckets. The sludge C was also fed with buckets but required far less effort as the fraction was located much closer to the biogas plant.
Diagram 4. The sludge side streams to the biogas reactors from the drying process.

The picture 12 shows the plastic hose that is attached to the flow meter in the picture 13. The flow meter was easy to use but the hard part with feeding was that the screw pump pumped sludge over 1 liter per second even with lowest power it can be given. The fast feeding rate required anticipation because there was delay of few seconds before the pump reacted to start and stop commands.

After the flow meter the hose continues to the input joint in the picture 14. As fresh sludge is pumped to biogas reactors the digested sludge is pushed out of the reactors in
an overflow box and drains out of the biogas plant from the output hose in the picture 14. The joints caused operational problems when the temperature was below freezing temperature. There were also blockages in the output joint in the beginning of the experimentation which were caused by combination of sediment and freeze-up.

![Image of biogas plant input and output joints](image)

Picture 14. Above the input joint and below the output joint for the sludge. Picture taken from the left side of the biogas plant.

3.1.1 Argumentation for using selected sludge

Before the experimentation different sludge samples were tested for the AD process. Test samples were collected from Savon Sellu and Stora Enso Varkaus. But sludge samples from Stora Enso Varkaus were more applicable for AD process. Different variations from sludge from Stora Enso Varkaus were tested to select the best feedstock for the pilot-scale experiment. The best biogas gain was achieved with combination of biowaste, primary sludge and biosludge (secondary sludge) that can be seen in chart 4. Stora Enso mixed sludge was selected for the pilot-scale experiment because it was easier to implement and it is not significantly inferior than combination of primary sludge and biosludge. The mixed sludge is very similar to combination of primary sludge and biosludge but there is small amount ferrous sulphate added to mixed sludge.
3.2 Experiments with pilot-scale mobile biogas plant

At the beginning it was decided that the experiment has a month settling period as the inoculum otherwise might affect to gained results. The settling period was good to have as there were lots of problems at the beginning. The biogas plant’s own flow meter was found unreliable. The feed amounts were rough estimates. The water heat circulation did not work correctly in the biogas reactors at the start, but it wasn’t a huge issue. The climate wasn’t very fortunate because low temperatures made operating laborious as the hoses and joints froze over outside the plant. The feeding the wastewater caused problems because the thickness of sludge varied and it was difficult to estimate the needed power for the pump. The sludge was pumped in cooperation with the operators in the wastewater treatment plant. It took a while until a reliable solution was built. Savonia provided later more reliable external flow meter for measuring the pumped sludge and then it was decided to use a single screw pump. The flow meter showed the flow speed and volume so it was easier to adjust a proper power for the pump.

As the operation in the plant became more fluent other problems occurred. The gas burner was malfunctioning from the start but it was thought that the biogas had too low methane percentage. Even though the methane portion of the biogas was around 60-70 percent the gas burner wouldn’t ignite. The detonator was changed but with no effect.

Chart 4. Batch test results for deciding best sludge fraction for the pilot-scale experiment.
Later it was decided that the burner isn’t used in this experiment as there were found electrical malfunctions. The biogas was released in the air after the plant’s biogas container was filled. Fortunately the use of the burner isn’t crucial for this experiment.

Measurements of the produced biogas returned unreliable results after few weeks. Any leakage was not found and new gas flow meters didn’t improve results so in the end they were taken out of use. In September after many repair attempts Stora Enso provided to the experiment two external gas flow meters that showed reliable gas gains right from the start.

It was discovered with elemental analysis that pulp and paper industry sludge lacks important nutrients. To improve the AD process we used nutrients to increase gas gains and decrease the amount of hydrogen sulphide in the produced biogas. Used nutrients were cobalt (Co) and nutrient mix from a biogas plant partner that’s content is a trade secret. The presence of cobalt, nickel and iron can enhance the biogas production significantly (Irvan, 2012. p.17). Nutrients were trialed from August 2013 to the last day of this experiment.

The sludge in this experiment unfortunately had relatively low alkalinity. Sodium carbonate (Na₂Co₃) was used to increase alkalinity to the process and neutralize pH decrease. Controlling the pH wasn’t possible with this method but the process was more stable. The used amounts were moderate to avoid rapid pH change.

In the last weeks of the experiment biowaste was trialed as an assisting feed in one reactor. The biowaste was collected from a local canteen and it contained principally excess food and coffee grounds.

3.3 Analysis in laboratory

In the beginning of the experiment the laboratory analysis were planned to make locally in Varkaus. But laboratory analysis was done mainly in Kuopio where Savonia had better equipment and more resources. Samples were collected weekly and shipped to Kuopio to observe the AD process. TS and VS was measured from daily fed sludge according to standard SFS3008 and once a week the alkalinity and VFA were measured from residue sample with titrimetric analysis by Savonia in Kuopio. There was a quick drier in Varkaus that was used to measure the solids out of sludge and other input feeds to biogas plant. Sludge and other input feeds were also sampled and further analyzed in Kuopio. The continuous laboratory-scale biogas reactors were also located in Kuopio.
4 RESULTS

4.1 Biogas productivity with pilot-scale mobile biogas plant

The productivity never reached same results as it did in the laboratory (Table 3). The reasons for lower biogas gains are probably several but the most significant difference compared to trials in laboratory was the variation of the sludge in pilot-scale trial. The laboratory-scale AD used a batch of wastewater, which made homogenous input possible.

Table 3. Methane yield potential in laboratory (Kaivola 2013, p.50)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>m³ CH₄/t FM</th>
<th>m³ CH₄/t TS</th>
<th>m³ CH₄/t VS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stora Enso Primary + Biosludge</td>
<td>0,99</td>
<td>251,50</td>
<td>469,34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stora Enso Primary + Biosludge + Biowaste</td>
<td>8,64</td>
<td>446,56</td>
<td>538,66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Technical problems with the biogas plant caused major part of experiments did not give any desired results. In the last 14 weeks of experimentation results were gained but they are not comparable to laboratory-scale AD experiments. There weren’t any long period without fluctuation in the AD process. The rise of the production as chart 5 shows at calendar week 45 in reactor 1 started with try-out with biowaste as extra feedstock. In practice it caused overfeeding state so it was fed less than normally it would have been fed. The biowaste also brought lots of minerals that are boosting the AD process. The minerals can partly explain why calculations about the biowaste feed volumes were too high.
The reactors were sampled every Monday throughout the experiment before the first feed of the week. The samples were taken from the bottom section of the reactor. The reactors were unfed on the weekend before sampling the reactors so the samples can be considered as residue. The volatile solids content in chart 6 shows that before every feed on Mondays there were at least 2 % volatile solids in the exiting sludge. It is possible that the exiting sludge has even larger VS content than chart 2 presents because the reactor was stirred continuously when the reactor was fed with sludge. The relatively high VS content indicates that the AD process can be improved with post-digestion and the production of biogas could be much higher than chart 5 presents.
Even though on calendar weeks 45 and 46 show promising increase of biogas production in chart 5 the process was overfed and input feed had to be limited that made higher biogas gains possible when biogas was producing with lower feed wastewater. The process was monitored with regular samples where volatile fatty acids were analyzed. As the VFA value showed growth the feeding of the reactors was limited or stopped. Chart 6 shows the VFA values for both reactors at the same time period as chart 5. The clear rise in reactor 1 indicates overfed state that was caused biowaste feed that was speeding up VFA production.
The rise in VFA values could be seen also in the quality of biogas. The methane percentage was getting lower when VFA values were getting higher that can be seen in charts 7 and 8 in reactor 1 from week 45 to week 48. When biogas has less than 50 percent methane it usually has some problems igniting in the burner that was known in practice with previous experiments with this same biogas plant.

Chart 8. Methane percentage individually measured from both reactors.
4.2 Nutrient impact to the AD process

The sludge that was fed to reactors included some ferrous sulphate (FeSO$_4$) that was fed to the process in the wastewater treatment. As it was used for reducing hydrogen sulfide which was a problematic gas also in the AD process. Ferrous sulphate was trialed for 5 weeks in pilot-scale experiment and also in laboratory but it didn’t improve the AD process at all. It was tested with different feed amounts and in laboratory it was tested with relatively huge amounts compared to reactor size with no positive impact to the AD process. There were even some indication that it might have increased the hydrogen sulphide content in the produced biogas.

In laboratory-scale the hydrogen sulphide was successfully reduced with ferric chloride (FeCl$_3$). Later the laboratory-scale experiments revealed that the reduction of hydrogen sulphide is not necessary if there is suitable nutrient and trace element balance. When microbes get all the required nutrients and trace elements, the AD process doesn’t produce hydrogen sulphide.

On and after the calendar week 32 a nutrient mix that was used in full-scale biogas plant of a METLI-project partner. The content of the nutrient mix is a trade secret and it was used in the experiment to bring more reliability to the AD process. With the nutrient mix methane content ascended up to 70 %, when it was before the nutrient mix mainly below 60 %.

After the calendar week 35 reactor 2 was fed with cobalt which lasted to the end of this experiment. Cobalt is one nutrient that was lacking in the sludge so with this we tried to solve does it have clear impact to the process. Unfortunately there wasn’t a clear result with this additive so it might have improved the process slightly but no significant changes were in biogas gains or quality.

Sodium carbonate was also used to bring more alkalinity to the process. It was trialed mainly in reactor 2. It was first used once on calendar week 40 and 41. On calendar week 42 it was added five times per week. There is no clear indication on the effect on alkalinity in reactor that might mean that dosage wasn’t large enough for the reactor 2. But when sodium carbonate was added there were no fluctuations in alkalinity. In table 3 there is presented alkalinity in both reactors where you can see very fluctuating values in alkalinity of reactor 1 when it is not having sodium additive. Last 3 weeks reactor 1 was also fed with sodium because the pH came down from 6,6 to 6,3. The recommended level of alkalinity is 3500 – 5000 mg CaCO$_3$/l (Janhunen, 2012. p. 25).
Table 4. Alkalinity in reactors in the last weeks of the experiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar week</th>
<th>Sodium additive in R1</th>
<th>Alkalinity reactor 1 (mg CaCO$_3$ / liter)</th>
<th>Sodium additive in R2</th>
<th>Alkalinity reactor 2 (mg CaCO$_3$ / liter)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>1939,7</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>2169,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>347,9</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>1944,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>4078,4</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>1983,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>743,2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>1755,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>1128,7</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>1569,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>473,0</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>1575,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>2257,0</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>1931,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>2358,9</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>1706,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>3112,4</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>1777,3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The reactor 1 had higher biogas production especially from week 45 to week 47. There can be seen that the AD process was overfed and VFA was produced too much for methanogens to consume it. The high ratio of VFA/Alkalinity means that there is lots of volatile acids compared to alkalinity and the pH will more likely decrease. The VFA and alkalinity were measured in Kuopio by Savonia. In ideal AD process the change in VFA/Alkalinity ratio would be very small.

Table 5. Ratio between volatile fatty acids and alkalinity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar week</th>
<th>VFA/Alkalinity reactor 1</th>
<th>VFA/Alkalinity reactor 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0,07</td>
<td>0,08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>0,36</td>
<td>0,09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>0,24</td>
<td>0,33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>0,41</td>
<td>0,13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>0,54</td>
<td>0,17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>1,75</td>
<td>0,11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>1,34</td>
<td>0,43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>1,88</td>
<td>0,63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>1,53</td>
<td>0,32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3 Evaluation on experimentation

Low biogas gain can be explained in this experiment that fed wastewater had very variable total solids and volatile solids. The amount of wastewater was initially calculated with default values. If the wastewater had low total solids the reactors were fed in addition with dried sludge. The added dried sludge didn’t mix very well and it can be only guessed how much of the dried sludge circulated out. When reactor 2 was emptied after last week of experimentation there was a lot of stiff sedimentation in the bottom that refers that the dried sludge was not dissolving very well. Through the experimentation the reactor 2 was fed more with the dried sludge than reactor 1.

The dry matter of the sludge fluctuated from 0,5 % to 7 % as presented in chart 9. After the first trial period the dry matter was measured from the sludge with a quick drier daily. There wasn’t any fast way to analyze the volume of volatile solids from the fed sludge so it was estimated that 65 % of dry matter is organic dry matter. In practice the volume of organic dry matter out of total dry matter was fluctuating from 37 % to 70 %. The exact calculation of the correct amount of input was not possible so the pH decreased often too low after overfeeding the reactors. The decrease of pH took a lot of valuable experiment time because the only cure for this situation is time.

![Chart 9. Dry matter and organic dry matter of the sludge fed to the biogas reactors.](image)

4.4 AD as a treatment for the mixed sludge
Anaerobic digestion can be applied as a solution for the mixed sludge but it also requires extra nutrients or another feed to support the production. The produced biogas has a moderate share of methane but it has a very high hydrogen sulphide ($\text{H}_2\text{S}$) content. The content was measured around 5000 ppm throughout the whole experiment. Hydrogen sulphide creates corrosive sulphuric acid with water vapors in biogas which can cause damage to gas pipelines and gas burning utilities (Al Saedi, 2008. p.86). No measurable changes were gotten with nutrients in the hydrogen sulphide content even though nutrients improved the methane content of biogas.

Laboratory-scale continuous biogas reactor results showed very promising results that indicates the AD process being a profitable solution as it has very high biogas gain. Laboratory-scale experiments have achieved methane productivity of over 300 Nm$^3$/tVS when pilot-scale experiment was producing methane approx. 50 – 150 Nm$^3$/tVS.

With AD process the mixed sludge’s organic content can be lowered and gain biogas, but the process suffers when feedstock varies significantly. For a stable process the sludge’s dry matter content should be ensured by a feed tank which prevents sudden fluctuations in the sludge. The process is time consuming and requires monitoring as the process is vulnerable and slowly recovering which should be taken into consideration when choosing the next treatment methods in the wastewater treatment plant.
5 CONCLUSION

The experiment wasn’t able to show clear and congruent values of biogas productivity with laboratory tests which can be result of many factors. The pilot-scale mobile biogas plant showed many malfunction through the experimentation and it hasn’t showed comparable results with laboratory-scale experiments in previous experiments. The plant is a prototype which can also explain unexpected results.

The measurements of formed biogas were unreliable with the measuring equipment in the plant. The gas might have been slipping through the instrument or it could have leaked out somewhere. Later installed accurate temporary instruments were giving more sensible results and both reactors were giving similar biogas production values. From the similar production it is very unlikely that the gas was leaking out.

Compared to a full-scale biogas plant the pilot-scale plant doesn’t have a post-digestion that is very common in biogas production. Lack of post-digestion can lead to uncomplete digestion when small portion of organic matter flows through the process without being digested to biogas. The feeding is done in full-scale biogas plants daily multiple times and in this experiment the feeding was done five days per week most of the time. Steady feeding pattern improves AD process and makes it steadier.

The use of dried sludge and sludge after gravity separation wasn’t ideal for the AD process because the micro-organisms digest the better the smaller the grain size of their feed. Especially the dried sludge didn’t mix very easily. In the end of the experiment when reactors were drained empty, there was thick sediment in the bottom of the reactor 2 where dried sludge was more fed. The mixing might not have been sufficient to the used sludge. The results are probably inferior because the bottom sediments of the reactors weren’t producing biogas, at least not as much as the upper part of reactor.

The results in the pilot-scale experiment considering the all the problems and the promising test results from laboratory-scale AD experiments indicates that AD process could be a very potent solution for reducing organic matter in the forest industry sludge. There is still research to be done to achieve ideal biogas process.

5.1 Occurred problems and need for further development

As a user of the pilot-scale mobile biogas plant there were several problems with the utility. The gas burner was obviously one because it never was in operation even though
it was working fine in previous experiment. The measuring of the produced biogas should be redesigned because it is not reliable at all. The temporary measuring instruments were on loan from Stora Enso Varkaus and they were returned after the experiment.

The space inside the plant could be improved. There were gas analyzing equipment from University of Eastern Finland and the temporary measuring instruments which made the plant a very cramped place. The crushing unit takes a lot of room in the center of the plant and it is rarely used so it should be reconsidered if it needed in the future.

The reactors could be modified that the output would be from the bottom of the reactor so there couldn't form bottom sediment. The current output flow is located in the middle section of the reactor. The input flow is so close to the output flow that some of the inserted feed might get mixed to the output flow.
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Appendix 1

PROCESS AND INSTRUMENTATION DIAGRAM OF PILOT-SCALE MOBILE BIOGAS PLANT
## Appendix 2

### A SAMPLE OF RECORDS OF REGULAR CONTROL ON THE PREMISES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Temperature Reactor 1</th>
<th>Temperature Reactor 2</th>
<th>Gas meter reactor 1</th>
<th>Gas meter reactor 2</th>
<th>Methane % reactor 1</th>
<th>Methane % reactor 2</th>
<th>Plant temp.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21.10.2013</td>
<td>08:08</td>
<td>36,9</td>
<td>36,8</td>
<td>80212</td>
<td>5379</td>
<td>49,5</td>
<td>54,1</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.10.2013</td>
<td>08:06</td>
<td>37,0</td>
<td>36,8</td>
<td>80405</td>
<td>5571</td>
<td>48,9</td>
<td>53,3</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.10.2013</td>
<td>08:20</td>
<td>36,8</td>
<td>36,7</td>
<td>80604</td>
<td>5785</td>
<td>46,9</td>
<td>50,8</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.10.2013</td>
<td>08:08</td>
<td>37,0</td>
<td>36,6</td>
<td>80805</td>
<td>6005</td>
<td>16,8</td>
<td>44,2</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.10.2013</td>
<td>08:14</td>
<td>37,0</td>
<td>36,7</td>
<td>80987</td>
<td>6212</td>
<td>40,5</td>
<td>40,6</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.10.2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.10.2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.10.2013</td>
<td>08:02</td>
<td>36,9</td>
<td>37,1</td>
<td>81548</td>
<td>6841</td>
<td>50,0</td>
<td>65,0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.10.2013</td>
<td>08:10</td>
<td>37,2</td>
<td>36,5</td>
<td>81748</td>
<td>7066</td>
<td>43,2</td>
<td>48,1</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.10.2013</td>
<td>08:10</td>
<td>36,9</td>
<td>36,7</td>
<td>81902</td>
<td>7273</td>
<td>30,1</td>
<td>40,3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.10.2013</td>
<td>08:03</td>
<td>36,9</td>
<td>37,0</td>
<td>82061</td>
<td>7485</td>
<td>44,9</td>
<td>51,3</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.11.2013</td>
<td>08:08</td>
<td>37,1</td>
<td>36,6</td>
<td>82239</td>
<td>7700</td>
<td>43,9</td>
<td>50,2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.11.2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.11.2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.11.2013</td>
<td>08:15</td>
<td>37,1</td>
<td>36,6</td>
<td>82787</td>
<td>8357</td>
<td>42,5</td>
<td>50,4</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.11.2013</td>
<td>08:09</td>
<td>36,8</td>
<td>36,6</td>
<td>83089</td>
<td>8544</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>48,1</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.11.2013</td>
<td>08:14</td>
<td>37,2</td>
<td>36,9</td>
<td>83624</td>
<td>8770</td>
<td>29,9</td>
<td>37,8</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.11.2013</td>
<td>08:13</td>
<td>36,7</td>
<td>36,7</td>
<td>84086</td>
<td>8969</td>
<td>27,1</td>
<td>48,4</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.11.2013</td>
<td>08:08</td>
<td>36,6</td>
<td>36,6</td>
<td>84437</td>
<td>9170</td>
<td>25,1</td>
<td>49,3</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.11.2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.11.2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.11.2013</td>
<td>08:06</td>
<td>36,6</td>
<td>36,6</td>
<td>85484</td>
<td>9830</td>
<td>31,5</td>
<td>50,2</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.11.2013</td>
<td>08:09</td>
<td>36,8</td>
<td>36,7</td>
<td>85964</td>
<td>10051</td>
<td>34,7</td>
<td>50,9</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.11.2013</td>
<td>08:20</td>
<td>36,5</td>
<td>36,6</td>
<td>86465</td>
<td>10276</td>
<td>33,1</td>
<td>48,3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.11.2013</td>
<td>08:06</td>
<td>36,5</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>86855</td>
<td>10468</td>
<td>28,4</td>
<td>49,2</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.11.2013</td>
<td>08:06</td>
<td>36,6</td>
<td>36,8</td>
<td>87351</td>
<td>10643</td>
<td>35,2</td>
<td>51,4</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.11.2013</td>
<td>08:05</td>
<td>36,7</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>87909</td>
<td>10868</td>
<td>31,3</td>
<td>47,2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.11.2013</td>
<td>08:17</td>
<td>36,5</td>
<td>36,7</td>
<td>88578</td>
<td>11120</td>
<td>30,3</td>
<td>36,3</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.11.2013</td>
<td>08:07</td>
<td>34,1</td>
<td>35,7</td>
<td>88880</td>
<td>11235</td>
<td>36,9</td>
<td>50,1</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.11.2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.11.2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.11.2013</td>
<td>08:15</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>36,6</td>
<td>89641</td>
<td>11833</td>
<td>36,9</td>
<td>31,3</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.11.2013</td>
<td>08:09</td>
<td>37,8</td>
<td>37,4</td>
<td>90026</td>
<td>12080</td>
<td>35,4</td>
<td>47,4</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.11.2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.11.2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.11.2013</td>
<td>08:08</td>
<td>36,3</td>
<td>36,6</td>
<td>90715</td>
<td>12730</td>
<td>36,4</td>
<td>42,4</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.11.2013</td>
<td>08:20</td>
<td>35,5</td>
<td>36,5</td>
<td>90761</td>
<td>12937</td>
<td>36,2</td>
<td>25,1</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.11.2013</td>
<td>08:13</td>
<td>36,8</td>
<td>36,8</td>
<td>90851</td>
<td>13160</td>
<td>20,2</td>
<td>41,5</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.11.2013</td>
<td>08:11</td>
<td>37,1</td>
<td>36,6</td>
<td>90909</td>
<td>13412</td>
<td>5,2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.11.2013</td>
<td>07:59</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>36,6</td>
<td>90994</td>
<td>13603</td>
<td>22,4</td>
<td>13,1</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>